Epidermal growth factor receptor inhibition strategies in oncology

P M Harari

University of Wisconsin Medical School, Department of Human Oncology, University of Wisconsin Medical School, 600 Highland Avenue K4/332, Madison, Wisconsin 53792, USA

(Requests for offprints should be addressed to P M Harari; Email: harari@humonc.wisc.edu)

Abstract

Molecular targeting strategies for cancer therapy are distinct from conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy in their potential to provide increased tumor specificity. One particular molecular target of high promise in oncology is the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). The EGFR is overexpressed, dysregulated or mutated in many epithelial malignancies, and EGFR activation appears important in tumor growth and progression. Advances in signal transduction biology continue to sharpen our understanding regarding specific contributions of EGFR signaling networks to cancer behavior. Two predominant classes of EGFR inhibitors have been developed including monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that target the extracellular domain of EGFR, such as cetuximab (Erbix), and small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) that target the receptor catalytic domain of EGFR, such as gefitinib (Iressa) and erlotinib (Tarceva).

Mechanisms of action for EGFR inhibitors have been investigated in preclinical model systems. Safety, activity, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics have been assessed in clinical trials. The anti-EGFR mAbs and TKIs have partially overlapping toxicity profiles, but distinct routes of administration, serum half-lives and therefore dosing schedules. Both classes of agents show clear antitumor activity, and cetuximab and gefitinib have been recently FDA approved for colorectal and lung cancer indications respectively. However, the absence of survival benefit for EGFR TKIs in combination with chemotherapy in large-scale phase III lung cancer trials in 2003 underscores a major challenge in anti-EGFR oncology therapeutics; namely to identify those tumors and patients that will respond predictably to EGFR inhibitor approaches. Newly identified mutations in the EGFR catalytic domain that appear to confer sensitivity to EGFR TKIs promise to open new doors of investigation regarding response prediction. Advances will also require enhanced molecular understanding of the overall EGFR signaling network, and improved methods to gauge the dependence of individual tumors on EGFR signaling pathways for growth advantage. Results from newly reported phase III trials in 2004 now confirm a survival advantage for the use of EGFR inhibitors in combination with high-dose radiation in head and neck cancer, and in refractory lung cancer respectively. It appears likely that EGFR inhibitors (and other rationally designed molecular growth inhibitors) will play a meaningful role in cancer therapy in the years to come.

Endocrine-Related Cancer (2004) 11 689–708

Introduction

Considerable progress has been made over the last several decades in understanding specific cellular, molecular and genetic mechanisms that contribute to cancer growth and progression. This improved mechanistic understanding of cancer has fostered the design, development, and clinical evaluation of more tumor-specific anticancer treatment approaches.

This review focuses on one particularly promising anticancer treatment strategy, namely that of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling inhibition. The most clinically advanced approach to EGFR inhibition includes the use of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) directed against the EGFR extracellular domain, and the use of small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) directed against the tyrosine kinase domain. The mAbs

Figure 1 Schematic diagram of the four ErbB family members and their ligands. Numbers denote the degree (expressed as a percentage) of homology relative to ErbB1/EGFR. With the exception of the kinase-deficient ErbB3, there exists a high degree of homology in the tyrosine kinase domain. Adapted with permission from Harari *et al.* (2003).

function at the extracellular ligand-binding site of the receptor, whereas the small molecule TKIs function at the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of the EGFR.

EGFR structure and function

Growth factors and their transmembrane receptor kinases play important roles in cell proliferation, survival, adhesion, migration and differentiation (Yarden 2001). The EGFR family consists of four transmembrane receptors, including EGFR (HER1/erbB-1), HER2 (erbB-2/neu), HER3 (erbB-3) and HER4 (erbB-4) (Yarden 2001). The EGFR (HER1/erbB-1) is a 170 kDa protein comprising three major functional domains: an extracellular ligand-binding domain, a hydrophobic transmembrane domain and a cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase domain, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

Seven genetically distinct ligands — EGF, transforming growth factor- α (TGF- α), heparin-binding EGF, amphiregulin, betacellulin, epiregulin and neuregulin G2 β — have been shown to be capable of binding with EGFR (Watanabe *et al.* 1994, Toyoda *et al.* 1997, Wells 1999). Cognate ligand binding triggers erbB receptor aggregation, the formation of receptor homodimers and/or heterodimers, and internalization (Yarden 2001, Wiley

2003). Dimer formation leads to activation of the intrinsic receptor tyrosine kinase domain. Protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation, catalyzed by protein kinases (such as tyrosine kinase) and protein phosphatases respectively, represent fundamental biochemical events for subsequent intracellular signal transduction (Qu 2002). Autophosphorylation of tyrosine residues within the C-terminal tail of EGFR in the cytoplasm (Cohen 2003) following EGFR tyrosine kinase activation initiates a cascade of intracellular signaling pathways (Carpenter & Cohen 1990). The receptor tyrosine kinase signal can be terminated by endocytosis of the phosphorylated receptor–ligand complex (Yarden 2001).

The EGFR downstream intracellular signal transduction pathways include components of the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, signal transducer and activator of transcription, downstream protein kinase C and phospholipase D pathways (Wells 1999, Carpenter 2000, Grant *et al.* 2002). Some of these pathways serve to attenuate receptor signaling (Wells 1999). Figure 2 is a simplified schematic illustrating the interaction of the EGFR system and the Ras/MAPK cascade, one of the major signaling routes (Alroy & Yarden 1997), and its downstream effects on the cell-cycle machinery. Yarden & Sliwkowski (2001) pro-

Figure 2 Simplified schematic illustration of the EGFR pathway highlighting potential downstream cellular and tissue effects of EGFR signaling inhibition. The action site for EGFR inhibitors is depicted for mAbs and TKIs. Adapted with permission from Harari & Huang (2001).

vide a comprehensive description of ErbB signaling pathways. The integrated biological responses to EGFR signaling are pleiotropic. They include mitogenesis, apoptosis, altered cellular motility, protein secretion and differentiation or dedifferentiation (Wells 1999).

EGFR — significance in oncology

Activation of the EGFR stimulates tumor growth and progression, including the promotion of proliferation, angiogenesis, invasion, metastasis and inhibition of apoptosis (Salomon *et al.* 1995, Wells 1999, Woodburn 1999). EGFR is normally widely expressed by many cell types, including those of epithelial and mesenchymal lineages (Wells 1999). However, there is variability regarding the reported incidence of overexpression or dysregulation of EGFR in human malignancies (Nicholson *et al.* 2001, Arteaga 2002). For example, some reports describe EGFR expression as being dysregulated in at least 50% of human epithelial tumors (Aaronson 1991, Earp *et al.* 2003, Grunwald & Hidalgo 2003a), while more

conservative estimates suggest that one-third of epithelial malignancies express high levels of EGFR (Mendelsohn 2001). This variability may be partially attributable to a lack of standardization in quantitation methodology, using either using simple immunohistochemistry or more sophisticated fluorescence in situ hybridization techniques. Overall, it does appear that many of our most common human epithelial cancers richly express the EGFR.

In head and neck cancer, the vast majority of tumors are strongly EGFR-positive (Mendelsohn 2001). Studies have also reported EGFR overexpression in the following cancers: bladder, brain, breast, cervical, uterine, colon, esophageal, glioma, non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), ovarian, pancreatic and renal cell (Table 1) (Nicholson *et al.* 2001, Herbst 2002, Mendelsohn & Baselga 2003). A review of 200 studies (involving >20 000 patients) was undertaken to determine the prognostic value of increased EGFR expression for reduced recurrence-free or overall survival rates (Nicholson *et al.* 2001). Of the ten cancer types for which there were adequate data for analysis, the

Table 1 Percentage of tumors overexpressing EGFR, by tumor type

Tumor type	Percentage of tumors
Bladder	31–48
Breast	14–91
Cervix/uterus	90
Colon	25–77
Esophagael	43–89
Gastric	4–33
Glioma	40–63
Head and neck	80–100
Ovarian	35–70
Pancreatic	30–89
Prostate	40–80
Renal cell	50–90
Non-small-cell lung	40–80

prognostic value was strong (70%) for head and neck, ovarian, cervical, bladder and esophageal cancers; moderate (52%) for gastric, breast, endometrial and colorectal tumors; and weak (30%) for NSCLC.

Nonetheless, we now know that some patients with high levels of EGFR expression are refractory to EGFR inhibitor treatment, suggesting that mere expression of EGFR is not a robust predictor of response to therapy. Preclinical studies on 60 cell lines of the United States National Cancer Institute Anticancer Drug Screen were performed with a panel of 11 selective erbB inhibitors. Cell lines expressing high levels of EGFR could be divided into two groups, depending on their sensitivity or insensitivity to EGFR inhibitors (Bishop *et al.* 2002). The level of EGFR expression for the specific tumor appeared to be less important than the degree of activation of EGFR in predicting the response to targeted therapy. Factors affecting activation status include receptor mutation, heterodimerization and increased expression of ligands (Arteaga 2002). Signaling complexity due to interactions among four receptors and ten ligands makes it difficult to definitively measure signaling output for individual human tumors (Earp *et al.* 2003). The lack of a clear relationship between the level of EGFR expression and the degree of EGFR activation across tumor types complicates simple

prediction of clinical effectiveness of targeted therapeutics (Arteaga & Baselga 2003).

Inhibition of EGFR in cancer

Mechanisms of action of EGFR inhibitors

mAbs directed against EGFR have the following mechanisms of action: (i) extracellular binding; (ii) internalization of receptor–antibody complexes; (iii) inhibition of EGFR signaling pathways; and (iv) potential stimulation of an immunological response.

TKIs directed against EGFR have the following mechanisms of action: (i) intracellular binding; (ii) prevention of tyrosine kinase activation; and (iii) inhibition of EGFR signaling pathways.

The respective sites of action of mAbs and TKIs are illustrated in Fig. 2.

mAb EGFR inhibitors

Several mAbs directed against EGFR are in various stages of clinical development. These antibodies are listed in Table 2.

Cetuximab

The most extensively studied of the anti-EGFR mAbs is cetuximab (Erbix; ImClone Systems), formerly known as IMC-225 or C225, a chimeric mAb designed to specifically inhibit EGFR (Ennis *et al.* 1991). Cetuximab has been recently approved (February 2004) by the US Food and Drug Administration and the Swiss Medicines Control Agency for the treatment of colorectal cancer that is unresponsive to irinotecan (Camptosar).

The chimeric antibody was developed by combining the variable regions of the precursor mouse antibody (mAb 225) with human immunoglobulin G1 constant regions to reduce the possibility of an antimouse immunological reaction in patients (Herbst & Shin 2002). Cetuximab is highly specific for EGFR, competing for natural ligand-binding sites and causing receptor internalization and downregulation (Kim *et al.* 2001). It inhibits the proliferation of a range of human tumor cell

Table 2 EGFR monoclonal antibodies in clinical trials

Agent	Type	Generic/trade name	Institution
IMC-C225	Chimeric IgG1	*Cetuximab/Erbix	ImClone/BMS/Merck KGaA
ABX-EGF	Fully human IgG2	panitumumab	Abgenix/Amgen
EMD 72000	Humanized IgG1	—	EMD Pharms/Merck KGaA
MDX-447	Humanized, bispecific: EGFR/Fcγ1	HuMab-Mouse	Medarex/Merck KGaA
h-R3	Humanized	TheraCIM	YM Biosciences/CIM
Mab 806	Anti-EGFR VIII	—	Ludwig Institute

*Approved.

lines in a dose-dependent manner (Goldstein *et al.* 1995, Prewett *et al.* 1996, 1998, 1999, Huang & Harari 1999, Herbst & Hong 2002). This inhibition of cell proliferation reflects cell-cycle arrest in the G1 phase and/or an increase in apoptosis (Prewett *et al.* 1996, Fan *et al.* 1997, Huang *et al.* 1999).

The growth inhibitory impact of cetuximab in tumor xenograft models is often more pronounced than that observed in cell culture, suggesting that additional anticancer mechanisms are involved. One such mechanism involves anti-angiogenesis (Huang & Harari 1999, Mendelsohn 2001). Cetuximab inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) production in epidermoid carcinoma cells, resulting in a reduction in the number of tumor blood vessels (Viloria-Petit *et al.* 1997); down-regulation of VEGF, interleukin (IL)-8, and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) expression in tumor xenografts; and involution of tumor blood vessels, with inhibition of tumor growth (Perrotte *et al.* 1999). In addition, cetuximab treatment significantly inhibits tumor growth and metastasis in mice bearing either 253J B-V tumors (Perrotte *et al.* 1999) or human prostate tumors (Karashima *et al.* 2002), and modestly inhibits spontaneous metastasis in a severe combined immunodeficiency mouse xenograft model of metastatic melanoma, an effect that may reflect antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (Naramura *et al.* 1993, Mendelsohn 2001).

ABX-EGF

Other anti-EGFR mAbs in clinical development include the fully humanized ABX-EGF (Abgenix) selected from a panel of human IgG2 anti-EGFR mAbs generated by immunizing the XenoMouse IgG2 strain with cells of the human cervical epidermal cancer cell line A431, which express more than 10^6 EGFR molecules per cell (Davis *et al.* 1999, Yang *et al.* 1999, 2001). In vitro, AGX-EGF blocks the binding of both EGF and TGF- α to the receptor, inhibits EGF-activated EGFR tyrosine phosphorylation and inhibits tumor cell activation and proliferation (Yang *et al.* 2001, Lynch & Yang 2002). Like cetuximab, ABX-EGF causes EGFR internalization in tumor cells and blocks activation of the EGFR tyrosine kinase.

In vivo experiments with human tumor xenografts in nude mice show that antitumor activity mediated by ABX-EGF correlates with the levels of EGFR expression on the human tumors tested. ABX-EGF blocks formation of human epidermoid carcinoma A431 xenografts in athymic mice, mediates therapeutic elimination of established tumors, and acts cooperatively with chemotherapeutic agents to cause tumor regression (Lynch & Yang 2002). Recently, in vitro and in vivo efficacy has been shown in studies of prostate cancer (Wang *et al.* 2003) and

renal cell carcinoma (Wang *et al.* 2003) that were performed to support clinical trials for these indications. In the prostate tumor study, there was evidence of an anti-angiogenic effect (Wang *et al.* 2003).

Other antibodies

The humanized antibodies MDX-447 (Medarex), with dual activity against EGFR and the Fc receptor, and EMD 72000 (EMD Pharmaceuticals) are additional promising agents currently being evaluated in clinical trials for several tumors including head and neck cancer and ovarian cancer respectively. Unique antibodies raised against mutant forms of the EGFR (i.e. EGFRvIII) are also under development (Mishima *et al.* 2001) with growth suppression of intracranial xenografted glioblastomas overexpressing mutant EGFRs by systemic administration of mAb 806, a novel mAb directed to the receptor (Mishima *et al.* 2001). In this review, discussion is focused on the EGFR-specific mAbs cetuximab and ABX-EGF since they are the most advanced of their class.

TKIs

The TKIs are synthetic, mainly quinazoline-derived, low molecular weight molecules that interact with the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of several receptors, including EGFR, and inhibit ligand-induced receptor phosphorylation by competing for the intracellular Mg-ATP-binding site (Ciardiello 2000). Over the past 20 years, several hundred TKIs have been developed (Ciardiello 2000). TKIs that target the EGFR and are sufficiently advanced in clinical development are shown in Table 3.

Gefitinib

Gefitinib (Iressa; AstraZeneca), formerly known as ZD1839, has received approval in 18 countries (to date) including the United States, Canada, Japan, Australia, and other countries in the Far East and the Americas, as a single agent for the treatment of refractory NSCLC. Gefitinib is an orally active, low molecular weight, synthetic anilinoquinazoline that inhibits several receptor tyrosine kinases, particularly EGFR (Ciardiello *et al.* 2000, Arteaga & Johnson 2001, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP 2003). Gefitinib inhibits the kinase activity of isolated EGFR with an IC_{50} in the nanomolar range (Woodburn *et al.* 2000). However, higher concentrations may be required to block EGFR activity in vivo, due to the high intracellular concentration of ATP (Arteaga & Johnson 2001). At concentrations more than 100-fold greater than those required to inhibit EGFR, gefitinib inhibits other tyrosine kinase receptors, including HER2 (Woodburn *et al.* 2000, Arteaga & Johnson 2001, Moulder *et al.* 2001). This additional receptor activity

Table 3 TKIs in clinical trials

Agent	Type	Generic/trade name	Institution
ZD1839	<i>erbB1</i>	*Gefitinib/Iressa	AstraZeneca
OSI-774	<i>erbB1</i>	Erlotinib HC1/Tarceva	OSI/Genentech/Roche
CI-1033	pan <i>erbB</i>	Canertinib	Pfizer
EKB-569	<i>erbB1/2</i>	—	Wyeth Ayerst
GW2016	<i>erbB1/2</i>	—	GlaxoSmithKline
PKI-166	<i>erbB1/2</i>	—	Novartis

*Approved.

may have clinical significance, and clinical studies in this regard are in progress.

Gefitinib demonstrates antiproliferative activity in tumor cell cultures and in human tumor xenografts, both as a single agent and in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy or radiotherapy. With co-administration, gefitinib shows additive or even synergistic effects (Sirotnak *et al.* 2000, Sirotnak 2003). The additive or synergistic effects of gefitinib achieved in combination therapy did not necessarily require high levels of EGFR expression in the tumor models tested. These preclinical findings influenced subsequent clinical trial strategies where high level of EGFR expression by the tumor was not a criterion for study entry (see INTACT trials, below).

Preclinical studies indicate that gefitinib affects many of the same intracellular signaling pathways inhibited by anti-EGFR mAb therapy. Gefitinib inhibits the growth of a range of human cancer cells in vitro and in vivo, and there is evidence that the inhibitor acts by inducing cell-cycle arrest and/or apoptosis (Ciardiello *et al.* 2000, Woodburn *et al.* 2000, Albanell *et al.* 2001, Magne *et al.* 2002, Di Gennaro *et al.* 2003). As with the EGFR-specific mAbs, the TKIs appear to act by several antitumor mechanisms. Gefitinib appears to indirectly inhibit angiogenesis since treatment is associated with growth inhibition of human colon, breast, ovarian, and gastric cancer cells in vitro, accompanied by a reduction in VEGF, bFGF, and TGF- α production and substantially reduced tumor microvessel density (Ciardiello *et al.* 2000). Gefitinib prevents EGF-induced upregulation of VEGF and IL-8, restricts EGF-induced endothelial cell migration and tube formation in vitro, and inhibits neovascularization in a mouse cornea model (Hirata *et al.* 2002). In cultured human cutaneous squamous carcinoma cells, gefitinib inhibits molecular pathways involved in cell migration and invasiveness, thereby reducing the ability of cells to invade a complex extracellular matrix (Barnes *et al.* 2003).

Erlotinib

Erlotinib hydrochloride (Tarceva), formerly known as CP-358,744 and subsequently as OSI-774, is a potent and

reversible quinazoline-type inhibitor of human EGFR tyrosine kinase in the nanomolar range. Erlotinib inhibits proliferation of DiFi human colon tumor cells at sub-micromolar concentrations in cell culture, blocks cell-cycle progression at the G1 phase and triggers apoptosis (Moyer *et al.* 1997). At doses of 100 mg/kg, erlotinib completely prevents EGF-induced phosphorylation of EGFR in human HN5 tumor xenografts in athymic mice and of hepatic EGFR of the treated mice (Moyer *et al.* 1997). Substantial growth inhibition of human tumor xenografts in athymic mice is achieved with lower oral doses of the agent with an ED₅₀ of 10 mg/kg daily for a 20-day treatment period (Pollack *et al.* 1999). Combination chemotherapy of erlotinib with cisplatin produces a significant response greater than that of cisplatin alone, with no detected effects on body weight or lethal toxicity.

In vitro and *in vivo* studies have shown that erlotinib has activity against human colorectal, head and neck, NSCLC and pancreatic tumor cells (Akita & Sliwkowski 2003). Recent preclinical studies suggest that erlotinib may also have activity against tumors that are dependent on HER2 activation for growth and/or survival. In preclinical studies, combining erlotinib with cisplatin, doxorubicin, gemcitabine or low-dose paclitaxel had an additive effect on antitumor activity with no increase in toxicity (Akita & Sliwkowski 2003). Submicromolar concentrations of erlotinib can specifically inhibit mutant EGFRvIII transformed cells (Iwata *et al.* 2002). This is noteworthy because EGFRvIII is prevalent in a high percentage of glial tumors (Jungluth *et al.* 2003).

Other TKIs

Other TKI agents in clinical development include the irreversible pan-*erbB* inhibitor canertinib (CI-1033; Pfizer), EKB-569 (Wyeth Ayerst), GW572016 (GlaxoSmithKline), and the pyrrolopyrimidine compound, PKI-166 (Novartis). In contrast to the mAbs, TKIs do not elicit an immune response. They do appear to inhibit internalization of EGF receptors. A recent study demonstrated that the EGFR-specific TKI, PD158780, inhibits the recruitment of EGFR to clathrin-coated pits, the first stage in receptor endocytosis (Sorkina *et al.* 2002). Unlike the mAbs, TKIs show

activity against other receptors such as HER2 at high concentrations (gefitinib and erlotinib) or all four HER (erbB) receptors as in the case of canertinib. The clinical significance of the differences in activity between mAbs to EGFR and the TKI compounds is not yet clear. The agents furthest along in clinical development, gefitinib and erlotinib, are used in this review as examples of TKIs with specificity for EGFR.

Clinical activity of EGFR inhibitors

The mAbs such as cetuximab and ABX-EGF, and TKIs such as gefitinib and erlotinib display markedly different pharmacokinetic (PK) profiles, and these compounds differ in their route and frequency of administration. These characteristics have important implications for patient convenience on the one hand, and for safety characteristics on the other. As proteins, cetuximab and ABX-EGF are subject to degradation in the digestive system and must, therefore, be administered by i.v. injection. In contrast, gefitinib and erlotinib are administered orally, a potential advantage for chronic therapy (Baselga *et al.* 2002). On the other hand, the TKIs need to be administered daily, and oral dosing is associated with dose-limiting gastrointestinal toxicity (Albanell *et al.* 2001). The mAbs do not appear to induce significant diarrhea because of their mode of delivery and inability to cross basement membranes into the lumen of the gastrointestinal tract (Herbst & Hong 2002). However, the large size of these macromolecules may limit their ability to penetrate easily into some anatomical compartments, such as the central nervous system.

Cetuximab, ABX-EGF, gefitinib, and erlotinib have all been evaluated in clinical trials both as single agents and in combination with conventional chemotherapy or radiation therapy. Because EGFR inhibition and conventional anticancer therapy act via different cytotoxic mechanisms, combination therapy offers the potential advantages of additive or synergistic activity without overlapping toxicity profiles (Kim *et al.* 2001). Numerous preclinical studies have demonstrated additive or synergistic antitumor activity, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Treatment with cetuximab, ABX-EGF, gefitinib or erlotinib is commonly associated with the development of an acneiform rash, generally involving the face, neck and upper torso. The rash is typically mild (grade 1–2), does not require dose adjustment, and resolves or stabilizes with continued treatment. This cutaneous effect appears to be a direct biological response to EGFR inhibition (Busam *et al.* 2001), and there is suggestive evidence that development of an acneiform rash may be predictive of an ultimate response to therapy (Kies *et al.* 2002, Cohen 2003). Indeed, the presence and intensity of skin rash was

found to correlate with increased survival in several clinical trials across multiple malignancies (Saltz *et al.* 2003).

Cetuximab

Cetuximab is administered i.v. at doses of 200–400 mg/m² and has a mean half-life of 114 h (range 75–188 h), thus allowing weekly administration. The kinetics of cetuximab are nonlinear, with complete saturation of systemic clearance, and are unaffected by co-administration with cisplatin (Baselga 2001). In three phase I studies of patients with cancer of the head and neck or NSCLC, a loading dose of 400 mg/m² of cetuximab and a weekly maintenance dose of 250 mg/m² was associated with near complete saturation of EGFR within the tumor, an important criterion for optimal activity (Baselga *et al.* 2000). Adverse effects were minimal and a maximum tolerable dose (MTD) was not reached. Antibodies against cetuximab were detected in only one patient out of a total of 52 subjects treated. The PK profile of cetuximab does not change following repeated doses, confirming a lack of immunogenicity (Mendelsohn 2001). Subsequent clinical trials have been conducted using this dosing regimen.

Several phase I and I/II studies were undertaken to investigate the tolerability of cetuximab in combination with conventional cytotoxic agents such as cisplatin or carboplatin and 5-fluorouracil (5FU) in patients with recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN) (Vega-Villegas *et al.* 2003), gemcitabine/carboplatin in chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced stage IV NSCLC (Robert *et al.* 2003), paclitaxel and carboplatin in previously untreated patients with stage IV NSCLC (Kelly *et al.* 2003), or cetuximab in combination with chemotherapy or radiotherapy (Robert *et al.* 2001, Needle 2002). In these studies, the most common toxicity associated with cetuximab was acneiform rash (mainly grade 1–2) and the most common grade 3 toxicity was fatigue. Allergic/hypersensitivity reactions were less common. A series of studies (phases I–III) of cetuximab + cisplatin or carboplatin in SCCHN have shown that toxicities are generally nonoverlapping (Shin *et al.* 2001, Baselga *et al.* 2002, Burtneiss *et al.* 2002, Kies *et al.* 2002). Various studies that have been carried out with cetuximab, either as monotherapy or in combination with conventional therapy, are summarized in Table 4.

The US product labeling for cetuximab (Erbix) in the treatment of irinotecan-refractory colorectal cancer describes the risk of severe infusion reactions (3% of patients), 90% of these being associated with the first infusion. It is rarely fatal (<1 in 1000). As with gefitinib (Iressa, see below) and conventional cancer therapy, there is a potential risk of developing interstitial lung disease

Table 4 Cetuximab clinical trials

Cancer type	No. subjects	Regimen	ORR (%)	TTP	OS	Remarks	Reference
H&N	424	+Radiation	–	–	54 months	Positive phase III trial	Bonner <i>et al.</i> (2004)
H&N or NSCLC	52	Monotherapy	–	–	–	3×Phase I	Baselga <i>et al.</i> (2000)
SCCHN	15	Monotherapy	–	–	–	Phase I	Robert <i>et al.</i> (2001)
SCCHN	22	+Cisplatin	–	–	–	–	Phase IBShin <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Pancreatic	41	+Gemcitabine	12	4 months	–	Gemcitabine TTP=9 weeks	Abbruzzese <i>et al.</i> (2001)
SCCHN	96	+Cisplatin/carboplatin	14.6	66 days	6 months	responders: OS=269 days	Baselga <i>et al.</i> (2002)
SCCHN	44	±Cisplatin	13.7	–	7 months	–	Burtness <i>et al.</i> (2002)
NSCLC	61	±Cisplatin/vinorelbine	53	–	–	Chemotherapy only ORR=32%	Gatzemeier <i>et al.</i> (2003)
SCCHN	79	+Cisplatin	11.5	–	–	Skin rash +ve: ORR=15.1%	Kies <i>et al.</i> (2002)
CRC	57	Monotherapy	11	–	–	Irinotecan refractory	Saltz <i>et al.</i> (2003)
CRC	25	+Irinotecan; 5FU; LV	44	–	–	untreated, metastatic	Rosenberg <i>et al.</i> (2002)
CRC	18	+Irinotecan; FA	–	–	–	Metastatic	Schoffski <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Nasopharyngeal	53	Monotherapy	17.0	–	–	Metastatic; failed platinum therapy	Chan <i>et al.</i> (2003)
NSCLC	31	+Paclitaxel; carboplatin	29	4.5 months	16 months	Stage IV; phase I/II study	Kelly <i>et al.</i> (2003)
NSCLC	47	+Docetaxel	28	89 days	–	–	Kim <i>et al.</i> (2002)
NSCLC	35	+Gemcitabine/carboplatin	28.6	5.5 months	10 months	Stage IV; phase IB/IIA	Robert <i>et al.</i> (2003)
CRC	329	±Irinotecan	23/11	4/1.5 months	9/7 months	Irinotecan refractory	Cunningham <i>et al.</i> (2003)

ORR, overall response rate; TTP, time to progression; OS, overall survival; H&N, head and neck; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; SCCHN, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck; CRC, colorectal cancer.

(ILD). ILD was reported for 3 of 633 patients (<0.5%) with advanced colorectal cancer who were administered cetuximab. As per the FDA News statement, it was difficult to determine whether Erbitux treatment caused the ILD. Other serious adverse reactions include fever (55%), sepsis (3%), kidney failure (2%), pulmonary embolus (1%), dehydration (5% cetuximab + irinotecan; 2% cetuximab only), and diarrhea (6% cetuximab + irinotecan, 0% cetuximab only).

With respect to efficacy, a single arm, multicenter, open-label phase II trial of cetuximab + irinotecan in colorectal cancer patients (n = 121) refractory to irinotecan alone demonstrated partial response in 23% of patients and minor response or stable disease in 31% of patients (Saltz *et al.* 2001). Subsequently, results for a total of 138 patients were reported where the overall response rate was 15% and the median duration of the response was 6.5 months. A larger European phase II trial (the BOND study) was conducted comparing a combination of cetuximab and irinotecan (Arm A; 218 patients) with cetuximab single-agent treatment (Arm B; 111 patients) in EGFR-positive, irinotecan-refractory, metastatic colorectal cancer (Cunningham *et al.* 2003). Outcome analysis showed response rates of 22.9% (cetuximab + irinotecan) and 10.8% (cetuximab monotherapy). Median survival time was longer with combination therapy (8.6 months) than with cetuximab alone (6.9 months), but the difference was not statistically significant. However, the significance level might have been impacted by the study protocol, which permitted cross-over to the alternative therapy. Oxaliplatin treatment had also previously failed in 63% of patients in this study.

Encouraging results, with expected toxicities, were seen in two other European phase II trials. These included the open-label, Lung Cancer Cetuximab Study (LUCAS), in patients with advanced, EGFR-positive NSCLC, comparing cetuximab in combination with cisplatin or vinorelbine with cisplatin or vinorelbine treatment alone (Gatzemeier *et al.* 2003), and a study with cetuximab used in combination with a FOLFIRI (irinotecan/5FU/folinic acid (FA)) regimen in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (Van Laethem *et al.* 2003). In the United States, a phase III trial (the EPIC study) of cetuximab + irinotecan vs irinotecan, as second-line treatment in patients with metastatic EGFR-positive colorectal cancer, has been initiated. Another US phase III trial (the EXPLORE study), that is recruiting patients with metastatic EGFR-positive colorectal cancer, will evaluate cetuximab administered in combination with 5FU/leucovorin (LV) and oxaliplatin (FOLFOX) vs FOLFOX only.

In June 2004, results of an international, randomized phase III clinical trial of 424 patients who received radiation ± cetuximab for advanced head and neck cancer

demonstrated a near doubling of median survival for patients treated with radiation + cetuximab, 54 months vs 28 months for patients treated with radiation alone. There was a statistically significant improvement ($P = 0.02$) in locoregional disease control (8% at 2 years) and overall survival (13% at 3 years) favoring the cetuximab arm (Bonner *et al.* 2004). In addition to providing new potential treatment options for advanced head and neck cancer patients, this pivotal trial demonstrates survival benefit using a molecularly targeted agent used as a radiation sensitizer. This finding will likely stimulate many new clinical trials for other cancer types in which radiation plays a central treatment role.

ABX-EGF

PK and pharmacodynamic studies were conducted with i.v. ABX-EGF to determine optimal dosing in cancer patients. Saturation of EGFR was assessed by clearance and the incidence and severity of the characteristic acneiform rash. In a phase I trial, 43 patients with renal, prostate, NSCLC, pancreatic, gastroesophageal or colorectal cancer received ABX-EGF weekly for up to 4 weeks at doses up to 2.5 mg/kg (Roskos *et al.* 2002). All patients who received doses of 2 or 2.5 mg/kg ABX-EGF developed rashes that resolved within 4 weeks of the last dose. No human anti-human antibodies (HAHAs) were detected in any patient and no alterations in PK occurred in any subject, consistent with the absence of HAA development. It was concluded that the low PK variability, absence of immunogenicity, and high potency of ABX-EGF permitted dosing up to 2.0–2.5 mg/kg, producing evidence of EGFR blockade (acneiform rash) while maintaining a tolerable safety profile. In another phase I trial, excluding skin rash, the most common grade 2 or higher adverse events reported were asthenia and back pain (each 15%), followed by unspecified pain (13%). Diarrhea was minimal (7%; grade 1–2) and not dose-related. There was no anaphylaxis and no allergic or infusion-related reactions were observed (Figlin *et al.* 2002).

A two-part, phase II monotherapy trial of eight weekly i.v. infusions of ABX-EGF was conducted in patients with renal cell carcinoma in whom IL-2/interferon- α treatment failed or who were not able to receive this treatment (Schwartz *et al.* 2002). Stable or responding patients were eligible for extended weekly treatment, at the assigned dose (1.0, 1.5 or 2.0 mg/kg), for 8 additional months or until disease progression. At the time of report, 58 patients had been treated and 32 had completed one 8-week cycle. Two objective responses were seen at doses of 1.0 and 1.5 mg/kg. Minor response or stable disease was observed in 58% of patients, while 36% had progressive disease. Grade 2/3 adverse events were skin rash, pruritus, dyspnea, fatigue, diarrhea,

abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting. Acneiform rash (grades 1 and 2) was the most frequently observed adverse event (61%). In the same series of patients, when the dosage was increased to 2.5 mg/kg (21 patients) the incidence of skin rash increased to 100% (Schwartz *et al.* 2002). No HAHAAs were detected.

A multicenter trial of ABX-EGF monotherapy was conducted in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (Meropol *et al.* 2003). At the time of report, 44 patients had received treatment (2.5 mg/kg, i.v. for 1 h, weekly for 3 weeks). Maximum rash intensity was generally achieved by week 3 of treatment. There were no complete responses, 9% of subjects showed a partial response, there was stable disease in 52%, and progressive disease in 39% of patients. There were no cases of anaphylaxis, other allergic reactions, or medically significant transfusion reactions, and no incidence of HAHA response.

Abgenix, in collaboration with Amgen Inc., are conducting phase II trials of ABX-EGF monotherapy in hormone-resistant prostate cancer patients, single-arm combination therapy (first-line treatment) in colorectal cancer, and two-arm combination ABX-EGF + chemotherapy vs chemotherapy alone (first-line treatment) in NSCLC.

Gefitinib

Following a single oral dose of up to 700 mg gefitinib in both healthy volunteers and patients with advanced malignancies, peak plasma drug concentration was attained at 3–7 h, with an elimination half-life of approximately 48 h (Baselga & Averbuch 2000). Daily oral administration to cancer patients results in a 2-fold accumulation of drug compared with a single-dose administration and steady-state plasma concentrations are achieved within 10 days. Long-term administration of gefitinib was generally well tolerated at doses up to 600 mg/day. Higher doses were associated with dose-limiting toxicities, such as grade 3 diarrhea (Ranson *et al.* 2002). The gastrointestinal toxicity of gefitinib appears to reflect the direct exposure of intestinal epithelial cells to EGFR inhibition via oral administration.

As with cetuximab, gefitinib labeling carries a warning concerning pulmonary toxicity (ILD). The overall incidence is about 1%, with approximately one-third of cases being fatal (AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP 2003). This warning was included as a result of post-approval experience in Japan where a small proportion of patients (170 out of 10 000 (1.7%)) receiving the drug developed fatal ILD (Schultz 2003). Although worthy of careful evaluation, it should be noted that ILD is also seen in patients with advanced lung cancer treated by conventional chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Other precautions concern the potential for hepatic toxicity due to asymptomatic

increases in liver transaminases in patients treated with gefitinib. Drug-related adverse events (with an incidence of $\geq 5\%$ in either the 250 or 500 mg/day dose group) listed in the Iressa product labeling are, in decreasing order of frequency, diarrhea, rash, acne, dry skin, nausea, vomiting, pruritus, anorexia, asthenia and weight loss. These effects are mainly dose-dependent.

As summarized in Table 5, a number of single-agent clinical trials have been conducted with gefitinib in a range of solid malignancies, including SCCHN at doses of 250 mg gefitinib per day (Cohen *et al.* 2003b) and 500 mg/day (Cohen *et al.* 2002, 2003a), in renal cell carcinoma (Drucker *et al.* 2002, Dawson *et al.* 2003), and advanced breast cancer (Baselga *et al.* 2003, Fountzilas *et al.* 2003, Robertson *et al.* 2003). In one of the SCCHN studies, the development of skin toxicity was found to be a statistically significant predictor of response and improved outcome (Cohen *et al.* 2003a), which is consistent with the experience with cetuximab (Saltz *et al.* 2003).

The Iressa Dose Evaluation in Advanced Lung Cancer (IDEAL) phase II trials, IDEAL-1 and IDEAL-2, each evaluated single-agent gefitinib therapy at daily doses of 250 and 500 mg in patients with NSCLC (Douillard *et al.* 2002, Kris *et al.* 2002, 2003, Cella 2003, Fukuoka *et al.* 2003, Herbst 2003a). Efficacy outcomes were similar for both dosage groups, with overall response rates of 18.4–19% reported in IDEAL-1 and 8.8–11.8% in IDEAL-2. Across both trials, 35–43% of patients experienced symptom improvement and 22–34% reported improved quality of life (QOL) (Douillard *et al.* 2002, Cella 2003, Fukuoka *et al.* 2003, Herbst 2003a). As expected from earlier clinical trial results, the 250 mg dose administered in IDEAL-2 was well tolerated, with generally mild (grade 1–2) toxicities, including rash (43.1%), acne (24.5%), and diarrhea (48%). Based on these studies, particularly the IDEAL-2 trial, gefitinib gained subsequent approval in several countries, including the United States, for single-agent use in NSCLC patients who fail to respond to at least two prior chemotherapy regimens.

The Iressa NSCLC Trial Assessing Combination Treatment (INTACT) phase III trials, INTACT-1 ($n = 1093$) and INTACT-2 ($n = 1037$), evaluated the safety and efficacy of adding either gefitinib or placebo to standard chemotherapy regimens (gemcitabine + cisplatin or paclitaxel + carboplatin) for the treatment of chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced NSCLC (Giaccone *et al.* 2002, Johnson *et al.* 2002). In both trials, the toxicities experienced by patients receiving gefitinib were comparable to those associated with chemotherapy alone. As expected, dose-dependent acneiform rash and diarrhea were also reported. Overall, the toxicity profile associated with the addition of gefitinib to these regimens

Table 5 Gefitinib clinical trials

Cancer type	No. subjects	Regimen	ORR (%)	TTP	OS	Remarks	Reference
Various or healthy	96	Dose escalation to 700 mg	–	–	–	Pharmacokinetic study	Baselga <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Solid malignancies	64	50–950 mg/day	–	–	–	NSCLC 4/16 ORR 300–700 mg/day	Ransom <i>et al.</i> (2002)
SCCHN	40	500 mg/day	20	–	8 months	–	Cohen <i>et al.</i> (2003a)
SCCHN	14	250 mg/day	11	–	–	–	Cohen <i>et al.</i> (2003b)
Renal cell	16	500 mg/day	0	3.7 months	–	–	Drucker <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Renal cell	21	500 mg/day	5	–	8 months	–	Dawson <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Breast	31	500 mg/day	3	–	–	–	Baselga <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Breast	68	250 mg/day	63	–	–	+Paclitaxel/carboplatin	Fountzilas <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Breast	?	? mg/day	?	–	–	–	Robertson <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Cervical	15	500 mg/day	0	–	–	–	Viens <i>et al.</i> (2003)
NSCLC	210	250/500 mg/day	18/19	2.8 months	8 months	IDEAL-1 trial	Fukuoka <i>et al.</i> (2003)
NSCLC	216	250/500 mg/day	11	3–7 months	6 months	IDEAL-2 trial	Kris <i>et al.</i> (2003)
NSCLC	1093	250/500 mg/day±chemotherapy	–	–	10/10 months	INTACT-1 trial	Giaccone <i>et al.</i> (2002)
NSCLC	1037	250/500 mg/day±chemotherapy	–	–	10/9 months	INTACT-II trial	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (2002)
CRC	?	? mg/day±FOLFOX	75	–	–	–	Cho <i>et al.</i> (2003)

ORR, overall response rate; TTP, time to progression; OS, overall survival; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; SCCHN, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck; CRC, colorectal cancer; IDEAL, Iressa Dose Evaluation in Advanced Lung Cancer; INTACT, Iressa NSCLC Trial Assessing Combination Treatment; FOLFOX, 5-Fluorouracil/leucovorin and oxaliplatin.

was considered acceptable. However, no improvement was observed relative to placebo in overall survival, progression-free survival, time to worsening of symptoms, objective tumor response, QOL, or safety (Giaccone *et al.* 2002, Johnson *et al.* 2002, Saul 2003). The INTACT trials were well designed and the results were considered definitive (Saul 2003).

The reason for the failure of gefitinib to show clinical advantage in combination with chemotherapy in lung cancer remains unclear. Multivariate analysis of prognostic factors for overall survival in INTACT-1 and INTACT-2 trial data did not show any consistent effect of gefitinib combined with chemotherapy, compared with chemotherapy alone, on any known prognostic factors for survival outcome in advanced NSCLC (Giaccone *et al.* 2003). Furthermore, post hoc subset analysis did not reveal any susceptible subpopulations (Herbst *et al.* 2003). Some authors have argued that these phase III studies had a 'fatal flaw' in their design by not requiring study subjects to show positive EGFR status (Dancey & Freidlin 2003). However, a definitive correlation between EGFR status (Dawson *et al.* 2003), or that of other biological markers (Baselga *et al.* 2003), and response to gefitinib treatment (or other EGFR inhibitors) has yet to be demonstrated. This relationship is being more systematically investigated using 732 tumor samples collected during the two INTACT trials (Giaccone *et al.* 2003).

Erlotinib

The pharmacokinetics of erlotinib are dose-dependent, and repetitive daily treatment does not result in drug accumulation when administered at an average dosage of 150 mg/day. The elimination half-life averages approximately 24 h (Hidalgo *et al.* 2001). Plasma concentrations exceeded those that were associated with activity in preclinical models. The principal dose-limiting toxicities in phase I trials of erlotinib were acneiform rash and diarrhea (Grunwald & Hidalgo 2003b). In a phase I study of erlotinib in patients with advanced solid malignancies, no severe toxicities prevented dose escalation from 25 up to 100 mg/day. However, the incidence of severe diarrhea and/or cutaneous toxicity was unacceptably high at erlotinib doses exceeding 150 mg, administered once daily for 3 weeks, every 4 weeks (Hidalgo *et al.* 2001). The diarrhea was considered dose limiting at 200 mg/day but was less frequent at 150 mg/day and manageable at this dose with loperamide. Based on these provisional data, 150 mg/day was selected as the MTD for the phase II program (Herbst 2003b).

Erlotinib has been evaluated alone vs in combination therapy with temozolomide in a phase I study of patients with malignant glioma (Prados *et al.* 2003). Concomitant use of enzyme-inducing epileptic drugs reduced exposure of

the patient to erlotinib and its active metabolite. The objective responses were encouraging, and a corresponding phase II study was initiated. A phase IB trial of escalating doses of erlotinib in combination with a standard dose gemcitabine regimen was conducted in patients with advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma and other malignancies potentially responsive to gemcitabine (Dragovich *et al.* 2003). Preliminary results indicated some activity and no serious toxicities at dosages of 100 or 250 mg/day of erlotinib, although one patient with lung cancer developed fatal pulmonary toxicity, which was attributed to gemcitabine treatment and prior radiotherapy. This combination is being compared with standard gemcitabine therapy in a phase III randomized trial initiated by the National Cancer Institute of Canada, as described below.

Preliminary results from a phase II trial of erlotinib in patients with bronchioloalveolar cell carcinoma (BAC) were recently reported (Miller *et al.* 2003). A total of 33 patients with proven BAC or a variant had been treated at the time of the report. The authors concluded that erlotinib is an active agent in this disease and, if this is confirmed with additional patient accrual, a follow-up phase III trial is planned. A possible difference in responsiveness to the drug between smokers and non-smokers is being investigated.

Two phase III trials of erlotinib (150 mg/day) + chemotherapy were conducted in patients with first-line metastatic NSCLC. These trials, which have similar protocols, are called TRIBUTE (Herbst *et al.* 2004) in the United States, and TALENT outside of the United States (Gatzemeier *et al.* 2004). As with the INTACT trials with gefitinib in NSCLC, neither of these erlotinib trials met the primary endpoint of improving overall survival. In the TRIBUTE study, one of the secondary endpoints, time to symptomatic progression, did achieve statistical significance but this did not translate into improvement in overall survival or time to disease progression. The addition of erlotinib to chemotherapy was generally well tolerated and there were no unexpected toxicities. The results, while disappointing, were not entirely unexpected in view of the failure of the gefitinib trials in the same clinical setting.

A 700-patient, randomized, phase III trial of erlotinib monotherapy (150 mg/day) vs placebo in second- or third-line metastatic NSCLC completed enrollment in January 2003. This study was conducted by OSI Pharmaceuticals in collaboration with the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group (NCIC CTG). Although the addition of erlotinib to doublet chemotherapy regimens in advanced lung cancer failed to identify a survival advantage over chemotherapy alone, this new study met the primary endpoint of improving overall survival from 4.7 to 6.7 months, as well as secondary endpoints of

Table 6 Erlotinib clinical trials

Cancer type	No. subjects	Regimen	ORR (%)	TTP	OS	Remarks	Reference
Solid malignancies	18	Dose escalation to 1000 mg/week	–	–	–	Pharmacological study	Karp <i>et al.</i> (2000)
Solid malignancies	40	Dose escalation to 200 mg/week	–	–	–	Phase I/pharmacological study	Hildago <i>et al.</i> (2001)
SCCHN	114	150 mg/day	–	9.6 weeks	6.0 months	Phase II. 4.3% PR, 38.3% SD	Herbst (2003b), Souleres <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Ovarian	34	150 mg/day	–	77 days	–	Phase II	Finkler <i>et al.</i> (2003), Herbst (2003b)
Pancreas	17	100 or 150 mg/day	–	–	–	+Gemcitabine, accruing	Dragovich <i>et al.</i> (2003)
BAC	33	?	27	–	–	Accruing	Miller <i>et al.</i> (2003)
NSCLC	57	150 mg/day	12.3	–	8.4 months	Phase II. 8.8% PR, 38.6% SD	Perez-Soler <i>et al.</i> (2003), Herbst (2003b)
NSCLC	1059	150 mg/day	5	5.1 months	10.8 months	+Paclitaxel/carboplatin. TALENT	Herbst <i>et al.</i> (2004)
NSCLC	1172	150 mg/day	–	167 days	301 days	+Paclitaxel/carboplatin. TRIBUTE	Gatzemeier <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Glioma	47	Dose escalative to 400 mg/day	–	–	–	Accruing	Prados <i>et al.</i> (2003)

ORR, overall response rate; TTP, time to progression; OS, overall survival; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; SCCHN, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck; BAC, bronchioalveolar cell carcinoma; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

Figure 3 Mutations identified in the EGFR tyrosine kinase domain (red) conferring sensitivity to gefitinib in non-small cell lung cancer patients. Adapted with permission from Lynch *et al.* (2004).

improving time to symptomatic progression, progression-free survival and response rate (Shepherd *et al.* 2004). Additionally, OSI Pharmaceuticals and Genentech have initiated a randomized, placebo-controlled, phase III trial evaluating erlotinib in combination with gemcitabine in 450 patients with previously untreated metastatic pancreatic cancer; again in collaboration with the NCIC CTG.

Discussion

Molecular inhibitors of EGFR signaling represent a highly promising class of molecular targeted anticancer agents. A series of EGFR inhibitors from both the mAb and TKI class have demonstrated clear clinical activity as monotherapy in the treatment of refractory solid malignancies. Gefitinib has received approval for refractory NSCLC in several countries, including the United States (FDA approval May 2003). Cetuximab has also received recent FDA approval in the United States (February 2004) and Switzerland for colorectal cancer that is unresponsive to irinotecan. Preliminary reports regarding the capacity of erlotinib to extend overall survival in advanced, recurrent NSCLC patients suggest that this small molecule EGFR inhibitor may also gain FDA approval in the future months. If so, this would represent the third molecular inhibitor of EGFR signaling to gain FDA approval in cancer therapy over the last 12-18 months, validating a central role of EGFR as an important molecular target in human epithelial tumors.

Despite the recent success of EGFR inhibitory agents in gaining FDA approval, there remain several major gaps in our knowledge regarding the function, activity and preferred clinical applications for EGFR inhibitors. The preclinical data regarding EGFR inhibitors have been exceptionally strong, but not fully predictive of clinical trial results. Indeed, preclinical studies predicted a highly favorable interaction of EGFR inhibition combined with cytotoxic chemotherapy in NSCLC that was not substantiated in major lung cancer trials such as the INTACT and TALENT/TRIBUTE trials with gefitinib and erlotinib respectively. It remains to be clarified whether these results reflect a generic adverse interaction between EGFR inhibitors and concurrent cytotoxic chemotherapy in lung cancer, or whether this reflects inadequate patient selection in the absence of defined parameters that can better predict those patients likely to respond favorably to EGFR inhibitors. With regard to patient selection, brand new reports suggest that a subgroup of patients with lung cancer carry specific mutations (Fig. 3) in the EGFR gene which correlate with the likelihood of response to the EGFR inhibitor gefitinib (Lynch *et al.* 2004, Paez *et al.* 2004). This type of finding offers future potential to more accurately screen and predict responders for specific EGFR inhibitors.

The rational selection of cancer patients for EGFR inhibitor therapies remains a major challenge. Unlike the use of Herceptin in breast cancer therapy, where the link between overexpression of the molecular target (erbB-2) and response to therapy is relatively clear, the association between EGFR overexpression and response to EGFR inhibitor therapy does not appear straight forward. The complexity of the erbB signaling network and the

significance of various activated downstream markers remains under intense investigation with regard to potential prognostic and predictive value. Examination of molecular footprints from tumor specimens of patients on anti-EGFR inhibitor trials, and initiation of abbreviated ‘test response trials’, which preview the activity of an EGFR inhibitor in individual patients (based on biomarker or imaging response), hold promise to further advance this investigational field.

The impact of EGFR inhibitors in cancer therapy will ultimately reflect the overall importance of the EGFR as a molecular target in human malignancy. For those patients (individual tumors) in which EGFR signaling represents a dominant driving force for tumor progression, EGFR inhibitor strategies will likely prove of considerable value. However, for those patients (tumors) in which EGFR signaling represents just one of several aberrant molecular growth pathways conferring growth advantage, it would seem unlikely that EGFR inhibition strategies alone will provide substantial therapeutic benefit. In this regard, preclinical studies and innovative clinical trial designs are beginning to examine the capacity of multiple molecular targeted agents to work in concert to achieve tumor regression. Studies to more thoroughly examine optimal sequencing strategies between EGFR inhibitors and cytotoxic therapies such as radiation and chemotherapy are developing. As our understanding regarding mechanisms of actions for EGFR molecular inhibitors increases, more rational clinical designs which examine EGFR inhibitors combined with radiation and chemotherapy will emerge. In parallel, our ability to select patients (tumors) whose molecular profile renders them likely to respond to specific EGFR inhibitory strategies will mature.

Acknowledgement

Dr Harari holds research agreements with Astra-Zeneca, ImClone and Genentech.

References

- Aaronson SA 1991 Growth factors and cancer. *Science* **254** 1146–1153.
- Abbruzzese JL, Rosenberg A, Xiong Q, LoBuglio A, Schmidt W, Wolff R, Needle M & Waksal H 2001 Phase II study of anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antibody cetuximab (IMC-C225) in combination with gemcitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **20** 130a. Abstract 518.
- Akita RW & Sliwkowski MX 2003 Preclinical studies with Erlotinib (Tarceva). *Seminars in Oncology* **30** 15–24.
- Albanell J, Rojo F & Baselga J 2001 Pharmacodynamic studies with the epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor ZD1839. *Seminars in Oncology* **28** 56–66.
- Alroy I & Yarden Y 1997 The ErbB signaling network in embryogenesis and oncogenesis: signal diversification through combinatorial ligand–receptor interactions. *FEBS Letters* **410** 83–86.
- Arteaga CL 2002 Epidermal growth factor receptor dependence in human tumors: more than just expression? *Oncologist* **7** (Suppl 4) 31–39.
- Arteaga CL & Baselga J 2003 Clinical trial design and end points for epidermal growth factor receptor-targeted therapies: implications for drug development and practice. *Clinical Cancer Research* **9** 1579–1589.
- Arteaga CL & Johnson DH 2001 Tyrosine kinase inhibitors—ZD1839 (Iressa). *Current Opinion in Oncology* **13** 491–498.
- AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP 2003 Iressa (gefitinib) product label.
- Barnes CJ, Bagheri-Yarmand R, Mandal M, Yang Z, Clayman GL, Hong WK & Kumar R 2003 Suppression of epidermal growth factor receptor, mitogen-activated protein kinase, and Pak1 pathways and invasiveness of human cutaneous squamous cancer cells by the tyrosine kinase inhibitor ZD1839 (Iressa). *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* **2** 345–351.
- Baselga J 2001 The EGFR as a target for anticancer therapy — focus on cetuximab. *European Journal of Cancer* **37** (Suppl 4) S16–S22.
- Baselga J & Averbuch SD 2000 ZD1839 (‘Iressa’) as an anticancer agent. *Drugs* **60** (Suppl 1) 33–40.
- Baselga J, Pfister D, Cooper MR, Cohen R, Burtneess B, Bos M, D’Andrea G, Seidman A, Norton L, Gunnett K, Falcey J, Anderson V, Waksal H & Mendelsohn J 2000 Phase I studies of anti-epidermal growth factor receptor chimeric antibody C225 alone and in combination with cisplatin. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **18** 904–914.
- Baselga J, Trigo JM, Bourhis J, Tortochaux J, Cortes-Funes H, Hitt R, Gascon P, Mueser M, Harstrick A & Eckardt A 2002 Cetuximab (C225) plus cisplatin/carboplatin is active in patients (pts) with recurrent/metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN) progressing on a same dose and schedule platinum-based regimen. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 226a. Abstract 900.
- Baselga J, Albanell J, Ruiz A, Lluch A, Gascon P, Gonzalez S, Guillen V, Saulea S, Averbuch S & Rojo F 2003 Phase II and tumor pharmacodynamic study of gefitinib (ZD1839) in patients with advanced breast cancer. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 7 Abstract 24.
- Bishop PC, Myers T, Robey R, Fry DW, Liu ET, Blagosklonny MV & Bates SE 2002 Differential sensitivity of cancer cells to inhibitors of the epidermal growth factor receptor family. *Oncogene* **21** 119–127.
- Bonner JA, Giral J, Harari PM, Cohen R, Jones C, Sur RK, Rabin D, Azarnia N, Needle MN & Ang KK 2004 Cetuximab prolongs survival in patients with locoregionally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck: a phase III study of high dose radiation therapy with or without cetuximab. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **23** Abstract 5507.
- Burtneess BA, Li Y, Flood W, Mattar BI & Forastiere AA 2002 Phase III trial comparing cisplatin (C)+placebo (P) to C+anti-epidermal growth factor antibody (EGF-R) C225 in

- patients (PTS) with metastatic/recurrent head and neck cancer (HNC). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 901 Abstract.
- Busam KJ, Capodiceci P, Motzer R, Kiehn T, Phelan D & Halpern AC 2001 Cutaneous side-effects in cancer patients treated with the antiepidermal growth factor receptor antibody C225. *British Journal of Dermatology* **144** 1169–1176.
- Carpenter G 2000 The EGF receptor: a nexus for trafficking and signaling. *Bioessays* **22** 697–707.
- Carpenter G & Cohen S 1990 Epidermal growth factor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **265** 7709–7712.
- Cella D 2003 Impact of ZD1839 on non-small cell lung cancer-related symptoms as measured by the functional assessment of cancer therapy-lung scale. *Seminars in Oncology* **30** 39–48.
- Chan ATC, Hsu MM, Goh BC, Hui EP, Liu TW, Millward M, Chang AY, Ma BB, Hong RL, Lin X *et al.* 2003 A phase II study of cetuximab (C225) in combination with carboplatin in patients with recurrent or metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma who failed on platinum-based chemotherapy. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 497 Abstract 2000.
- Cho CD, Fisher GA, Halsey J, Jambalos CN, Advani RH, Wakelee H, Lum BL & Sikic BI 2003 A phase II study of gefitinib in combination with FOLFOX-4 (IFOX) in patients with unresectable or metastatic colorectal cancer. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 265 Abstract 1062.
- Ciardiello F 2000 Epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors as anticancer agents. *Drugs* **60** (Suppl 1) 25–32.
- Ciardiello F, Caputo R, Bianco R, Damiano V, Pomatoc G, De Placido S, Bianco AR & Tortora G 2000 Antitumor effect and potentiation of cytotoxic drugs activity in human cancer cells by ZD-1839 (Iressa), an epidermal growth factor receptor-selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor. *Clinical Cancer Research* **6** 2053–2063.
- Cohen EE, Rosen F, Dekker A, Bajda C, Stenson K, Shulman KL, Lamont E, Kozloff M & Vokes EE 2002 Phase II study of ZD1839 (Iressa) in recurrent of metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 225a Abstract 899.
- Cohen EE, Rosen F, Stadler WM, Recant W, Stenson K, Huo D & Vokes EE 2003a Phase II trial of ZD1839 in recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **21** 1980–1987.
- Cohen EE, Stenson K, Gustin DM, Lamont E, Mauer AM, Blair E, Stadler WM, Dekker A, Mallon W & Vokes EE 2003b A phase II study of 250 mg gefitinib (ZD1839) monotherapy in recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 502 Abstract 2021.
- Cohen RB 2003 Epidermal growth factor receptor as a therapeutic target in colorectal cancer. *Clinical Colorectal Cancer* **2** 246–251.
- Cunningham D, Humblet Y, Siena S, Khayat D, Bleiberg H, Santoro A, Bets D, Mueser M, Harstrick A & Van Cutsem E 2003 Cetuximab (C225) alone or in combination with irinotecan (CPT-11) in patients with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-positive, irinotecan-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 252 Abstract 1012.
- Dancey JE & Freidlin B 2003 Targeting epidermal growth factor receptor — are we missing the mark? *Lancet* **362** 62–64.
- Davis CG, Gallo ML & Corvalan JR 1999 Transgenic mice as a source of fully human antibodies for the treatment of cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Reviews* **18** 421–425.
- Dawson NA, Guo C, Zak R, Dorsey B, Smoot J, Wong J & Hussain A 2003 A phase II trial of ZD1839 in stage IV and recurrent renal cell carcinoma. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 404 Abstract 1623.
- Di Gennaro E, Barbarino M, Bruzzese F, De Lorenzo S, Caraglia M, Abbruzzese A, Avallone A, Comella P, Caponigro F, Pepe S *et al.* 2003 Critical role of both p27KIP1 and p21CIP1/WAF1 in the antiproliferative effect of ZD1839 (‘Iressa’), an epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in head and neck squamous carcinoma cells. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* **195** 139–150.
- Douillard J-Y, Giaccone G, Horai T, Noda K, Vansteenkiste JF, Takata I, Gatzemeier U, Fukuoka M, Macleod A, Feyereislova A *et al.* 2002 Improvement in disease-related symptoms and quality of life in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with ZD1839 (‘Iressa’) (IDEAL 1). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 299a. Abstract 1195.
- Dragovich T, Patnaik A, Rowinsky EK, Karp D, Huberman M, Clinebell T, Hamilton M, Zitelli A, Nadler P & Wood DL 2003 A phase I B trial of gemcitabine and erlotinib HCl in patients with advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma and other potentially responsive malignancies. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 223 Abstract 895.
- Drucker BJ, Schwartz L, Marion S & Motzer R 2002 Phase II trial of ZD1839 (Iressa), an EGF receptor inhibitor, in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 181a Abstract 720.
- Earp HS III, Calvo BF & Sartor CI 2003 The EGF receptor family – multiple roles in proliferation, differentiation, and neoplasia with an emphasis on HER4. *Transactions of the American Clinical and Climatological Association* **114** 315–333.
- Ennis BW, Lippman ME & Dickson RB 1991 The EGF receptor system as a target for antitumor therapy. *Cancer Investigation* **9** 553–562.
- Fan Z, Shang BY, Lu Y, Chou JL & Mendelsohn J 1997 Reciprocal changes in p27(Kip1) and p21(Cip1) in growth inhibition mediated by blockade or overstimulation of epidermal growth factor receptors. *Clinical Cancer Research* **3** 1943–1948.
- Figlin RA, Belldedrun AS, Crawford J, Lohner M, Roskos L, Yang X-D, Foon KA, Schwab G & Weiner L 2002 ABX-EGF, a fully human anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody in patients with advanced cancer: phase I clinical results. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 10a Abstract 35.
- Finkler N, Gordon A, Crozier M, Edwards R, Figueroa JGA, Hainsworth J, Irwin D, Silberman S, Allen L, Ferrante K *et*

- al. 2001 Phase 2 evaluation of OSI-774, a potent oral antagonist of the EGFR-TK in patients with advanced ovarian carcinoma. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **20** 208a Abstract 831.
- Fountzilias G, Pectasides D, Skarlos CV, Kalofonos HP, Papadimitriou C, Linardou H, Saridaki Z, Briasoulis E, Kosmidis P, Razis E *et al.* 2003 Paclitaxel, carboplatin and gefitinib ('Iressa', ZD1839) as first-line chemotherapy in patients with advanced breast cancer: a phase II study. Poster presented at: 26th San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium. Poster 357.
- Fukuoka M, Yano S, Giaccone G, Tamura T, Nakagawa K, Douillard JY, Nishiwiaki Y, Vansteenkiste J, Kudoh S, Rischin D *et al.* 2003 Multi-institutional randomized phase II trial of gefitinib for previously treated patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **21** 2237–2246.
- Gatzemeier U, Rosell R, Ramlau G, Robinet G, Szczesna A, Quoix E, Font A, Jimenez E, Mueser M & Harstrick A 2003 Cetuximab (C225) in combination with cisplatin/vinorelbine vs cisplatin/vinorelbine alone in the first-line treatment of patients with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-positive advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 642 Abstract 2582.
- Gatzemeier U, Pluzanska A, Szczesna A, Kaukel E, Roubec J, Brennscheidt U, De Rosa F, Mueller B & von Pawel J 2004 Results of a phase III trial of erlotinib (OSI-774) combined with cisplatin and gemcitabine (GC) chemotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **23** 617 Abstract 7010.
- Giaccone G, Johnson DH, Manegold C, Scagliotti GV, Rosell R, Wolf M, Rennie P, Ochs J, Averbuch S & Fandi A 2002 A phase III clinical trial of ZD1839 ('Iressa') in combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin in chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (INTACT 1). *Annals of Oncology* **13** 2. Abstract 40.
- Giaccone G, Johnson D, Scagliotti GV, Manegold C, Rosell R, Rennie P, Wolf M, Averbuch S, Grous J & Fandi A 2003 Results of a multivariate analysis of prognostic factors of overall survival of patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with gefitinib (ZD1839) in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy in two large phase III trials (INTACT 1 and 2). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 627 Abstract 2522.
- Goldstein NI, Prewett M, Zuklys K, Rockwell P & Mendelsohn J 1995 Biological efficacy of a chimeric antibody to the epidermal growth factor receptor in a human tumor xenograft model. *Clinical Cancer Research* **1** 1311–1318.
- Grant S, Qiao L & Dent P 2002 Roles of ERBB family receptor tyrosine kinases, and downstream signaling pathways, in the control of cell growth and survival. *Frontiers in Bioscience* **7** d376–d389.
- Grunwald V & Hidalgo M 2003a Developing inhibitors of the epidermal growth factor receptor for cancer treatment. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* **95** 851–867.
- Grunwald V & Hidalgo M 2003b Development of the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor OSI-774. *Seminars in Oncology* **30** 23–31.
- Harari PM & Huang S-M 2001 Radiation response modification following molecular inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor signaling. *Seminars in Radiation Oncology* **11** 281–289.
- Harari PM, Huang S-M, Herbst R & Quon H 2003 Molecular targeting of the epidermal growth factor receptor in head and neck cancer. In *Head and Neck Cancer: a Multidisciplinary Approach*, pp 1001–1016. Eds Harrison LB, Sessions RB & Hong WK. Philadelphia, PA, USA: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins.
- Herbst RS 2002 Targeted therapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Oncology* **16** 19–24.
- Herbst RS 2003a Dose-comparative monotherapy trials of ZD1839 in previously treated non-small cell lung cancer patients. *Seminars in Oncology* **30** 30–38.
- Herbst RS 2003b Erlotinib (Tarceva): an update on the clinical trial program. *Seminars in Oncology* **30** 34–46.
- Herbst RS & Hong WK 2002 IMC-C225, an anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody for treatment of head and neck cancer. *Seminars in Oncology* **29** 18–30.
- Herbst RS & Shin DM 2002 Monoclonal antibodies to target epidermal growth factor receptor-positive tumors: a new paradigm for cancer therapy. *Cancer* **94** 1593–1611.
- Herbst RS, Giaccone G, Schiller J, Miller V, Natale R, Rennie P, Ochs J, Fandi A, Grous J & Johnson D 2003 Subset analyses of INTACT results for gefitinib (ZD1839) when combined with platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 627 Abstract 2523.
- Herbst RS, Prager D, Hermann R, Miller V, Fehrenbacher L, Hoffman P, Johnson B, Sandler AB, Mass R & Johnson DH 2004 TRIBUTE — A phase III trial of erlotinib HCl (OSI-774) combined with carboplatin and paclitaxel (CP) chemotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **23** 617 Abstract 7011.
- Hidalgo M, Siu LL, Nemunaitis J, Rizzo J, Hammond LA, Takimoto C, Eckhardt SG, Tolcher A, Britten CD, Denis L *et al.* 2001 Phase I and pharmacologic study of OSI-774, an epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with advanced solid malignancies. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **19** 3267–3279.
- Hirata A, Ogawa S, Kometani T, Kuwano T, Naito S, Kuwano M & Ono M 2002 ZD1839 (Iressa) induces antiangiogenic effects through inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase. *Cancer Research* **62** 2554–2560.
- Huang SM & Harari PM 1999 Epidermal growth factor receptor inhibition in cancer therapy: biology, rationale and preliminary clinical results. *Investigational New Drugs* **17** 259–269.
- Huang SM, Bock JM & Harari PM 1999 Epidermal growth factor receptor blockade with C225 modulates proliferation, apoptosis, and radiosensitivity in squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. *Cancer Research* **59** 1935–1940.
- Iwata KK, Provoncha K & Gibson N 2002 Inhibition of mutant EGFRvIII transformed cells by tyrosine kinase inhibitor OSI-

- 774 (Tarceva). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 21a Abstract 79.
- Johnson DH, Herbst R, Giaccone G, Schiller J, Natale RB, Miller V, Wolf M, Helton A, Averbuch S & Grous J 2002 ZD1839 ('Iressa') in combination with paclitaxel & carboplatin in chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): results from a phase III clinical trial (INTACT 2). *Annals of Oncology* **13** 127. Abstract 4680.
- Jungbluth AA, Stockert E, Huang HJS, Collins VP, Coplan K, Iversen K, Kolb D, Johns TJ, Scott AM, Gullick WJ *et al.* 2003 A monoclonal antibody recognizing human cancers with amplification/overexpression of the human epidermal growth factor receptor. *PNAS* **100** 639–644.
- Karashima T, Sweeney P, Slaton JW, Kim SJ, Kedar D, Izawa JI, Fan Z, Pettaway C, Hicklin DJ, Shuin T *et al.* 2002 Inhibition of angiogenesis by the antiepidermal growth factor receptor antibody ImClone C225 in androgen-independent prostate cancer growing orthotopically in nude mice. *Clinical Cancer Research* **8** 1253–1264.
- Karp DD, Silberman SL, Csudae R, Wirth F, Gaynes L, Posner M, Bubley G, Koon H, Bergman M, Huang M *et al.* 1999 Phase I dose escalation study of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase (TK) inhibitor CP-358,774 in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **18** 388a Abstract 1499.
- Kelly K, Hanna N, Rosenberg A, Bunn PA & Needle MN 2003 A multicenter phase I/II study of cetuximab in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin in untreated patients with stage IV non-small cell lung cancer. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 644 Abstract 2592.
- Kies MS, Arquette MA, Nabell L, Quin D, Shin D, Needle MN, Waksal H, Hong WK & Herbst RS 2002 Final report of the efficacy and safety of the anti-epidermal growth factor antibody Erbitux (IMC-C225), in combination with cisplatin in patients with recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN) refractory to cisplatin containing chemotherapy. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 232a Abstract 925.
- Kim ES, Khuri FR & Herbst RS 2001 Epidermal growth factor receptor biology (IMC-C225). *Current Opinion in Oncology* **13** 506–513.
- Kim ES, Mauer AM, Fossella FV, Jamison TA, Kies MS, Pisters KM, Glisson BS, Blumenschein GRJ, Zinner RG, Tran HT *et al.* 2002 A phase II study of erbitux (IMC-C225), an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) blocking antibody, in combination with docetaxel in chemotherapy refractory/resistant patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 293a Abstract 1168.
- Kris MG, Natale RB, Herbst RS, Lynch TJJ, Prager D, Belani CP, Schiller JH, Kelly K, Spiridonidis C, Albain KS *et al.* 2002 A phase II trial of ZD1839 ('Iressa') in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients who had failed platinum- and docetaxel-based regimens (IDEAL 2). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 292a. Abstract 1166.
- Kris MG, Natale RB, Herbst RS, Lynch TJ Jr, Prager D, Belani CP, Schiller JH, Kelly K, Spiridonidis H, Sandler A *et al.* 2003 Efficacy of gefitinib, an inhibitor of the epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase, in symptomatic patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a randomized trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **290** 2149–2158.
- Lynch DH & Yang XD 2002 Therapeutic potential of ABX-EGF: a fully human anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody for cancer treatment. *Seminars in Oncology* **29** 47–50.
- Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, Gurubhagavatula S, Okimoto RA, Brannigan BW, Harris PL, Haserlat SM, Supko JG, Haluska FG *et al.* 2004 Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. *New England Journal of Medicine* **350** 2129–2139.
- Magne N, Fischel JL, Dubreuil A, Formento P, Poupon MF, Laurent-Puig P & Milano G 2002 Influence of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), p53 and intrinsic MAP kinase pathway status of tumour cells on the antiproliferative effect of ZD1839 ('Iressa'). *British Journal of Cancer* **86** 1518–1523.
- Mendelsohn J 2001 The epidermal growth factor receptor as a target for cancer therapy. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **8** 3–9.
- Mendelsohn J & Baselga J 2003 Status of epidermal growth factor receptor antagonists in the biology and treatment of cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **21** 2787–2799.
- Meropol MJ, Berlin J, Hecht JR, Croghan GA, Patnaik A, Weiner LM, Sweed MF, Wiens BL, Caron D & Visonneau S 2003 Multicenter study of ABX-EFR monotherapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 256 Abstract 1026.
- Miller VA, Patel J, Shah N, Kris MG, Tyson L, Pizzo B, Zakowski M, Memoli N, Sandler A & Johnson DH 2003 The epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor erlotinib (OSI-774) shows promising activity in patients with bronchioloalveolar cell carcinoma (BAC): preliminary results of a phase II trial. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 619 Abstract 2491.
- Mishima K, Johns TG, Luwor RB, Scott AM, Stockert E, Jungbluth AA, Ji XD, Suvana P, Voland JR, Old LJ *et al.* 2001 Growth suppression of intracranial xenografted glioblastomas overexpressing mutant epidermal growth factor receptors by systemic administration of monoclonal antibody (mAb) 806, a novel monoclonal antibody directed to the receptor. *Cancer Research* **61** 5349–5354.
- Moulder SL, Yakes FM, Muthuswamy SK, Bianco R, Simpson JF & Arteaga CL 2001 Epidermal growth factor receptor (HER1) tyrosine kinase inhibitor ZD1839 (Iressa) inhibits HER2/neu (erbB2)-overexpressing breast cancer cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Cancer Research* **61** 8887–8895.
- Moyer JD, Barbacci EG, Iwata KK, Arnold L, Boman B, Cunningham A, DiOrio C, Doty J, Morin MJ, Moyer MP *et al.* 1997 Induction of apoptosis and cell cycle arrest by CP-358,774, an inhibitor of epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase. *Cancer Research* **57** 4838–4848.
- Naramura M, Gillies SD, Mendelsohn J, Reisfeld RA & Mueller BM 1993 Therapeutic potential of chimeric and murine anti-(epidermal growth factor receptor) antibodies in a metastasis model for human melanoma. *Cancer Immunology and Immunotherapy* **37** 343–349.

- Needle MN 2002 Safety experience with IMC-C225, an anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibody. *Seminars in Oncology* **29** 55–60.
- Nicholson RI, Gee JM & Harper ME 2001 EGFR and cancer prognosis. *European Journal of Cancer* **37** (Suppl 4) S9–S15.
- Paez JG, Janne PA, Lee JC, Tracy S, Greulich H, Gabriel S, Herman P, Kaye FJ, Lindeman N, Boggon TJ *et al.* 2004 EGFR mutations in lung cancer: correlation with clinical response to gefitinib therapy. *Science* **304** 1497–1500.
- Perez-Soler R, Chachoua A, Huberman M, Karp D, Rigas J, Hammond L, Rowinsky E, Preston D, Ferrante KJ, Allen LF *et al.* 2001 A phase II trial of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitor OSI-774, following platinum-based chemotherapy, in patients (pts) with advanced, EGFR-expressing, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 309a Abstract 1235.
- Perrotte P, Matsumoto T, Inoue K, Kuniyasu H, Eve BY, Hicklin DJ, Radinsky R & Dinney CP 1999 Anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibody C225 inhibits angiogenesis in human transitional cell carcinoma growing orthotopically in nude mice. *Clinical Cancer Research* **5** 257–265.
- Pollack VA, Savage DM, Baker DA, Tsaparikos KE, Sloan DE, Moyer JD, Barbacci EG, Pustilnik LR, Smolarek TA, Davis JA *et al.* 1999 Inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor-associated tyrosine phosphorylation in human carcinomas with CP-358,774: dynamics of receptor inhibition in situ and antitumor effects in athymic mice. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* **291** 739–748.
- Prados M, Chang S, Burton A, Kapadia A, Rabbitt J, Page M, Federoff A, Kelly S & Fyfe G 2003 Phase I study of OSI-774 alone or with temozolomide in patients with malignant glioma. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 99 Abstract 394.
- Prewett M, Rockwell P, Rockwell RF, Giorgio NA, Mendelsohn J, Scher HI & Goldstein NI 1996 The biologic effects of C225, a chimeric monoclonal antibody to the EGFR, on human prostate carcinoma. *Journal of Immunotherapy with Emphasis on Tumor Immunology* **19** 419–427.
- Prewett M, Rothman M, Waksal H, Feldman M, Bander NH & Hicklin DJ 1998 Mouse-human chimeric anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibody C225 inhibits the growth of human renal cell carcinoma xenografts in nude mice. *Clinical Cancer Research* **4** 2957–2966.
- Prewett M, Overholser J, Hooper A & Waksal HH 1999 Growth inhibition of human pancreatic carcinoma *in vitro* and *in vivo* by chimeric anti-EGF receptor monoclonal antibody C225. *Proceedings of the American Association for Cancer Research* **40** 730 Abstract 4818.
- Qu CK 2002 Role of the SHP-2 tyrosine phosphatase in cytokine-induced signaling and cellular response. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **1592** 297–301.
- Ranson M, Hammond LA, Ferry D, Kris M, Tullo A, Murray PI, Miller V, Averbuch S, Ochs J, Morris C *et al.* 2002 ZD1839, a selective oral epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor, is well tolerated and active in patients with solid, malignant tumors: results of a phase I trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **20** 2240–2250.
- Robert F, Ezekiel MP, Spencer SA, Meredith RF, Bonner JA, Khazaeli MB, Saleh MN, Carey D, LoBuglio AF, Wheeler RH *et al.* 2001 Phase I study of anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibody cetuximab in combination with radiation therapy in patients with advanced head and neck cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **19** 3234–3243.
- Robert F, Blumenschein G, Dicke K, Tseng J, Saleh MN & Needle M 2003 Phase IB/IIA study of anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibody, cetuximab, in combination with gemcitabine/carboplatin in patients with advanced stage IV non-small cell lung cancer. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 643 Abstract 2587.
- Robertson JFR, Gutteridge E, Cheung KL, Owers R, Koehler M, Hamilton L, Gee J, Nicholson RI 2003 Gefitinib ('Iressa', ZD1839) is active in acquired tamoxifen (TAM)-resistant oestrogen receptor (ER)-positive and ER-negative breast cancer: results from a phase II study. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 7 Abstract 23.
- Rosenberg AH, Loehrer PJ, Needle MN, Waksal H, Hollywood E, Ramos L & Saltz LB 2002 Erbitux (IMC-C225) plus weekly irinotecan (CPT-11), fluorouracil (5FU) and leucovorin (LV) in colorectal cancer (CRC) that expresses the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 135a Abstract 536.
- Roskos L, Lohner M, Osborn K, Pasumarthi R, Lu H, Funelas C, Raie N, Yang X-D, Weiner L, Figlin RA *et al.* 2002 Low pharmacokinetic variability facilitates optimal dosing of ABX-EGF in cancer patients. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 91a Abstract 362.
- Salomon DS, Brandt R, Ciardiello F & Normanno N 1995 Epidermal growth factor-related peptides and their receptors in human malignancies. *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology* **19** 183–232.
- Saltz L, Rubin M, Hochster H, Tchekmeydian NS, Waksal H, Needle M & LoBuglio A 2001 Cetuximab (IMC-C225) plus irinotecan (CPT-11) is active in CPT-11-refractory colorectal cancer (CRC) that expresses epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **20** 3a Abstract 7.
- Saltz L, Meropol NJ, Loehrer PJ, Waksal H, Needle MN & Mayer RJ 2002 Single agent IMC-C225 (Erbitux(tm)) has activity in CPT-11-refractory colorectal cancer (CRC) that expresses the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 127a Abstract 504.
- Saltz L, Kies M, Abbruzzese JL, Azarnia N & Needle M 2003 The presence and intensity of the cetuximab-induced acne-like rash predicts increased survival in studies across multiple malignancies. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 204 Abstract 817.
- Saul H 2003 27th ESMO Congress, Nice, 18–22 October 2002. Iressa disappoints in NSCLC. *European Journal of Cancer* **39** 1.
- Schoffski P, Lutz MP, Folprecht G, Beutel G, Seufferlein T, Marschner JP, Harstrick A & Kohne CH 2002 Cetuximab (C225) plus irinotecan (CPT-11) plus infusional 5FU-folinic acid (FA) is safe and active in metastatic colorectal cancer (MCRC), that expresses epidermal growth factor receptor

- (EGFR). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 159a Abstract 633.
- Schultz J 2003 Apparent adverse drug reactions prompt concern about Iressa. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* **95** 577–579.
- Schwartz G, Dutcher J, Vogelzang N, Gollob J, Thompson J, Bukowski RM, Figlin RA, Lohner M, Roskos L, Hwang CC *et al.* 2002 Phase 2 clinical trial evaluating the safety and effectiveness of ABX-EGF in renal cell cancer (RCC). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** Abstract 91.
- Shepherd FA, Pereira J, Ciuleanu TE, Tan EH, Hirsh V, Thongprasert S, Bezjak A, Tu D, Santabarbara P & Seymour L 2004 A randomized placebo-controlled trial of erlotinib in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) following failure of 1st line or 2nd line chemotherapy. A National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group (NCIC CTG) trial. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **23**. Abstract 7022.
- Shin DM, Donato NJ, Perez-Soler R, Shin HJ, Wu JY, Zhang P, Lawhorn K, Khuri FR, Glisson BS, Myers J *et al.* 2001 Epidermal growth factor receptor-targeted therapy with C225 and cisplatin in patients with head and neck cancer. *Clinical Cancer Research* **7** 1204–1213.
- Sirotinak FM 2003 Studies with ZD1839 in preclinical models. *Seminars in Oncology* **30** 12–20.
- Sirotinak FM, Zakowski MF, Miller VA, Scher HI & Kris MG 2000 Efficacy of cytotoxic agents against human tumor xenografts is markedly enhanced by coadministration of ZD1839 (Iressa), an inhibitor of EGFR tyrosine kinase. *Clinical Cancer Research* **6** 4885–4892.
- Sorkina T, Huang F, Beguinot L & Sorkin A 2002 Effect of tyrosine kinase inhibitors on clathrin-coated pit recruitment and internalization of epidermal growth factor receptor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277** 27433–27441.
- Soulieres D, Senzer NN, Vokes EE, Hidalgo M, Agarwala SS & Siu LL 2004 Multicenter phase II study of erlotinib, an oral epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with recurrent or metastatic squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **22** 77–85.
- Toyoda H, Komurasaki T, Uchida D & Morimoto S 1997 Distribution of mRNA for human epiregulin, a differentially expressed member of the epidermal growth factor family. *Biochemical Journal* **326** 69–75.
- Van Laethem J-L, Raoul J-L, Mitry E, Brezault C, Hussein F, Cals L, Vedovato JC, Mueser MM & Rougier P 2003 Cetuximab (C225) in combination with bi-weekly irinotecan (CPT-11), infusional 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), and folinic acid (FA) in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) expressing the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). Preliminary safety and efficacy results. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 264 Abstract 1058.
- Vega-Villegas E, Awada R, Mesia L, Geoffrois L, Borel C, Humblet Y, Lopez-Poussa A, Hitt R, Amellal N & Bourhis J 2003 A phase I study of cetuximab in combination with cisplatin or carboplatin and 5-FU in patients with recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Proceedings of the American Association for Cancer Research* **22** 502 Abstract 2020.
- Viens P, L'Homme C, Extra JM, Gladiéff L, Kalla S & Fabbro M 2003 A phase II trial to evaluate efficacy and safety of gefitinib (ZD1836) in patients with loco-regionally advanced or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22** 456 Abstract 1833.
- Viloria-Petit AM, Rak J, Hung MC, Rockwell P, Goldstein N, Fendly B & Kerbel RS 1997 Neutralizing antibodies against epidermal growth factor and ErbB-2/neu receptor tyrosine kinases down-regulate vascular endothelial growth factor production by tumor cells in vitro and in vivo: angiogenic implications for signal transduction therapy of solid tumors. *American Journal of Pathology* **151** 1523–1530.
- Wang P, Fredlin P, Davis CG & Yang X-D 2002 Therapeutic potential of ABX-EGF, a fully human anti-EGF receptor monoclonal antibody for the treatment of renal cell carcinoma. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **21** 191a Abstract 761.
- Wang P, Fredlin P, Davis CG & Yang X-D 2003 Human anti-EGF receptor monoclonal antibody ABX-EGF: a potential monotherapy for the treatment of prostate cancer. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **22**. Abstract 4525.
- Watanabe T, Shintani A, Nakata M, Shing Y, Folkman J, Igarashi K & Sasada R 1994 Recombinant human betacellulin. Molecular structure, biological activities, and receptor interaction. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **269** 9966–9973.
- Wells A 1999 EGF receptor. *International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology* **31** 637–643.
- Wiley HS 2003 Trafficking of the ErbB receptors and its influence on signaling. *Experimental Cell Research* **284** 78–88.
- Woodburn JR 1999 The epidermal growth factor receptor and its inhibition in cancer therapy. *Pharmacology and Therapeutics* **82** 241–250.
- Woodburn JR, Kendrew J, Fennell M & Wakeling AE 2000 ZD1839 ('IRESSA') A selective epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor (EGFR-TKI): inhibition of c-fos mRNA, an intermediate marker of EGFR activation, correlates with tumor growth inhibition. *Proceedings of the American Association for Cancer Research* **41** 402 Abstract 2552.
- Yang XD, Jia XC, Corvalan JR, Wang P, Davis CG & Jakobovits A 1999 Eradication of established tumors by a fully human monoclonal antibody to the epidermal growth factor receptor without concomitant chemotherapy. *Cancer Research* **59** 1236–1243.
- Yang XD, Jia XC, Corvalan JR, Wang P & Davis CG 2001 Development of ABX-EGF, a fully human anti-EGF receptor monoclonal antibody, for cancer therapy. *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology* **38** 17–23.
- Yarden Y 2001 The EGFR family and its ligands in human cancer: signalling mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *European Journal of Cancer* **37** (Suppl 4) S3–S8.
- Yarden Y & Sliwkowski MX 2001 Untangling the ErbB signalling network. *Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology* **2** 127–137.