Differential expression of the CCN family members Cyr61, CTGF and Nov in human breast cancer
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Abstract

The CCN family members cysteine-rich 61 (Cyr61/CCN1), connective tissue growth factor (CTGF/CCN2) and nephroblastoma over-expressed (Nov/CCN3) play diverse roles in cells, are known to regulate cell growth, adhesion, matrix production and migration and are involved in endocrine-regulated pathways in various cell types. The role of these molecules in cancer remains controversial. In a cohort of 122 human breast tumours (together with 32 normal breast tissues) we have analysed the expression of all three CCN members at the mRNA and protein levels. Significantly higher levels of Cyr61 (P = 0.02), but low levels of CTGF and Nov, were seen in tumour tissues compared with normal tissues. Significantly raised levels of Cyr61 were associated with poor prognosis (P = 0.02), nodal involvement (P = 0.03) and metastatic disease (P = 0.016). Patients who died of breast cancer also had high levels of Cyr61. In contrast, CTGF in patients with poor prognosis (P = 0.021), metastasis (P = 0.012), local recurrence (P = 0.0024) and mortality (P = 0.0072) had markedly reduced levels. Similar to CTGF, low levels of Nov were also seen in patients with poor prognosis and mortality and with significantly decreased survival (P = 0.033 and P = 0.0146, respectively). This result was fully supported by immunohistochemical analysis of frozen sectioned tissues. While fibroblasts and endothelial cells generally expressed good levels of all three CCN proteins, highly invasive MDA MB 231 cells expressed lower levels of CTGF and Nov, but higher levels of Cyr61, than the less invasive MCF-7. It is concluded that members of the CCN family are differentially expressed and may play important but contrasting roles in the progressive nature of human breast cancer. While Cyr61 appears to act as a factor stimulating aggressiveness, CTGF and Nov may act as tumour suppressors.
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Introduction

The CCN family comprises cysteine-rich 61 (Cyr61/CCN1), connective tissue growth factor (CTGF/CCN2) and nephroblastoma over-expressed (Nov/CCN3). Recently the Wnt-induced secreted proteins (WISPs) have been found to also belong to the CCN family and so WISP-1 has been named CCN4, WISP-2 is CCN5 and WISP-3 is CCN6. These proteins stimulate mitosis, adhesion, apoptosis, extracellular matrix production, growth arrest and migration of multiple cell types (for a recent review, see Brigstock 2003). Many of these activities probably occur through the ability of CCN proteins to bind and activate cell-surface integrins and intracellular signalling molecules including fibulin 1C, Notch 1, S100A4 and ion channels (Perbel 2004). Accumulating evidence supports a role for these factors in endocrine pathways and endocrine-related processes. Despite the progress in understanding the biology of these molecules in cells and signalling pathways, the role of the CCN members in cancer is far from clear and the results in the literature remain controversial.

Cyr61 is a known angiogenic factor (Babic et al. 1998, Mo et al. 2002), which acts probably by regulating pro-
Table 1 Clinical information on patients in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Information</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nodal status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM-1</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM-2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM-3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM-4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical outcome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease-free</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With metastasis</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With local recurrence</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died of breast cancer</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Materials and methods

Sample collection

Human breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and MDA MB 231, and human fibroblast cell line MRC-5, were purchased from the European Collection of Animal Cell Cultures (ECACC, Salisbury, Hants, UK). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were purchased from TCS Biologicals (Oxford, UK). Breast cancer tissues (n = 120) and normal background tissues (n = 32) were collected immediately after surgery and stored in a deep freeze until use. Patients were routinely followed clinically after surgery. The median follow-up period was 72 months for the current study. The presence of tumour cells in the collected tissues was verified by a consultant pathologist (A D-J), who examined Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E)-stained frozen sections. Details of the histology were obtained from pathology reports and have been given in Table 1.

Materials

RNA-extraction kit and RT kit were obtained from AbGene, Guildford, Surrey, UK. PCR primers were designed using Beacon Designer (Palo Alto, CA, USA) and synthesized by Invitrogen (Paisley, Scotland, UK). Molecular-biology-grade agarose and DNA ladder were from Invitrogen. Mastermix for routine PCR and quantitative PCR was from AbGene. Goat anti-human Cyr61, CTGF and Nov antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA).

Tissue processing, RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Frozen sections of tissues were cut at a thickness of 5–10 µm and kept for immunohistochemistry and routine histology (Jiang et al. 2003a). A further 15–20 sections were homogenized using a hand-held homogenizer in ice-cold RNA extraction solution. The concentration of RNA was determined using a UV spectrophotometer. Reverse transcription was carried out using an RT kit with an anchored oligo-dT primer supplied by AbGene, using 1 µg total RNA in a 96-well plate. The quality of cDNA was verified using β-actin primers.

Quantitative analysis of CCN family members

The transcript level of the CCN family members from the above-prepared cDNA was determined using a real-time

angiogenic integrins (Tsai et al. 2000, Leu et al. 2002). MCF-7 breast cancer cells, when transfected with Cyr61, acquire hormone independence and anti-oestrogen resistance. These cells become tumorigenic and invasive, accompanied by increased angiogenic activities. Cyr61 has been indicated in tumorigenesis and disease progression in breast tumour models (Tsai et al. 2002a). Cyr61 expression can be up-regulated by hormones such as progesterin (Sampath et al. 2002), and agents including phorbol ester and vitamins D and E2 (Sampath et al. 2001, Tsai et al. 2002b), but down-regulated by retinoic acid (Tsai et al. 2002b). The role for CTGF/CCN2 in cancer is far less clear. CTGF has been shown to affect cell-cycle progression, by up-regulating cyclin A and reducing p27kip1 (Kothapalli and Grotendorst 2000). CTGF has been found to be highly expressed in proliferating endothelial cells and glioma cells (Pan et al. 2002). In breast cancer cells, CTGF mRNA was found to be absent from MCF-7. Transfection of MCF-7 with CTGF expression vector results in a high degree of apoptosis (Hishikawa et al. 1999). This has been supported partly by a recent study which shows that over-expression of CTGF in oral squamous cell carcinoma results in reduction in cell growth and tumorigenesis (Moritani et al. 2003). Nov/CCN3 is probably the least-studied CCN member. Nov has been indicated to stimulate the proliferation of fibroblasts (Liu et al. 1999). Over-expression of Nov in glioma cells results in cells with slower growth rate and low tumorigenicity (Gupta et al. 2001).

Thus, the role of the CCN family in clinical cancer is unclear and in many cases remains controversial. In the current study, we have examined the relationship between Cyr61, CTGF and Nov at the mRNA and protein levels in a cohort of human breast cancer, and studied the clinical outcomes. We report here that while Cyr61 was highly over-expressed in tumour tissues and was linked to the progressive nature of breast tumours, CTGF and Nov exhibited lower levels in breast tumours and were inversely linked to a poor prognosis, suggesting that differentially expressed CCN members may have clear contrasting roles in the development of human breast cancer.
quantitative PCR, based on the Amplifluor™ technology (Nazarenko et al. 1997), modified from a previously reported method (Jiang et al. 2003a, 2003b). Briefly, a pair of PCR primers was designed using the Beacon Designer software (version 2). To one of the primers (routinely the antisense primer in our laboratory), an additional sequence, known as the Z sequence (5'-ACTGAACCTGACCGTACA-3'), which is complementary to the universal Z probe (Nazarenko et al. 1997; Intergen, Oxford, UK), was added. A Taqman detection kit for β-actin was purchased from Perkin-Elmer. The primers used were: Cyr61, 5'-GGGCTGGAATGCAA CTT-C-3' and 5'-ACTGAACCTGACCGTACA-3', which is complementary to the universal Z probe (Nazarenko et al. 1997; Intergen, Oxford, UK), was added. A Taqman detection kit for β-actin was purchased from Perkin-Elmer. The primers used were: Cyr61, 5'-GGGCTGGAATGCAA CTT-C-3' and 5'-ACTGAACCTGACCGTACA-3', which is complementary to the universal Z probe (Nazarenko et al. 1997; Intergen, Oxford, UK), was added.

Results

Expression of CCN members in breast tissues

Using quantitative PCR, it was shown that Cyr61 displayed significantly higher levels in tumour tissues compared with normal tissues (Fig. 1). In contrast, levels of CTGF were significantly lower in tumour tissues than in normal tissues. The levels of Nov (CCN3) were also low in tumour tissues, but the difference was not statistically significant. When the levels of the transcripts were normalized by CK19, as revealed by the CCN:CK19 ratio (Fig. 1, inserts), similar trends were seen with respective molecules.

Distribution of CCN members in different cell types

In immunohistochemical analysis, strong Cyr61 staining was seen in both cancer cells and endothelial cells in tumour tissues (Fig. 2A & B). In contrast, the staining of both cell types in normal tissues was visibly weaker than in cancer cells (Fig. 2A). The staining pattern of CTGF was in clear contrast with Cyr61, in that CTGF strongly stained normal epithelial cells, stromal cells and endothelial cells, but only weakly stained cancer cells (Fig. 2C & D). However, it is noteworthy that matrix in tumour
tissues exhibited some degree of staining. The pattern of Nov staining was similar to that of CTGF (Fig. 2E & F). Fig. 3 shows the quantitative analysis of cytoplasmic staining of normal mammary epithelial cells and breast cancer cells, using image analysis. Protein levels of Cyr61 were significantly higher in cancer cells compared with normal mammary epithelial cells. In contrast, both CTGF and Nov proteins were seen at lower levels in breast cancer cells (Fig. 3, middle and right-hand panels).

To further verify the cell source of these molecules, we measured the levels of transcripts in highly aggressive MDA MB 231 and less-invasive MCF-7 breast cancer cells, MRC5 fibroblasts, and HECV and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) endothelial cells. Both fibroblasts and endothelial cells expressed high levels of all three members. The expression patterns of Cyr61 and those of CTGF and Nov were very different in breast cancer cell lines. The highly invasive MDA MB 231 cells expressed high levels of Cyr61, but relatively lower levels of CTGF and Nov, when compared with non-invasive MCF-7 cells.

Levels of CCN members and relationship with prognostic indices and nodal involvement

The prognosis indices used here were 2-fold; nodal status and the Nottingham Prognostic Index (NPI; where NPI-1 represents patients with NPI<3.5 and good prognosis, NPI-2 is 3.5–5.4 with moderate prognosis, and NPI-3 is > 5.4 with poor prognosis).

Significantly higher levels of Cyr61 were seen in NPI-3 tumours when compared with NPI-1 tumours ($P = 0.02$; Fig. 4, left-hand panel). Although Cyr61 was higher in NPI-2 tumours, the difference between NPI-1 and NPI-2 was nonetheless not significant. In contrast to Cyr61, CTGF was significantly lower in NPI-3 tumours ($P = 0.021$ compared with NPI-1 tumours; Fig. 4, middle panel). No significant difference was seen for the Nov transcript between different groups (Fig. 4, right-hand panel; $P = 0.07$, NPI-3 compared with NPI-1). Similar trends were seen when the target:CK19 ratio was assessed (Fig. 4, inserts).

Cyr61 was expressed at significantly higher levels in node-positive tumours compared with node-negative tumours ($P = 0.034$; Fig. 5, left-hand panel). Although node-positive tumours had higher levels of CTGF than node-negative ones (Fig. 5, middle panel), the difference was not statistically significant. No difference of Nov transcripts was seen between node-positive and -negative tumours (Fig. 5, right-hand panel). The same changes were also seen with the CCN:CK19 ratio (Fig. 5, inserts).

Levels of CCN members and relationship with TNM staging and tumour differentiation

Cyr61 was highly expressed in TNM-3/4 tumours (Fig. 6, left-hand panel), compared with TNM-1 tumours ($P = 0.05$ and 0.106 respectively). A marginal and non-significant reduction of CTGF was seen in TNM-2/3/4 tumours, compared with TNM-1 tumours (Fig. 6, middle panel). The changes of Nov transcripts were similar to those of CTGF, except that TNM-4 tumours had significantly lower levels of Nov compared with TNM-1 tumours ($P = 0.0048$; Fig. 6, right-hand panel). Similar trend of changes in each molecule were seen when the CCN:CK19 ratios were compared (Fig. 6, inserts).

Grade 2 and grade 3 tumours have significantly higher levels of Cyr61, compared with grade 1 tumours (Table 2). Although levels of CTGF and Nov in grade 3 tumours were generally low compared with grade 1 tumours, this difference is nonetheless not statistically significant (Table 2).
Correlation between levels of CCN members and ER status

The possible relationship between CCN family members and ER status was also analysed. There was no significant correlation between ER and ER-β with any of the family members when tumours were analysed as an entire cohort. However, ER was inversely correlated with Nov in NPI-3 tumours ($r = -0.32$), and CTGF and Nov in TNM-3 tumours ($r = -0.40$ and $r = -0.58$, respectively), and Nov in TNM-4 tumours ($r = -0.76$). The correlations between ER-β and the CCN members revealed an inverse correlation with all three members in tumours which developed metastasis ($r = -0.32$ for Cyr61, $r = -0.39$ for CTGF and $r = -0.49$ for Nov, respectively).

Figure 2 Immunohistochemical staining of Cyr61 (A, B), CTGF (C, D) and Nov (E, F) in normal (A, C, E) and tumour (B, D, F) tissues. Shown are magnifications ×100 (main panels), and ×400 (inserts).
Following a 6-year follow up, patients were divided into four groups; those who remained disease-free, developed metastasis, had local recurrence and those who died of breast cancer (excluding deaths unrelated to breast cancer). In addition, the disease-free group was also compared with those groups with incidence (a combination of the other three groups). As shown in Fig. 7 (left-hand panel), patients with metastasis had significantly higher levels of Cyr61 \( (P = 0.016) \). A marginally high level of the molecule was also seen in those who had recurrence and mortality. The Cyr61:CK19 ratios were 193 ± 120, 3145 ± 1311, 3146 ± 15670 and 2951 ± 1169.

**CCN and clinical outcome**

Following a 6-year follow up, patients were divided into four groups; those who remained disease-free, developed metastasis, had local recurrence and those who died of breast cancer (excluding deaths unrelated to breast cancer). In addition, the disease-free group was also compared with those groups with incidence (a combination of the other three groups). As shown in Fig. 7 (left-hand panel), patients with metastasis had significantly higher levels of Cyr61 \( (P = 0.016) \). A marginally high level of the molecule was also seen in those who had recurrence and mortality. The Cyr61:CK19 ratios were 193 ± 120, 3145 ± 1311, 3146 ± 15670 and 2951 ± 1169.
for those who remained disease free, developed metastasis, had local recurrence and died of breast cancer, respectively. The disease-free group had a significantly lower level than the other three groups combined ($P = 0.0054$; Fig. 7, left-hand insert).

Levels of CTGF showed a very different trend to those of Cyr61, in which each group with incidence had a significantly lower level of CTGF compared with the disease-free group (Fig. 7, middle panel; ($P = 0.012$, $P = 0.0024$ and $P = 0.0072$ in those with metastasis, local recurrence and who died of breast cancer compared with those remained disease free). The changes were also similarly reflected by the CTGF:CK19 ratios, which were $3451 \pm 1283$, $26.3 \pm 11$, $522 \pm 393$ and $193 \pm 111$, for those who remained disease free, developed metastasis, had local recurrence and who died of breast cancer compared with those remained disease free. The changes were also similarly reflected by the CTGF:CK19 ratios, which were $3451 \pm 1283$, $26.3 \pm 11$, $522 \pm 393$ and $193 \pm 111$, for those who remained disease free, developed metastasis, had local recurrence and who died of breast cancer, respectively. As expected, the combination group (with complications) had a significantly lower level of CTGF than the disease-free group (Fig. 7, middle insert; $P = 0.021$).

The only group that had a significantly lower level of Nov than the disease-free group was patients who died of breast cancer ($P = 0.024$; Fig. 7, right-hand panel). The other groups and group combination failed to show a statistical difference from the disease-free group. This was true for both the transcripts and the Nov:CK19 ratio.

Kaplan–Meier survival and univariate analyses have shown that high levels of both CTGF:CCN2 ($P = 0.033$) and Nov:CCN3 ($P = 0.0146$) were significantly correlated with higher overall survival (Fig. 8). Higher levels of Cyr61, however, was associated with poorer survival, although this was statistically insignificant ($P = 0.086$).

**Discussion**

The current study has shown an aberrant expression of the CCN family members Cyr61, CTGF and Nov in human breast cancer. In addition to the clinical implications of aberrant expression, we also demonstrated a contrasting and differential expression of Cyr61 and CTGF in these patients.

The results on Cyr61 from our study concurs with a previous study by Xie *et al.* (2001a) on breast cancer in that Cyr61 is raised in breast tumours and is associated with aggressiveness of the tumours, including higher levels in NPI-3, nodal status, high grade, TNM-3 and tumours which developed metastasis. It would suggest that Cyr61 is a factor that is associated with a poor outcome in clinical tumours. Cyr61 has been shown to correlate with stage, tumour size and nodal status (Sampath *et al.* 2001, Xie *et al.* 2001*a*, 2001*b*). The study by Xie *et al.* did not provide information at the protein level. In clear contrast, Cyr61 has been shown to act as a tumour suppressor in non-small-cell lung cancer (Tong *et al.* 2001). In this study, Cyr61 has been shown to induce cell-cycle arrest at the G1 phase and human non-small-cell lung cancer tumours have far lower levels of Cyr61 compared with

---

**Table 2** Expression of CCN family members in different grades of breast tumours (data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. number of transcripts).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyr61</td>
<td>$13.5 \pm 9.5$</td>
<td>$205 \pm 126$</td>
<td>$315 \pm 145$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTGF</td>
<td>$664 \pm 348$</td>
<td>$134 \pm 52$</td>
<td>$340 \pm 136$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>$151 \pm 25$</td>
<td>$174 \pm 34$</td>
<td>$86.7 \pm 25$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

($P = 0.033$ vs grade 1) ($P = 0.01$ vs grade 1) ($P = 0.15$ vs grade 1) ($P = 0.40$ vs grade 1) ($P = 0.58$ vs grade 1) ($P = 0.0307$ vs grade 1)
matched normal lung tissues. Expression of Cyr61 has been found to be reduced in thyroid cancer (Wasenius et al. 2003) as well as in prostate cancer tissues in which the reduction of Cyr61 appears to occur at both the protein and mRNA levels (Pilarsky et al. 1998). Cyr61 is over-expressed in highly invasive breast cancer cell lines compared with less-invasive cell lines (Xie et al. 2001b). It is possible therefore that the role of Cyr61 as a pro-aggressive factor or as a tumour suppressor is dependent on tumour histology.

Our data provide further insight into the differential expression pattern of the other CCN members, namely CTGF and Nov, from that of Cyr61. The levels of both molecules were lower in tumours at both the protein and mRNA levels. These two molecules are generally low in aggressive NPI-3 tumours (with predicted poor prognosis) and TNM-3/4 tumours. The significance of the low-level expression of CTGF is best reflected by the dramatically low level of expression in patients with a poor clinical outcome, including those who developed metastasis, recurrence and who died of breast cancer. A significant reduction of Nov is seen in patients who died of breast cancer (Fig. 7, right-hand panel), supported by significantly reduced survival (Fig. 8, bottom panel). The clear contrast between Cyr61 and CTGF/Nov suggests very different roles for the proteins of this family in the development of breast cancer. The mechanisms by which these three molecules acted differently are presently unclear. However, the observation made in this study is not isolated. Increased expression of CTGF has been shown in pancreatic cancer (largely in stromal cells) and is associated with the degree of fibrosis (Wenger et al. 1999). The CTGF gene promoter has been shown to be suppressed by the Wilms tumour suppressor WT1 in cell lines derived from Wilms tumours (Stanhope-Baker & Williams 2000). Although CTGF was found to be over-expressed in oesophageal carcinoma (Koliopanos et al. 2002), highest levels were associated with longer survival in squamous cell carcinoma, but with shorter survival in adenocarcinoma. In squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus, high levels of CTGF are associated with longer survival (Koliopanos et al. 2002), and over-expression of CTGF in oral squamous cell carcinoma is associated with slower growth (Moritani et al. 2003). In breast cancer, CTGF has been found to be associated with nodal status and tumour size (Xie et al. 2001a). Adrenocortical tumours also have low levels of Nov compared with normal tissues and over-expression of Nov in these tumour cells would reduce the growth of glioma cells (Gupta et al. 2001, Martinerie et al. 2001). In a recent report, Nov has been found to be over-expressed in metastatic tumours and primary tumour with metastatic potential in rhabdomyosarcoma, Ewing's sarcoma (Manara et al. 2002). In breast cancer, Nov has been reported to have little correlation with clinical parameters (Xie et al. 2001a). It has been shown recently that Nov was reduced progressively from normal, benign tumour to malignant adrenocortical tissues (Martinerie et al. 2001). Thus, one of the possibilities is that in different tumours and cell types, different molecules may have differing roles, as suggested recently by Perbel (2004). CCN members including CTGF and Nov are known to have different variants, possibly as a result of alternative splicing (Perbel 2004). These variants may come into play in the overall biological functions of CCN members. It would be interesting to examine the expression of these variants, but this is beyond the scope of the current report.
It has been shown that oestrogen is a powerful inducer of the expression of Cyr61 in breast cancer cells (Xie et al. 2001b). Expression of Cyr61 mRNA increased 8–12-fold in MCF-12A cells and 3–5-fold in MCF-7 cells after 24- and 48-h exposure to oestrogen, respectively. Induction of Cyr61 mRNA can be blocked by tamoxifen and ICI182780, inhibitors of the ER (Xie et al. 2001b). In order to examine if a relationship exists between the status of ER (ER-α and ER-β) and the CCN family members, we have analysed the correlation coefficient between these molecules. It must be declared the correlation analyses of this nature are somewhat indirect and can only provide a general guide. ER-α and ER-β are generally inversely correlated with the CTGF and Nov in aggressive tumours, such as TNM-3/4 and tumours with metastasis. No relationship, otherwise, has been found between ER and Cyr61. In addition, despite the fact that the CCN family has been found to interact with Notch-1 and S100A, and that these binding partners were aberrant in the same cohort of patients as reported from our recent studies (Parr and Jiang 2004, Jiang et al. 2004), no significant correlation was found between Cyr61, CTGF, Nov, Notch-1 and S100A4 (results not shown).

The current study has also provided information on potential use of CK19 as a means to address the possible difference in cellularity in different tissues. Although some discrepancies were observed in terms of the magnitude of the difference between the levels of transcripts based on equal amount of RNA and that based on the transcript:CK19 ratio, the two parameters generally agree with each other (Figs 1, 4, 5 and 6, main figures and respective inserts). This may suggest that CK19, when used in connection with epithelial markers, can be a useful tool in normalizing the differences in cellularity, as shown in our previous studies (King et al. 2004, Parr and Jiang 2004). On the other hand, the low degree of expression of these molecules in other cell types such as stromal and endothelial cells may have contributed to the minor discrepancies.

In summary, the CCN family members display different patterns of aberration in their expression in human breast cancer. Cyr61 is clearly linked to the aggressive nature of breast tumours. In clear contrast, CTGF, and to some degree Nov, show an inverse relationship with the aggressiveness of tumours, with lowest levels seen in patients with the poorest prognosis. The contrasting expression patterns of the CCN family may indicate a therapeutic and prognostic role for the family and also warrant further investigation into the molecular aspects of the aberrant expression of this pivotal family. We are currently investigating the role of the CCN family members in the growth and invasiveness of breast cancer cells.
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