Zoledronic acid cooperates with a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor and gefitinib in inhibiting breast and prostate cancer
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Abstract

Biphosphonates (BPs) are widely used to inhibit osteoclastic activity in malignant diseases such as bone metastatic breast and prostate carcinoma. Recent studies reported that BPs could also cause a direct antitumor effect, probably due to their ability to interfere with several intracellular signalling molecules. The enzyme cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) play an important role in the control of cancer cell growth and inhibitors of COX-2 and EGFR have shown antitumor activity in vitro and in vivo in several tumor types. We, and others, have previously shown that EGFR and COX-2 may be directly related to each other and that their selective inhibitors may have a cooperative effect. In the present study we have evaluated the combined effect of zoledronic acid, the most potent nitrogen-containing BP, with the COX-2 inhibitor SC-236 and the selective EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitor gefitinib, on breast and prostate cancer models in vitro and in xenografted nude mice. We show that combination of zoledronic acid with SC-236 and gefitinib causes a cooperative antitumor effect accompanied by induction of apoptosis and regulation of the expression of mitogenic factors, proangiogenic factors and cell cycle controllers both in vitro and in xenografted nude mice. The modulatory effect on protein expression and the inhibitory effect on tumor growth is much more potent when the three agents are used together. Since studies are ongoing to explore the antitumor effect of zoledronic acid, our results provide new insights into the mechanism of action of these agents and a novel rationale to translate this feasible combination treatment strategy into a clinical setting.

Introduction

The increased understanding of intracellular signaling mechanisms has highlighted the role played in the control of cancer cell growth and spread by several critical proteins, now regarded as potentially relevant targets for therapeutic intervention. A pivotal role is played by the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), which is overactivated in a wide variety of epithelial cancers and triggers a cascade of signals affecting multiple cellular functions (Ciardiello & Tortora 2001, Mendelsohn & Baselga 2003). Several selective EGFR inhibitors have successfully entered clinical evaluation — including the tyrosine kinase inhibitors gefitinib and erlotinib, and the monoclonal antibody cetuximab (Mendelsohn & Baselga 2003). Among the proteins activated by EGFR, the small GTPases of the Ras and Rho families have been reported to be dysregulated in different types of cancer (Sinensky 2000). Recent studies have also indicated...
that cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) — an enzyme that catalyzes the formation of prostaglandins (PGs) and is involved in cancer cell proliferation, angiogenesis and apoptosis (Turini & Dubois 2002) — is transcriptionally activated following EGFR activation via Ras-mediated signalling (Smith et al. 2000). Moreover, we have demonstrated that cancer cells that have acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors gefitinib and cetuximab, overexpress COX-2 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) as escape pathways to EGFR blockade (Ciardiello et al. 2004). For these reasons COX-2 is also considered a potential target for anticancer therapy and several selective inhibitors are currently under clinical evaluation. In this regard, we have demonstrated that combined blockade of EGFR and COX-2 by gefitinib and the COX-2 inhibitor SC-236, an analog of celecoxib with anti-proliferative and antiangiogenic properties (Masferrer et al. 1999), causes a cooperative antitumor and antiangiogenic effect in vitro and in vivo in human cancer models (Tortora et al. 2003).

These data suggest that the functional interactions among different signalling proteins may sustain cancer cell growth and dissemination, and that their combined blockade may produce a cooperative effect overcoming the possible occurrence of resistance.

For this purpose we have combined the EGFR inhibitor gefitinib and the COX-2 inhibitor SC-236 with the novel biphosphonate (BP) zolendronic acid (ZA). BPs, especially those containing a tertiary amine within a ring structure, such as ZA, are potent inhibitors of osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and are widely used for the treatment of breast and prostate carcinoma bone metastases (Lacerna & Hohneker 2003). Recent studies suggest that ZA also has direct antitumor effects: inhibition of tumor cell proliferation, adhesion, invasion and angiogenesis; promotion of apoptosis; local reduction of growth factors; and cytokines release (Green 2003). Although the precise mode of action of nitrogen-containing BPs is still not fully understood, one possible mechanism is based on their ability to impair post-translational prenylation of Ras and Rho (Sinensky 2000).

We have evaluated the antitumor activity in vitro and in vivo of ZA, gefitinib and SC-236 in human breast and prostate cancer cell models.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

Clinical grade gefitinib was provided by AstraZeneca, ZA (1-hydroxy-2-(1H-imidazole-1-yl)ethylidene-bis-phosphonic acid) was obtained in the form of its hydrated disodium salt from Novartis Pharma AG and SC-236 was provided by Pfizer (Groton, CT, USA).

**Cell lines**

PC3 human prostate and ZR-75-1 human breast cancer cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). PC3 and ZR-75-1 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 and Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) respectively, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 UI/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml) and 4 mM glutamine (ICN, Irvine, UK) in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO2 at 37°C.

**Growth in soft agar and analysis of combination index (CI)**

On day 0, cells (10 cells/well) were suspended in 0.5 ml of 0.3% DiFco Noble agar (DiFco, Detroit, MI, USA) supplemented with complete culture medium. This suspension was layered over 0.5 ml of 0.8% agar-medium base layer in 24-multiwell cluster dishes (Becton Dickinson, Lincoln Park, NJ, USA) and treated on days 0, 2 and 4 with the following concentrations of drugs: ZA, from 0.5 to 50 μM; SC-236, 1 and 2.5 μM; gefitinib, 0.5 μM. After 10–14 days, cells were stained with nitro blue tetrazolium (Sigma), and colonies >0.05 mm were counted. Growth inhibition results are expressed as the percentage of the number of colonies developed in each treatment well as compared with the absolute number of colonies developed in the untreated control group.

Assessment of synergy was performed following the method described by Chou and Talalay (1984) and using the CalcuSyn software program (Biosoft, Cambridge, UK). According to this method, CI values of <1, 1 and >1 indicate synergy, additivity and antagonism respectively.

**Apoptosis in cultured cells**

The induction of apoptosis was determined by the Cell Death Detection ELISA Plus Kit, which detects cytosolic histone-associated DNA fragments (Roche, Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany). Briefly, PC3 and ZR-75-1 cells (5 × 10⁴ cells/dish) were seeded into 35-mm dishes. Cells treated on days 1 to 5 with ZA (5 μM) and SC-236 (2.5 μM), and gefitinib (1 μM) alone or in combination, on day 6 were washed once with PBS; then 0.2 ml of lysis buffer was added. After a 30-min incubation, the supernatant was recovered and assayed for DNA fragments as recommended by the manufacturer. Each treatment was
performed in quadruplicate. The total number of cells was measured with a hemocytometer in additional plates receiving an identical treatment. The values resulting from readings of absorbance at A405 nm were normalized for cell number, and the ratio of the absorbance of treated cells to that of untreated cells was defined as the apoptotic index (AI).

**Western blot analysis**

Total cell lysates were obtained from either homogenized PC3 xenograft tumor specimens or from PC-3 and ZR-75-1 cells cultured in vitro. PC-3 and ZR-75-1 cells were treated on days 1 to 5 with ZA (5 µM) and SC-236 (2.5 µM), and gefitinib (1 µM) alone or in combination. On day 6 the protein extracts were resolved by 4–15% SDS-PAGE and probed with antihuman polyclonal COX-2, monoclonal phospho-rylated mitogen-activated protein kinase (pMAPK), monoclonal p27, monoclonal Ras and VEGF antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Immunoreactive proteins were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham), as described previously (Tortora et al. 1997).

**PC3 xenografts in nude mice**

The 5- to 6-week-old Balb/cAnNCrlBR athymic (nu+/nu+) mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Milan, Italy). The research protocol was approved, and mice were maintained in accordance with institutional guidelines of the University of Naples Animal Care and Use Committee. Mice were acclimatized to the University of Naples Medical School Animal Facility for 1 week before they received injections of cancer cells: 10 PC3 human prostate cancer cells were suspended in 200 µl Matrigel (Collaborative Biomedical Products, Bedford, MA, USA) and injected s.c. in mice. After 7 days, when well-established tumors of ~0.2 cm were detected, mice were randomized to receive different treatments. Groups of 8 mice were treated i.p. with ZA (400 mg/kg per day, days 2 and 4 of each week), SC-236 (12 mg/kg per day, days 1 to 5 of each week) and gefitinib (150 mg/kg per day, days 1 to 5 of each week) for 4 weeks. Tumor volume was measured using the formula: \( \pi/6 \times \text{larger diameter} \times (\text{smaller diameter})^2 \); as reported previously (Ciardiello et al. 1996).

**Results**

**Effect of combined treatment on cancer cell growth in vitro**

As shown in Fig. 1A and B, we first evaluated the antitumor activity of these drugs on the soft agar growth in vitro of PC3 prostate cancer and ZR-75-1 breast cancer cells. ZA caused a dose-dependent growth inhibition of both tested cells, resulting in approximately 43% inhibition at 0.5 µM and 77% at 10 µM in ZR-75-1 cells, while the same doses produced inhibition of approximately 17 and 95% respectively in PC3 cells. Growth inhibition increased when ZA was combined with either gefitinib (0.5 µM) or SC-236 (1.0 or 2.5 µM), and the most potent effects were seen when all three agents were combined in both cell lines. To better evaluate the interaction and the possible
cooperativity between ZA, SC-236 and gefitinib, we performed a combination analysis at their equipotent ratio and generated CI and CI-effect plots, according to Chou and Talalay (1984), using an automated calculation software (Fig. 2A and B). With this mathematical model, synergistic conditions occur when the CI is below 1.0. When the CI is less than 0.5, the combination is highly synergistic. Figure 2A and B demonstrates a strong synergism of action of ZA in combination with SC-236 and gefitinib in PC3 cells (CI = 0.66) and, particularly, in ZR 75-1 cells (CI = 0.35). The CI-effect plots (Fig. 2A and B) express the quantitative measure of the interaction of the three drugs for a given endpoint of the growth inhibition effect.

Effect of combined treatment on apoptosis

We evaluated the induction of apoptosis in PC3 and ZR-75-1 cells treated with suboptimal doses of ZA, gefitinib and SC-236. As compared with control untreated cells, a mostly additive proapoptotic effect was observed when any two drugs were combined. A clearly supra-additive effect was achieved when the three drugs were combined in PC3 cells, resulting in an apoptotic index over 1.5-fold higher than the sum of each individual agent, while a further additive effect was observed in ZR-75-1 cells as compared with each couple of agents (Fig. 3).
Effect of combined treatment on protein expression

We evaluated whether any combination treatment could affect the expression of some signaling proteins. As shown in Fig. 4, treatment of ZR-75-1 cells with the combination of ZA (2.5 μM) and SC-236 (1.0 μM) caused an inhibition of VEGF expression and a decrease of COX-2 and pMAPK expression, while any agent alone, or the combination of ZA+gefitinib, did not cause any change. This effect was further enhanced by addition of gefitinib (0.5 μM) to ZA+SC-236, resulting in a complete suppression of COX-2 and VEGF expression. In parallel, p27 expression increased with ZA+SC-236, as compared with single agents or to other coupled treatments, and further increased when the three agents were combined together. Similar data were obtained in PC-3 cells (data not shown).

Effect of combined treatment on tumor growth and protein expression in nude mice

We next evaluated whether the antitumor effect obtained in vitro with ZA, gefitinib and SC-236 could also be reproduced in vivo in nude mice bearing PC3 tumor xenografts. Groups of 8 mice with tumors of about 0.2 cm were randomized to receive suboptimal doses of ZA, gefitinib and SC-236, alone and in combination, to evaluate the occurrence of any cooperative effect among these drugs.

As shown in Fig. 5, treatment with SC-236 had only a modest inhibitory effect, while treatments with single-agent ZA or gefitinib transiently inhibited tumor growth, although tumors resumed a growth rate similar to that of untreated mice upon cessation of treatment. In contrast, in animals treated with any two agents, particularly with the ZA+SC-236 combination, an additive antitumor effect causing tumor growth delay was obtained. A dramatic and sustained inhibitory effect was obtained when the three drugs were combined together. In fact, only a modest increase in tumor size was recorded at the end of the experiment, 10 weeks after tumor cell injection and 5 weeks after treatment withdrawal. This effect was accompanied by a relevant prolongation of survival in this combination therapy group, as compared with other groups. The combined treatment with any two drugs or with all three drugs together was well tolerated; no weight loss or other signs of acute or delayed toxicity were observed.

In order to understand the mechanism of action underlying the in vivo activity of the combinations, we evaluated whether expression of some signaling proteins could be affected by any treatments. As represented in Fig. 6, western blot analysis of PC3 tumor specimens removed at the end of treatment, while they did not demonstrate any effects on the expression of Ras and COX-2, revealed a remarkable modulation of VEGF expression. In fact, as described...
Discussion

Recent studies are highlighting the ability of certain novel drugs, originally not devised as antitumor agents, to interfere with the function/expression of signalling proteins that play a critical role in the processes of tumor growth and spread. BPs are widely used to inhibit osteoclastic activity in malignant diseases involving excessive bone resorption such as metastatic prostate and breast carcinoma (Adami et al., 2000). Recent experimental evidence has demonstrated an antitumor effect of BPs, such as ZA, through the direct inhibition of tumor cell proliferation, survival, migration and invasion, and the suppression of angiogenesis (Green, 2003). At molecular level, the main targets of ZA action have recently been found to be the farnesylpyrophosphate and/or geranylgeranylporphosphate synthetase, leading to decreased generation of farnesyl diphosphate and geranylgeranyl diphosphate, isoprenoid intermediates required for post-translational prenylation of key small GTP-binding proteins such as Ras and Rho, essential for many cellular functions (Rogers et al., 2000).

The enzyme COX-2 is overexpressed in a variety of malignancies, including breast (Parrett et al., 1997) and prostate cancer (Gupta et al., 2000), and is associated with increased malignant potential, probably through the activity of COX-2-derived PGs (Turini & DuBois, 2002). Crosstalk between PG receptors and the EGFR may also contribute to increased cell growth (Pai et al., 2002; Buchanan et al., 2003; Shao et al., 2003). On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that COX-2 expression is transcriptionally induced by the Ras-mediated signaling cascade triggered by EGFR activation (Turini & DuBois, 2002). Further evidence of a functional interaction between EGFR and COX-2 is provided by our recent demonstration of a cooperative antitumor and antiangiogenic effect obtained with the combination of selective EGFR and COX-2 inhibitors (Tortora et al., 2003).

Due to these intimate functional interactions, in this study we have used the new generation N-BP, ZA, the small molecule selective EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, gefitinib, and the COX-2 inhibitor, SC-236; hypothesizing that the combination of these non-cytotoxic agents, by interfering with key and complementary pathways involved in tumor growth and angiogenesis, may result in an antitumor effect.

Based on previous observations of antitumor activity of the combination of gefitinib and a COX-2 inhibitor (Tortora et al., 2003, Chen et al., 2004) we demonstrated that both gefitinib and SC-236 in combination with ZA show a cooperative growth inhibitory effect on the in vitro soft agar growth of PC3 prostate cancer and ZR-75-1 breast cancer cells (Fig. 1). The most potent effect obtained with any couple was achieved by ZA+SC-236, while a synergistic (CI = 0.66) and a strongly synergistic (CI = 0.35) effect was achieved in PC3 and in ZR 75-1 cell lines when all three agents were combined, as demonstrated using the CI model (Fig. 2A and B).

There is increasing experimental evidence indicating that BPs may exert anticancer effects through the direct inhibition of tumor cell survival (Tassone et al., 2003). We demonstrated that the effect of combination treatment on tumor cells was not simply cytostatic, as the result of inhibition of tumor cell proliferation, but might be due to an increase in apoptotic cell death (Fig. 3).

The MAPK pathway is implicated in growth control in all its facets, including cell proliferation, transformation, differentiation and apoptosis. Although formation of tumor vessels is a complex and coordinated process, signalling by VEGF represents a crucial rate-limiting step in pathological angiogenesis during tumor progression (Tortora et al., 2004). Moreover, we and other groups have linked both VEGF and COX-2 to the development of resistance to the antitumor activity of EGFR inhibitors (Viloria-Petit et al., 2001; Ciardiello et al., 2004). p27, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, directs the timely exit of cells from the cell cycle in response to antimitogenic signals (Blain et al., 2000).
in vitro obtained expression increased. COX-2, pMAPK and VEGF expression, whilst p27 cooperative down-regulation of the expression of the combined treatment was accompanied by a cooperative down-regulation of the expression of COX-2, pMAPK and VEGF expression, whilst p27 expression increased.

We next evaluated whether the cooperative effect obtained in vitro could also be reproduced in vivo in nude mice bearing PC3 human prostate cancer xenografts. We used suboptimal doses of ZA, SC-236 and gefitinib to determine any cooperative effect among these drugs. Treatment with single-agent ZA transiently inhibits tumor growth because tumors resume the growth rate of untreated control after cessation of treatment. In contrast, we showed that any two agents used in combination may cooperate in delaying tumor growth, particularly ZA+SC-236. A marked cooperative effect was obtained when the three agents were used together.

We have analyzed whether a modulation of the expression of Ras and other proteins could be involved in this effect. Interestingly, no changes were detectable in Ras expression as well as in COX-2 expression, while, as observed in vitro, a potent inhibition of VEGF expression was caused by ZA+SC-236 and a complete suppression was obtained with the three agents together.

Recently, it has been reported that ZA impairs the EGFR-dependent activation of the key signal transducers MAPK and Akt, therefore interfering with the early steps of the EGFR-activated pathways (Caraglia et al. 2004). This activity may explain in part our observation that, although the addition of gefitinib can add a further inhibitory effect, the combination of ZA+SC-236 is already remarkably active on tumor growth and protein expression, partly shadowing the contribution of the selective EGFR inhibitor.

Several recent studies have demonstrated the antitumor activity of ZA in vitro (Matsumoto et al. 2005) as well as in vivo orthotopic bone models of prostate and breast cancer, also in combination with protein tyrosine kinase inhibitor and cytotoxic drugs (Kim et al. 2005) This is the first study demonstrating that a relevant cooperative antitumor effect can be achieved in an in vivo non-bone metastastatic model by combining ZA with SC-236 and gefitinib. Moreover, the results show that this cooperative effect can produce sustained control of cancer growth, inducing apoptosis and affecting the expression of relevant proteins involved in cell proliferation and angiogenesis.

The efficacy of ZA in the management of bone metastatic breast and prostate cancer has led to investigations into its potential antitumor activity in non bone metastatic patients and, in this regard, several studies are ongoing. We believe that our study provides a further insight into the antitumor effect of ZA in combination with selective signal transducers, supporting a treatment strategy that could be translated in a clinical setting.
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