Medical therapy for clinically non-functioning pituitary adenomas
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Abstract

Surgery is the first-line treatment of patients with clinically non-functioning pituitary adenomas (NFAs). Because of lack of clinical syndrome these tumours are diagnosed with a variable delay, when patients suffer from compression symptoms (hypopituitarism, headache and visual field defects) due to the extension of the tumour outside the pituitary fossa. Surgery is followed by residual tumour tissue in most patients. In these cases, radiotherapy is generally used to prevent tumour regrowth. However, NFA cell membranes, in analogy with GH- and PRL-secreting adenomas, express somatostatin and dopamine receptors. Treatment with somatostatin analogues (SSA) and dopamine agonists (DA) induced some beneficial effects on visual field defects and was also followed by tumour shrinkage in a minority of cases. DA seem to be more effective on tumour shrinkage than SSA. More recently, a combination treatment with both SSA and DA have been tested in a few patients with interesting results. Lack of randomized, placebo-controlled trials prevents any conclusion on the efficacy of these drugs. By contrast, use of gonatotrophin-releasing hormone analogues has been abandoned.

Endocrine-Related Cancer (2008) 15 905–915

Introduction

Non-functioning pituitary adenomas (NFAs) represent a very heterogeneous group of tumours, accounting for 25% of all pituitary adenomas (Katznelson et al. 1993). They often present with macroadenomas that cause neurological symptoms due to mass effects, as hormonal inactivity led to delayed diagnosis when compared with functioning pituitary adenomas. In fact, as recently reported by the results of a large database (Ferrante et al. 2006), almost all tumours were macroadenomas (96.5%) and the main presenting symptoms are visual defects (67.8%) and headache (41.4%), and the most frequent pituitary deficit was hypogonadism (43.3%).

Neurosurgery is the treatment of choice of these tumours (Jaffe 2006). However, because of frequent supra- or parasellar extension, surgery is infrequently curative, leaving tumour remnants that regrow during long-term follow-up in a significant proportion of cases (Ebersold et al. 1986, Harris et al. 1989, Colao et al. 1998). The use of post-operative radiotherapy is still controversial. Despite the demonstrated efficacy of radiotherapy in preventing tumour regrowth (Colao et al. 1998, Turner et al. 1999, Boelaert & Gittoes 2001), the selection of patients who will benefit by this therapy is made difficult by the absence of specific markers of tumour aggressiveness along with the potential side effects of this procedure that include hypopituitarism, neurocognitive dysfunction and cerebrovascular disease (Gittoes 2003, Greenman et al. 2003, Erfurth & Hagmar 2005). In addition, development of secondary intracranial tumour might rarely follow radiotherapy (Gittoes 2003, Greenman et al. 2003).

However, by immunocytochemistry, the large majority of these tumours are glycoprotein producing, and less commonly are non-functioning somatotroph, lactotroph or corticotroph adenomas (Jaffe 2006). In analogy with actively secreting adenomas, a proportion of NFA (up to 90%) is shown to secrete low amounts of FSH and LH and/or their α- and β-subunits either in vitro or in vivo (Katznelson et al. 1993, Jaffe 2006), and the vast majority of NFA also express on cell membranes different subtypes of somatostatin and dopamine receptors in a variable amount. Based on this feature, both dopamine agonists (DA) and somatostatin analogues are used for treatment.
analogue (SSA) have a rationale in the treatment of NFA. However, the results of DA and SSA so far have been rather disappointing (Colao et al. 2000a), except for some case reports.

The existence of a functional interaction between dopamine and somatostatin receptors (Rocheville et al. 2000) has opened a new perspective of medical treatment for such tumours.

This review focuses on the experimental background of pharmacotherapy in NFA and summarizes literature data reporting on (SSA (octreotide or lanreotide)), (DA (bromocriptine, quinagolide or cabergoline)), or a combination of both in NFA-bearing patients. Though abandoned from several years, the data on gonadotrophins suppression using gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH) analogues is also briefly revised.

**Experimental background of medical therapy in NFA**

Somatostatin and dopamine actions are mediated by five specific receptor subtypes respectively, sst1–sst5 and D1–D5 (Missale et al. 1998, Hofland & Lamberts 2004). Two different isoforms of sst2 and D2 have also been found and characterized (Missale et al. 1998, Hofland & Lamberts 2004). The different somatostatin and dopamine receptors have variable organ-, tissue- and cytospecific distribution and play different physiological roles.

**Somatostatin receptors**

Somatostatin receptors have been demonstrated to be highly expressed in all pituitary adenomas, with a predominance of sst2 and sst5 and infrequent expression of sst4 (Greenman & Melmed 1994a,b, Hofland & Lamberts 2001). The expression of sst2 predicts the response to octreotide and lanreotide, the two SSA most widely used in clinical practice, showing high affinity for sst2 and sst5 and low affinity for sst4 (van der Hoek et al. 2004). In NFA, the receptor subtype expressed in a higher amount was found to be the sst3 followed by the sst2 (which is the most expressed receptor in GH-secreting adenomas) while sst1, sst4 and sst5 transcripts were detected only in a few tumours (Taboada et al. 2007). Native somatostatin (binding all ssts) and selective analogues for sst3 and sst2 inhibited chromogranin-A (CgA) and α-subunit levels (Pawlikowski et al. 2007). CgA inhibition also correlated with sst5 expression (Pawlikowski et al. 2007). The expression of sst2 and sst5 in NFA was also associated with reduced cell viability by 20–80% (in 8 of 13 tumours sst2 positive) and 15–80% (in 10 of 13 tumours, all but three sst5 positive; Padova et al. 2008). Accordingly, pasireotide (SOM230), a somatostatin analogue binding sst1–3 and sst5, completely abrogated the promoting effects of vascular endothelial growth factor on NFA cell viability (Zatelli et al. 2007).

**Dopamine receptors**

In the normal pituitary, the D2 mediates the tonic inhibitory control of prolactin (PRL) secretion (Lambers & MacLeod 1990) by dopamine, and in prolactinomas drugs acting on D2 level are able to reduce the size of the tumour and control PRL excess (Duet et al. 1994). Ligand-binding studies and scintigraphic evaluations have shown the presence of dopamine-binding sites (most likely D2) in NFA (Bever & Burke 1986, Hedner & Valdemarsson 1989, Kwekkeboom & Lamberts 1992, Ferone et al. 1998, Colao et al. 2000b, Pivonello et al. 2004). A heterogeneous expression of D2 isoforms was observed in 16 out of 18 NFA, while in the remaining two no D2 expression was found (Renner et al. 1998). In gonadotrophin-immunopositive adenomas, the D2 was mainly localized in the LH- and FSH-immunopositive cells (Renner et al. 1998). Similarly, the D2 was found to be expressed in 67% of NFA with a prevalence of D2long (50%) when compared with the D2short (17%), and with both D2 isoforms expressed in 33% of cases (Pivonello et al. 2004). The D2 receptor is not the only dopamine receptor expressed in the pituitary gland. Indeed, the D4 receptor, in particular its D4.4 variant, is also expressed, though its role in the physiology of the pituitary gland is not known (Van Tol et al. 1992). The D4 was found to be expressed in 17% of NFA (Pivonello et al. 2004). Based on these data, the effects of different DA were investigated in these tumours both in vivo and in vitro (Bever et al. 1992). Cabergoline administration in vitro inhibited α-subunit concentration in 56% of cases and this result was associated with D2 expression (Pivonello et al. 2004).

**Interaction between somatostatin and dopamine receptors**

As mentioned before, D2 and sst5 interact physically through hetero-oligomerization to create a novel receptor with enhanced functional activity (Rocheville et al. 2000). Based on this observation, several investigators investigated whether the combination treatment with selective ligands of these two individual receptors as well as new molecules binding both receptors simultaneously could be effective in
NFA cell cultures. Florio et al. (2008) recently reported on 38 fibroblast-deprived NFA characterized for GH, POMC, sst1–5 and D2 and (in 15 of 38 cases) D2 long and short isoforms mRNA expression, and for α-subunit, LH and FSH release. They demonstrated that BIM-23A760, a molecule with high affinity for D2 and sst2, significantly inhibited 3H-thymidine incorporation in 23 out of 38 (60%) NFA cultures (Florio et al. 2008). However, BIM-23A760 effects were similar to those induced by cabergoline (Florio et al. 2008).

Clinical trials will clarify whether the experimental results will be confirmed.

Results of treatment with SSA

During the past 20 years, different SSAs have been used. The first available SSA is the short-acting form of octreotide (OCT), an octapeptide with preferential binding to sst2 and sst5, for s.c. administration, then another analogue, the slow-release lanreotide (LAN), showing that a similar receptor affinity for sst of OCT was made available for i.m. injections every 7–14 days. More recently, OCT and LAN have been made available for injections either i.m. or s.c. every 28–56 days respectively. The former represents OCT incorporated in microspheres of a biodegradable polymer, poly (DL-lactide-co-glycolide glucose) thus allowing the i.m. injection every 28 days (LAR), while the latter is LAN in water with no additional excipients (autogel (ATG)). The only available data so far have been produced using OCT. LAN was only tested in vitro in 12 NFA specimens which was shown to inhibit phorbol myristate acetate-induced cell proliferation (Florio et al. 1999), while no data are available for LAR and ATG.

A few clinical trials have been conducted to evaluate potential effects of OCT in patients with NFA (Warnet et al. 1989, 1997, Liuzzi et al. 1991, Turpin et al. 1991, De Bruin et al. 1992, Katzenelson et al. 1992, Gasperi et al. 1993, Merola et al. 1993, Plockinger et al. 1994, Borson-Chazot et al. 1997, Colao et al. 1999), as summarized in Table 1. Tumour reduction was reported only in 12% of cases, while the vast majority of patients had stable remnant tumours (Table 1). Importantly, only in 5% of the patients the tumour was found to increase during treatment, but generally follow-up of patients was too short (6 months in average) to draw final conclusion on a potential effect of OCT in preventing tumour regrowth. There are controversial data on the potential use of scintigraphy depicting sst expression of 111In-pentetreotide and treatment efficacy with OCT in NFA patients (Faglia et al. 1991, Duet et al. 1994, Plockinger et al. 1994, Warnet et al. 1997, Colao et al. 1999). Duet et al. (1994) demonstrated that of the five patients with NFA who had pituitary uptake of 111In-DTPAd-phe1-octreotide, only the patient with very high uptake (index of 15.1) had significant tumour shrinkage after OCT treatment. Similarly, we reported (Colao et al. 1999) significant tumour shrinkage (≥30% of baseline size) in two of six NFA during long-term OCT therapy. We also found a significant correlation between percentage of α-subunit suppression after 6–12 months of OCT therapy and tumour-to-background ratio in both early and late images. In fact, α-subunit levels

### Table 1 Summary results of octreotide s.c. treatment in patients with clinically non-functioning pituitary adenomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (year of publication)</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Unchanged</th>
<th>Worsened</th>
<th>Increased</th>
<th>Unchanged</th>
<th>Decreased</th>
<th>Dose (µg/d)</th>
<th>Duration (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warnet et al. (1989)</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>100–300</td>
<td>1–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turpin et al. (1991)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>300–450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Bruin et al. (1992)</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>3–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katzenelson et al. (1992)</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>2/8</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>200–750</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasperi et al. (1993)</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>2/8</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merola et al. (1993)</td>
<td>1/9</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>0/9</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>18/19</td>
<td>1/19</td>
<td>150–300</td>
<td>1–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plockinger et al. (1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/14</td>
<td>12/14</td>
<td>0/14</td>
<td>300–1500</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warnet et al. (1997)</td>
<td>9/22</td>
<td>9/22</td>
<td>4/22</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>300–600</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borson-Chazot et al. (1997)</td>
<td>8/16</td>
<td>6/16</td>
<td>2/16</td>
<td>0/16</td>
<td>16/16</td>
<td>0/16</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colao et al. (1999)</td>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>0/9</td>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>8/100</td>
<td>300–600</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>27/84</td>
<td>50/84</td>
<td>7/84</td>
<td>12/100</td>
<td>83/100</td>
<td>5/100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Summary results of dopamine agonists treatment in patients with clinically non-functioning pituitary adenomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (year of publication)</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Unchanged</th>
<th>Worsened</th>
<th>Increased</th>
<th>Unchanged</th>
<th>Decreased</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Dose (μg/d)</th>
<th>Duration (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Johnston et al. (1981)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wollesen et al. (1982)</td>
<td>0/11</td>
<td>2/11</td>
<td>9/11</td>
<td>0/11</td>
<td>0/11</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>15–60</td>
<td>2–33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wass et al. (1982)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrow et al. (1984)</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>6/12b</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grossman et al. (1985)c</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>DA</td>
<td>3–36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pullan et al. (1985)</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>15–37.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verde et al. (1985)</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>15/20</td>
<td>4/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>19/20</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>7.5–20</td>
<td>1–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zarate et al. (1985)</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>15–22.5</td>
<td>0.5–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vance et al. (1985)</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’Emden &amp; Harrison (1986)</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>5–40</td>
<td>4–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevan et al. (1987)</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>2.5–10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klibanski et al. (1988)</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>5–22.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedner &amp; Valdemarsson (1989)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferone et al. (1998)</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Luna et al. (1989)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>LAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs et al. (1991)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>LAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwekkeboom &amp; Lamberts (1992)</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobels et al. (2000)</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>36–93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colao et al. (2000)b</td>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>DA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giusti et al. (2000)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>CAB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lohmann et al. (2001)</td>
<td>2/13</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>6/13</td>
<td>7/13</td>
<td>CAB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenman et al. (2005)</td>
<td>7/33</td>
<td>15/33</td>
<td>11/33</td>
<td>7/33</td>
<td>12/33</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>21/104</td>
<td>74/104</td>
<td>9/104</td>
<td>17/199</td>
<td>127/199</td>
<td>55/199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DA, dopamine agonists; BRC, bromocriptine; CV, quinagolide; CAB, cabergoline; LA, bromocriptine long-acting injectable repeatable.


*Positive PRL immunostaining.

*Treatment was performed with BRC, mesulergine or pergolide.

*The dose of CAB is in mg/week.
were significantly reduced in the six patients with moderate to intense uptake of ¹¹¹In-DTPAd-phe¹-octreotide calculated on the pituitary background ratios (Colao et al. 1999). It should be stated, however, that ¹¹¹In-DTPAd-phe¹-octreotide scintigraphy is not anymore used in patients with pituitary adenomas.

A still unexplained finding was reported by Warnet et al. (1989) and confirmed by De Bruin et al. (1992): OCT treatment was followed by a rapid improvement in headache and visual disturbances, without any change in tumour volume. This effect was likely not owing to a direct effect on tumour size but more likely to a direct effect on the retina and the optic nerve (Lamberts et al. 1995). Borson-Chazot et al. (1997) reported a positive predictive value of 61% and a negative predictive value of 100% of ¹¹¹In-DTPAd-phe¹-octreotide scintigraphy in predicting the improvement of visual field after OCT treatment. Conversely, Plockinger et al. (1994) did not find any significant value of ¹¹¹In-DTPAd-phe¹-octreotide scintigraphy in predicting tumour shrinkage. However, approximately in one-third of the patients there was an improvement in visual field defects (Table 1).

Results of treatment with DA

DA are the main treatment in prolactinomas (Gillam et al. 2006). In NFA, as shown in Table 2, the cumulative evidence for tumour shrinkage after DA therapy is 27.6% (Johnston et al. 1981, Wass et al. 1982, Barrow et al. 1984, Grossman et al. 1985, Pullan et al. 1985, Verde et al. 1985, Zárate et al. 1985, D’Emden & Harrison 1986, Bevan et al. 1987, Klibanski et al. 1988, García-Luna et al. 1989, van Schaardenburg et al. 1989, Abs et al. 1991, Kwekboom & Lamberts 1992, Van Tol et al. 1992, Ferone et al. 1998, Colao et al. 2000b, Giusti et al. 2000, Nobels et al. 2000, Hofland & Lamberts 2001, Lohmann et al. 2001, Pivonello et al. 2004, Greenman et al. 2005). When compared with that obtained with SSA (5%), the latter was significantly different (χ² test, P < 0.0001). Additionally, as also observed in patients treated with SSA, there was an improvement in visual field defects (20.2%), which was similar to that obtained with SSA (32.1%). To note, prevalence of improvement in visual field defects is even higher than that calculated in Tables 1 and 2, as we reported the data related to the entire population and not to the population of patients presenting with visual field defects before starting treatment, as this information was not available in every study. Interestingly, the rate of tumour regrowth was as low as in the SSA-treated cohort (8.5 vs 12%, P = 0.46). Short duration of follow-ups, both in patients treated with SSA and DA, is clearly
a limitation in investigating the prevalence of tumour growth. As already mentioned for 111In-DTPA-Dphe1-octreotide scintigraphy in predicting tumour shrinkage, scintigraphy with radiolabelled dopamine analogue 123I-methoxybenzamide was used to predict hormone inhibition and tumour shrinkage in NFA-bearing patients. In two different patients’ series, intense uptake of 123I-methoxybenzamide was associated with tumour shrinkage after CV or cabergoline (CAB) (Faglia et al. 1991, Florio et al. 2008). More recently, in 18 patients with NFA treated either with CAB or CV, in vivo imaging of D2 using 123I-epidepride was not associated with tumour shrinkage (de Herder et al. 2006).

However, since medical treatment is applicable only after surgery at present, more interesting is the possibility to analyse D2 expression on tumour specimens after surgical removal to correlate with subsequent response to DA treatment. Pivonello et al. (2004) demonstrated that 1 year of CAB treatment at the dose of 3 mg/week induced a more than 25% tumour shrinkage in 56% of patients with histologically proven NFA. Tumour shrinkage after CAB treatment was significantly correlated with D2 expression (Fig. 1).

Since this is only a single experience, more data have to be accumulated before a definitive conclusion can be drawn. However, the reported finding of tumour enlargement after stopping BRC treatment in NFA experiencing tumour shrinkage (Clark et al. 1985) support the use of DA in such patients. According with Greenman (2007), the routine use of DA can be suggested in patients with NFA for prevention of post-operative tumour remnant regrowth based on the available data and the associated sequelae to pituitary radiotherapy.

Results of treatment with a combination treatment with SSA plus DA

Several data are available on the combination treatment with SSA plus DA in patients with GH-secreting tumours (Colao et al. 2007). This approach has been still poorly investigated in NFA. Andersen et al. (2001) reported that 6 months of a combination therapy with OCT and CAB at dosages of 200 μg thrice daily and 0.5 mg/day was reported to induce a greater than 10% tumour shrinkage in 60% of ten patients with NFA. Following these results, we attempted a combined treatment of LAN (60 mg every 14 day) plus CAB (0.5 mg every alternate day) for 6 months in a small series of ten patients with NFA undergoing pharmacotherapy after unsuccessful surgery (Colao et al. 2003). We found a significant decrease of residual volume (from 9.8 ± 2.1 to 6.7 ± 2.1 cm³; P = 0.04; Fig. 2) associated with improved mean defect at visual perimetry (from −14.5 ± 0.6 to −9.5 ± 1.2 on right eye; P = 0.0006, and from −11.9 ± 2.4 to −7.7 ± 1.8 on left eye; P = 0.02). These patients are still undergoing active follow-up to establish whether a combination treatment might have a role in NFA patients.

Results of treatment with GnRH analogues

Based on the evidence that NFAs produce intact gonadotrophins or, more often, α-subunit, attempts were made to inhibit gonadotrophin secretion by using GnRH analogues. As shown in Table 3, only a few cases have been reported (Chapman et al. 1984, Roman et al. 1984, Zárate et al. 1986, Klibanski et al. 1987, 1989, Sassolas et al. 1988, Daneshdoost et al. 1990,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (year of publication)</th>
<th>Effect on Gn</th>
<th>Effect on α-SU</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman et al. (1984)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapman et al. (1984)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zárate et al. (1986)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klibanski et al. (1987)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sassolas et al. (1988)</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klibanski et al. (1989)</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daneshdoost et al. (1990)</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombo et al. (1994)</td>
<td>4/8</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>3/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary table 3 Summary results of gonadotrophin-releasing hormone analogues treatment in patients with clinically non-functioning pituitary adenomas

*PubMed search using as key words ‘gonadotrophins and clinically non-functioning adenomas’ or ‘gonadotrophins and functionless adenomas’. Last search on 31 July 2008.
The prevalence of patients achieving decreased gonadotrophin or \( \alpha \)-subunit levels was similar to that of obtaining increased levels after treatment with various analogues at different dosages and treatment periods. No data are available for tumour shrinkage or visual field defects. This treatment is not anymore used worldwide in patients with NFA.

Other medical approaches

Type I interferons (IFNs) are routinely used in the treatment of chronic hepatitis B and C, multiple sclerosis and few tumours (Vitale et al. 2008). During the past 15 years, evidence has emerged that IFNs may play a regulatory role in hypothalamic–pituitary axis. Hofland et al. (1999) reported that incubation with IFN-\( \alpha \) resulted in inhibition of the secretion of gonadotrophins and/or \( \alpha \)-subunit in all four NFA cases (27–62%). However, in healthy volunteers, or in patients treated long term because of hepatitis or multiple sclerosis, changes of testosterone or gonadotrophin levels were found only during short-term administration (Vitale et al. 2008).

Conclusions

First-line therapy of patients with NFA is surgery. However, since these tumours are generally large at diagnosis, most patients present remnant tumours after surgery. In fact, of the 295 patients included into a database (Ferrante et al. 2006), 290 (98%) underwent surgery and on the basis of radiological findings, only 105 patients (35.5%) were considered cured (i.e. they had total tumour debulking) after surgery. Therefore, there is a need for an additional treatment in the vast majority of NFA-bearing patients. Conventional radiotherapy is reported to delay tumour regrowth (Turner et al. 1999, Ferrante et al. 2006) but causes hypopituitarism in virtually all patients after 10 years (Colao et al. 1998), and is associated with early cerebrovascular mortality in patients with acromegaly (Ayuk et al. 2004, Kauppinen-Makelín et al. 2005) but also in patients with NFA (Erfurth & Hagmar 2005). There is, thus, need for efficacious medical treatments for patients bearing remnant tumours after surgery. Both SSA and DA were found to be of some efficacy in selected patients with NFA, with the latter drugs being significantly more effective in reducing tumour volumes. However, no placebo-controlled long-term studies are available to suggest the use of SSA or DA or a combination of them. Therefore, on a practical point of view, the use of these compounds in patients with NFA is not evidence based.

Interestingly, Dekkers et al. (2007) recently reported that in 28 non-operated patients with NFA, tumour growth occurred in 14 patients (50%) after 118 ± 24 months, but spontaneous reduction of tumour volume also occurred in eight patients (29%). They thus suggested that even observation alone might be considered as a safe alternative to surgery in selected NFA patients, provided that no risk of irreversibly compromising visual function occurs. These latter findings indicate that a more conservative approach can also be attempted since NFAs are proven to grow very slowly so that surgery can be used only in patients with tumour compressive symptoms such as visual field defects, headache or hypopituitarism.
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