β-catenin activation is not involved in sporadic parathyroid carcinomas and adenomas
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Abstract

Aberrant accumulation of β-catenin has been found in various types of human tumors. The aim of this study was to evaluate whether Wnt/β-catenin signaling is activated in parathyroid carcinomas and adenomas. We studied 154 parathyroid tumors (18 carcinomas (13 with distant metastases), six atypical adenomas, and 130 adenomas). Three normal parathyroid tissues were used as control. Direct sequencing of exon 3 of the CTNNB1 gene showed absence of stabilizing mutations in all the tumors. Immunostaining of β-catenin was performed in all carcinomas and in 66 adenomas (including three atypical). Normal parathyroid showed a homogeneous distinct outer cell membrane staining in the majority of cells and no nuclear staining. A weak cytoplasmic staining was observed in one case. All tumors showed negative nuclear staining. With the exception of one carcinoma, which had a negative membrane staining, all other samples showed a membrane staining which was similar to that of the normal parathyroid. β-Catenin expression was heterogeneous with a range of positive cells between 5 and 80%, independently of tumor type. Our results suggest that the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is not involved in the development of parathyroid carcinomas and adenomas.
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Introduction

Parathyroid carcinoma is a rare endocrine malignancy and accounts for <1% of cases of sporadic primary hyperparathyroidism (PHPT) (Marcocci et al. 2008). Oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes have been linked to parathyroid carcinomas, especially those involved in the control of the cell cycle (Arnold et al. 2002). The hyperparathyroidism–jaw tumor syndrome, in which a high prevalence of parathyroid cancer is present, has provided the best evidence for a defined gene in parathyroid cancer (Carpten et al. 2002). The gene is known as CDC73 or HRPT2 and mutations have been identified in about 70% of cases of sporadic parathyroid carcinomas (Shattuck et al. 2003, Cetani et al. 2004, Howell et al. 2003). HRPT2 encodes a protein of 531 amino acids called parafibromin. Parafibromin is a tumor suppressor protein and has recently been linked to Wnt/β catenin pathway (Mosimann et al. 2006).

Activation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway is involved in a variety of human neoplasms (Polakis 2007). In the absence of Wnt signaling, β-catenin is phosphorylated and degraded by a protein complex consisting of adenosomatosis polyposis coli (APC), axin and glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3β), leading to its proteosomal degradation. The activation of Wnt signaling inhibits GSK-3β activity evoking aberrant β-catenin accumulation in the nucleus and activation of related target genes. Alterations of the different proteins involved in the Wnt/β-catenin signaling may lead to nuclear accumulation of β-catenin and activation of gene transcription (Polakis 2007). CTNNB1 gene
Genetic analyses

All samples were tested for exon 3 of CTNNB1 (GenBank accession no. NM_001904) mutations. Genomic DNA was isolated by standard methods. The region of interest was amplified by PCR with the following primers: forward primer, 5'-CAT TTC CAA TCT ACT AAT GCT; and reverse, 5'-CTG CAT TCT GAC TTT CAG TAA. Both strands of the PCR fragments were sequenced directly on an auto sequencer (ABI PRISM 310, Genetic Analyzer, Applied Biosystems Inc.).

Immunohistochemistry

Immunostaining studies were performed using paraaffin-embedded sections of three normal parathyroids, 18 carcinomas, three of the six atypical adenomas and 63 of the 130 adenomas which were all analyzed for CTNNB1 mutations. We could not use the other tissue samples because they were not available any longer as they have been used for other experiments. Side-by-side immunohistochemistry was also performed in paraaffin-embedded and frozen tissue specimens from the same cases (two normal parathyroid biopsies and 15 parathyroid adenomas).

Sections were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in alcohol. Endogenous peroxide activity was blocked by incubating the slides in 1% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 10 min. In order to unmask the antigen, the slides were microwave-treated in 10 mM citrate buffer, pH 6.0 for 10 min. After blocking nonspecific staining with normal serum the sections were incubated for 1 h with the primary anti-β-catenin monoclonal antibody (dilution 1:50) raised against the C-terminus (Dako Diagnostics, Carpinteria, CA, USA). The sections were then incubated with biotin-labeled secondary antibody (dilution 1:500) and avidin–biotin complex (Vector, Burlingame, CA, USA) for 30 min each. Sites of binding were visualized using 3,3-diaminobenzidine as chromogen. Finally, sections were counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, and mounted. Positive and negative controls were breast ductal carcinoma, typically showing a distinct membrane staining, and experiments in which the primary antibody was omitted respectively. Staining of nucleus, cytoplasm, and outer membrane was evaluated and separately quantified according to the percentage of positive cells, independently of the intensity of staining. Each section was evaluated by two independent observers without knowledge of the diagnosis. In a few cases where the assessment differed between the two observers, the disagreements were resolved by reaching a consensus after joint review using

Materials and methods

Tissue samples

Parathyroid specimens consisted of 18 carcinomas (13 with distant metastases), six atypical adenomas and 130 adenomas from sporadic PHPT patients of Italian origin. Histopathological classification was according to the guidelines of the World Health Organization (DeLellis et al. 2006). Atypical adenoma was defined by histological features such as trabecular growth, mitoses, and fibrous band in the absence of definite capsular and vascular invasion (DeLellis et al. 2006). Normal parathyroid tissue of normocalcemic Italian patients (paraaffin-embedded (n=3) inadvertently removed at thyroid surgery; frozen biopsies (n=2) from patients operated on for nodular goiter) was used as control. Tissues were obtained at surgery, immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until use, or retrieved from pathological archives. Informed consent and approval by our Institutional Review Board were obtained.
a conference microscope. For frozen tissue immunostaining 5-micron-thick sections of either normal or pathological tissues were prepared in a cryostat and stored at −80 °C before use. Frozen sections were air-dried and then fixed for 10 min in 4% formaldehyde at room temperature. All subsequently steps were similar to those using paraffin-embedded samples.

Results

Genetic analysis of the CTNNB1 gene

To investigate the potential role of the Wnt-β-catenin pathway in the pathogenesis of parathyroid carcinomas, we first screened genomic DNA from 18 parathyroid carcinomas for mutations in exon 3 of CTNNB1 gene. No mutations were detected in these tumors.

Direct sequencing of the same region did not show stabilizing mutations in any of the 130 adenomas. In particular we found no evidence of the previously reported S37A mutation or other changes from the wild-type sequence.

Immunohistochemistry

Unless otherwise stated all immunostaining results refer to those obtained using paraffin-embedded samples.

Normal parathyroid tissue displayed a distinct cell membrane staining in about 80% of the cells and no nuclear staining (Fig. 1). A similar cell membrane staining was observed using frozen normal parathyroid biopsies (Fig. 1). A weak granular cytoplasmic staining was observed in one case.
A summary of β-catenin immunostaining in parathyroid tumors is reported in Table 1. Representative cases are shown in Fig. 1. All parathyroid carcinomas and adenomas showed a negative nuclear staining. With the exception of one carcinoma, which had a negative membrane staining, all other samples showed a membrane staining which was similar to that of the normal parathyroid. β-Catenin expression was heterogeneous with a range of positive cells between 5 and 80%, independently of tumor type. A weak granular cytoplasmic staining was present in 12 of 81 (14.8%) samples (five of 18 carcinomas and seven of 63 adenomas). There was no relationship between cytoplasmic and cell membrane staining. All frozen parathyroid adenomas also showed a negative nuclear staining. A distinct membrane staining, which was similar to that of normal parathyroid biopsies, was observed (Fig. 1).

Discussion

The results of the present investigation show lack of CTNNB1 gene mutations in a series of sporadic parathyroid carcinomas and adenomas. To our knowledge no study has previously searched for these mutations in parathyroid malignancy. Five studies by four groups performed in different countries have previously looked for CTNNB1 mutations in parathyroid adenomas (Semba et al. 2000, Ikeda et al. 2002, Björklund et al. 2007, 2008, Costa-Guda & Arnold 2007). Negative results were reported in Japanese (Semba et al. 2000, Ikeda et al. 2002) and American (Costa-Guda & Arnold 2007) series. On the other hand, Björklund et al. (2007, 2008), in a Swedish population, found a somatic homozygous S37A substitution in exon 3 of CTNNB1 gene in nine out of 124 (7.3%) adenomas (Table 2).

In the absence of CTNNB1 gene mutations, an activation of the Wnt/β-catenin signal in parathyroid carcinomas may result from abnormalities of other components of this pathway, namely APC, axin, GSK-3β, and other proteins. To investigate this possibility we looked for alterations of β-catenin expression by immunohistochemistry. The results of the present study show absent nuclear β-catenin staining suggesting that there is no activation of this pathway in parathyroid carcinoma. As a matter of fact the parathyroid carcinomas displayed the same membrane and weak cytoplasmic staining observed in the normal parathyroid tissue. Our data are in agreement with those recently reported by Juhlin et al. (2009) using a C-terminus antibody similar to the one used by us. Conversely, when using an antibody against the active β-catenin, Juhlin et al. (2009) detected a cytoplasmic and nuclear staining in parathyroid carcinomas, but this pattern was comparable with that observed in normal parathyroid rims.

We also found absent nuclear expression of β-catenin in parathyroid adenomas. A summary of the published data on β-catenin immunostaining are reported in Table 2. Björklund et al. (2007, 2008) reported a specific nuclear staining in all parathyroid adenomas, but not in the normal parathyroid. However, at least looking at the picture reporting the staining of 84 parathyroid adenomas (Fig. 3 in Björklund et al. 2008), we are not sure that it provides clear and convincing evidence of nuclear staining and may warrant further scrutiny. In the other studies (Ikeda et al. 2000, Semba et al. 2000, Juhlin et al. 2009, Cetani et al. present study) with the exception of one parathyroid adenoma, no tumors showed abnormal (nuclear) β-catenin expression as compared with normal parathyroid tissue. The nuclear expression detected by Juhlin et al. (2009) in parathyroid adenomas using an antibody against active β-catenin was similar to that observed in normal parathyroid tissue.

The reasons for discrepant data on β-catenin status in parathyroid adenomas are unclear. As far as mutational analysis, the different results might be related to chance clustering with a low somatic mutation frequency of

---

### Table 1 Results of β-catenin immunohistochemistry in parathyroid tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive staining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outer cell membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinomas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With metastases</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without metastases</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenomas</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical adenomas</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aAll tumors were tested for exon 3 of CTNNB1 mutations.
*bNumbers in parentheses indicates the samples with >50% positive cells.
*cWeak granular staining.*
CTNNB1, differences in the genetic background of sample populations, and dietary and environmental factors. A wide range of frequency of CTNNB1 mutations has been observed in other human tumors (reviewed in Gamallo et al. (1999)), but no relationship was reported between geographical origin and frequency of somatic mutation in colorectal cancer and melanomas (reviewed in Bjo¨rklund et al. (2008) ).

Differences in the genetic background could, in theory but without known precedent, contribute to the different prevalence of acquired CTNNB1 mutations between the Japanese and Swedish PHPT series, but not between the latter and the American and Italian series, since they have the same genetic ancestry. Therefore, dietary and environmental factors might be at least partly responsible for such differences. As far as immunohistochemistry data, beyond the above comments on the studies of Bjo¨rklund et al. possible differences in the experimental conditions should also be taken into account. Bjo¨rklund et al. (2007, 2008) used frozen tissues, whereas all other authors employed paraffin-embedded materials. However, at least in our hands, β-catenin immunostaining did not differ using paraffin embedded or frozen parathyroid samples. Finally, antibodies raised against different portion of β-catenin were employed. In spite of that, similar results were reported by Bjo¨rklund et al. (2007) using four antibodies, either polyclonal or monoclonal, with different specificity (anti-active or anti-C-terminus).

In conclusion, our study suggests that alterations in the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway are not involved in the development of parathyroid carcinomas. The status of β-catenin in benign parathyroid tumors remains controversial and additional studies including tumor samples from different countries are warranted.
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Table 2 Summary of β-catenin mutation (exon 3) and immunohistochemical studies in normal parathyroid tissue and parathyroid tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>C-term β-catenin</th>
<th>Active β-catenin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nuclear expression</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal parathyroid tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semba et al. (2000)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ND(^a)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>ND(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikeda et al. (2002)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>0/13(^b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Björklund et al. (2007)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0/32</td>
<td>0/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal nuclear expression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinomas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semba et al. (2000)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ND(^a)</td>
<td>1/9(^c)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juhlin et al. (2009)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0/24</td>
<td>0/24</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa-Guda &amp; Arnold (2007)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0/97</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Björklund et al. (2007)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3/20</td>
<td>37/37</td>
<td>37/37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Björklund et al. (2008)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>6/104</td>
<td>81/81</td>
<td>81/81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juhlin et al. (2009)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cetani (present study)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0/130</td>
<td>0/63</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>9/376 (2.4%)</td>
<td>119/232 (51.3%)</td>
<td>118/136 (86.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Not done.
\(^b\)Adjacent normal rim of adenomas.
\(^c\)A mixture of positive and negative nuclei is reported by the authors.
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