Human heterochromatin protein 1 isoform HP1β enhances androgen receptor activity and is implicated in prostate cancer growth
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Abstract

There are currently few successful therapies for castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). CRPC is thought to result from augmented activation of the androgen/androgen receptor (AR) signaling pathway, which could be enhanced by AR cofactors. In this study, heterochromatin protein 1β (HP1β), but not HP1α or HP1γ was found to be an AR cofactor. HP1β interacted with the AR, and enhanced the DNA-binding ability of AR to androgen-responsive element in the prostate-specific antigen enhancer and promoter regions, and to increase the transcription of AR target genes. In prostate cancer (PCa) tissues, HP1β expressions correlated with Gleason score and tri-methylation levels of histone H3 lysine 9. Silencing of HP1β suppressed the growth of AR-expressing PCa cells by inducing cell-cycle arrest at the G1 phase, similar to inhibition of androgen/AR signaling. Furthermore, HP1β was overexpressed in castration-resistant LNCaP derivative CxR cells, and HP1β knockdown also suppressed the cell growth in CxR cells. These findings indicate that HP1β is involved in the proliferation of AR-expressing PCa cells and progression to CRPC as an AR coactivator. Modulation of HP1β expression or function might be a useful strategy for developing novel therapeutics for PCa, even in CRPC.

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common non-cutaneous cancer and is the second leading cause of cancer-related death in men in developed countries. The incidence of PCa is increasing significantly due to the prevalence of the western-style diet and an increase in the aging population (Hsing & Devesa 2001, Gronberg 2003). Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) screening has improved the early detection of PCa. However, a quarter of early-stage PCa patients still suffer from the relapse of the disease, despite surgery or radiation therapy (Feldman & Feldman 2001, Han et al. 2001, Isaacs et al. 2002). In addition, many patients with PCa are only diagnosed at an advanced stage of the disease. Androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT) has become the standard therapy, and is practically the only effective treatment for recurrent or advanced PCa. Although most PCas are originally androgen dependent, they eventually become castration resistant during ADT, which is called castration-resistant PCa (CRPC; Debes & Tindall 2002). There are currently very few successful therapies for CRPC. Therefore, the progression to CRPC represents a very serious problem. In addition, whereas ADT reduces
cancer-related symptoms, its effectiveness is limited regarding to the improvement of life expectancy of PCa patients. Preventing the progression to CRPC and developing novel effective therapeutics for CRPC would offer hope to many patients with PCa needing ADT and to those with CRPC.

Androgen receptor (AR) is a ligand-dependent transcription factor and a member of the class I subgroup of the nuclear receptor superfamily. The androgen/AR signaling pathway is thought to play a central role in the development and progression of PCa. AR signaling could be modulated by AR cofactors such as heat-shock protein 27 (Hsp27; Zoubeidi et al. 2007), peroxiredoxin 1 (Park et al. 2007), Tip60 (Shiota et al. 2010c), ARA54 (Miyamoto et al. 2002), ARA55 (Fujimoto et al. 1999) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator-1α (Zhao et al. 2010b), a part of which has also been implicated in CRPC. Although changes in the levels of these growth factors and cofactors might stimulate androgen-dependent PCa to switch to castration-resistant cancer, the mechanism of progression to CRPC remains unknown.

Mammalian heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1), which contains a characteristic chromodomain, plays a critical role in establishing and maintaining heterochromatic domains, which are thought to be transcriptionally inactive (Wang et al. 2000). Three mammalian HP1-like proteins have been identified in humans and are known as HP1α, HP1β, and HP1γ. Each of these contains a chromodomain and a chromoshadow domain that are separated by a hinge region (HR; Lorentz et al. 1994, Eisenberg & Elgin 2000, Wang et al. 2000). HP1 isoforms exhibit different subnuclear localizations in interphasic nuclei: HP1α is mainly centromeric; HP1β is also centromeric, but to a lesser extent; and HP1γ is located in both the euchromatic and heterochromatic compartments (Dialynas et al. 2007). HP1 heteromers have been shown to be associated with nucleosomal core histones (Zhao et al. 2000) and reduce the transcription of nearby promoters when tethered to DNA (Cryderman et al. 1999). Furthermore, HP1 proteins in mice and humans interact directly with the transcriptional co-repressor TIFβ, supporting a hypothesis that HP1 proteins play a role in gene silencing (Nielsen et al. 1999). It was shown that methylation of histone H3 lysine 9, which consists of three methylation status (mono-, di-, and tri-methylated histone H3 lysine 9, referred to as me1H3K9, me2H3K9, and me3H3K9 respectively), creates a binding site for HP1 proteins, and that this modification was involved in the maintenance of permanent heterochromatic loci (Noma et al. 2001, Cheutin et al. 2003). Recently, HP1α and HP1β, but not HP1γ, were shown to interact with the tissue-specific transcription factor MyoD, and repressed its transcriptional activity and muscle terminal differentiation (Yahi et al. 2008). In several cancers, HP1α expression was reported to be decreased compared with the corresponding normal tissues (Pomeroy et al. 2002, Wasenius et al. 2003). On the other hand, it was shown that all HP1 isoforms and meH3K9 were detected in the granulocytes of acute myeloid leukemia and chronic myeloid leukemia, although not detected in those without leukemia (Lukasova et al. 2005).

However, the functions of HP1 protein, both in relation to AR and in the progression of PCa, remain unknown. In addition, the status of HP1-associated meH3K9 is also unclear in PCa. Therefore, in this study, our aim was to determine the functions of HP1 protein and HP1-associated meH3K9 in association with AR and PCa.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Human normal prostate epithelial RWPE-1 cells (Keratinocyte Serum-Free Medium), human PCa PC-3 (Eagle’s Minimal Essential Medium, MEM), DU145 (DMEM), VCaP (DMEM), 22Rv1 (RPMI1640), and LNCaP cells (RPMI1640) were cultured with the indicated media in parentheses, which were purchased from Invitrogen and contained 10% fetal bovine serum. LNCaP cells propagated between 10 and 30 times were used. Castration-resistant derivatives of LNCaP cells, LNCaP-CxR cells (referred to as CxR cells) were established and maintained as described previously (Shiota et al. 2010a). The cell lines were maintained in a 5% CO2 atmosphere at 37 °C.

Antibodies

Antibodies against AR (sc-815), PSA (sc-7316), GFP (sc-8334), and agarose-conjugated anti-GFP antibody (sc-8334 AC) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Anti-HP1β (ab49938), anti-histone H3 (ab1791), anti-me1H3K9 (ab9045), anti-me2H3K9 (ab1220), and anti-me3H3K9 (ab8898) antibodies were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). Anti-β-actin and anti-HA antibodies were purchased from Sigma and Roche Applied Science respectively.
Plasmid construction

The preparation of pCMV-AR and AR-GFP expression plasmid, MMTV reporter plasmid (MMTV-Luc), and PSA reporter plasmid (pGLPSAp5.8) was described previously (Shiota et al. 2010b,c). The construction of pGEX-AR expressing GST-AR and its deletion mutants (GST-AR 1–504, GST-AR 504–920, GST-AR 567–920, and GST-AR Δ715–844) was described previously (Shiota et al. 2010b). To obtain full-length cDNAs for HP1α, HP1β, and HP1γ, PCR was carried out on cDNA using the following primer pairs: 5’-ATGGGAAAGAAAACCAAGCGGA-3’ and 5’-TTTAGCTTTTGCTTTTCTCTTCT-3’ for HP1α; 5’-ATGGGAAAAACAAAGAAGA-GA-3’ and 5’-TTAGTTCTTGTCATTTTTTGTC-3’ for HP1β; and 5’-ATGGCTCTAAACAACTACTACAT-3’ and 5’-TTATTGAGCTTTACCTTTGGACA-3’ for HP1γ. PCR products were cloned into the pGEM-T easy vector (Promega). To construct pCMV-HA-HP1α, pCMV-HA-HP1β, and pCMV-HA-HP1γ, fragments of HP1α, HP1β, and HP1γ cDNAs were ligated into the pCMV-HA vector (Invitrogen) respectively. The pGEX-HP1β plasmid expressing GST-HP1β was constructed by ligating a fragment of HP1β cDNA into the pGEX vector (GE Healthcare Bio-Science, Piscataway, NJ, USA). Its deletion mutant, GST-HP1β ΔC, was constructed from pGEX-HP1β full-length plasmids by deletion of the XhoI. GST-HP1β ΔN was created from the XhoI fragment of HP1β cDNA.

Western blotting

The preparation of whole-cell extracts and western blotting were performed as previously described (Shiota et al. 2010a,b,c).

Expression of GST fusion proteins in Escherichia coli and GST pulldown assay

Expression of GST fusion proteins in E. coli and GST pulldown assay were performed as described previously (Shiota et al. 2010b). Briefly, bacteria transformed with expression plasmids were incubated with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 2 h at room temperature, and collected by centrifugation. After sonication (TAITEC Sonicator, Tokyo, Japan) in Cellytic B Cell Lysis Reagent (Sigma), the cell extracts were cleared by centrifugation at 21 000 g for 10 min at 4 °C, and their DNAs were degraded with DNase. GST, GST-AR, GST-HP1β, or their deletion mutants were immobilized on 10 μl of glutathione sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare Bio-Science), and were incubated with the indicated DNase-treated soluble cell extracts in buffer X for 2 h at 4 °C. The bound samples were washed three times with buffer X and subjected to western blot analysis with the indicated antibodies. Purified GST, GST-AR, GST-HP1β, or their deletion mutants were stained with CBB. After sonication, DNase-untreated sample containing GST and DNase-treated samples containing GST, GST-AR, GST-HP1β, or their deletion mutants were applied to 1% agarose gel and stained with EtBr.

Co-immunoprecipitation assay

Co-immunoprecipitation assays were performed as previously described (Shiota et al. 2010b). Nuclear extracts were prepared as follows: after cell harvest with PBS and centrifugation at 5000 g for 5 min, cells were resuspended in buffer A containing ice-cold 10 mM HEPES/KOH (pH 7.9), 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF), and lysed by adding NP-40 to a final concentration of 0.5% (v/v), and lysates were centrifuged at 5000 g for 5 min. The resulting nuclear pellet was resuspended in buffer C containing ice-cold 20 mM HEPES/KOH (pH 7.9), 0.4 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM PMSF, and incubated for 15 min on ice. The lysates were centrifuged at 21 000 g for 10 min at 4 °C.

Knockdown analysis using siRNAs

Knockdown analysis using siRNA was performed as described previously (Shiota et al. 2010a,b,c). Briefly, the following double-stranded RNA, 25-bp oligonucleotides were commercially generated (Invitrogen): 5’-AUUUCAUCAGGAACAUGAGCUCUCC-3’ (sense) and 5’-GAUGAAGCUUCUGUCCCCAGAU-AAAU-3’ (antisense) for HP1β siRNA #1; 5’-GU- GCAUUGGGCUUUGGCAGGGGA-3’ (sense) and 5’-UCCUGCAAGAAAGCAGAGCUA-3’ (antisense) for HP1β siRNA #2; 5’-UAGAGAAGCA-GGCGUCAAGAGAGCUC-3’ (sense) and 5’-GACUCU- UUGGACGCCCUCGUGCUA-3’ (antisense) for AR siRNA #1; 5’-CAUAGUGACACCCAGAG-CUCAUC-3’ (sense) and 5’-GAUGAAGCUCUG-GGUGUCAUG-3’ (antisense) for AR siRNA #2. LNCaP, CxR, and PC-3 cells were transfected with the indicated amounts of siRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.
Luciferase reporter assay

Luciferase reporter assays were performed as described previously (Shiota et al. 2010a,b,c).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

The chromatin immunoprecipitation assay (ChIP) assay was performed as previously described (Shiota et al. 2010b). Nuclear extract preparation was done as described above in ‘Co-immunoprecipitation assay’.

Tissues and clinical data

The subjects were 35 patients, who received radical prostatectomy without chemotherapy and hormonal therapy before surgery and had enough carcinoma areas for the evaluation of immunohistochemistry, at the Kyushu University Hospital, Japan, between 1997 and 2001. All patients underwent surgery for clinically localized PCa determined by pre-operative PSA concentration, digital rectal examination, and prostate needle biopsy. Slides for this study were prepared from the prostate blocks that contained the largest and representative area of the tumor and adjacent normal epithelium.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed using the streptavidin–biotin–peroxidase method with a Histofine streptavidin–biotin–peroxidase kit (Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan). The primary antibodies used in this study were anti-HP1β antibody (rabbit polyclonal, dilution 1:1200) and anti-me3H3K9 antibody (rabbit polyclonal, dilution 1:400). Sections, 4-μm thick, from 10% formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded material were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated through ethanol. Then, endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by methanol containing 0.3% hydrogen peroxidase for 30 min. Antigen retrieval was performed by microwave heating in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 20 min for anti-me3H3K9 antibody. After exposure to 10% non-immunized goat serum in PBS for 10 min, the sections were incubated at 4 °C overnight with primary antibodies. The sections were then incubated with the second antibody for 20 min at room temperature. The reaction products were visualized by diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride as a chromogen. Finally, the sections were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Immunohistochemical analysis

To assess the HP1β expression and me3H3K9 level, nuclear staining was evaluated. The immunoreactivity of HP1β expression and me3H3K9 level were scored by estimating the percentage of positive tumor cells. The median percentage of HP1β- and me3H3K9-positive tumor cells was 42 and 67%. High HP1β expression and me3H3K9 level were defined as more than 42 and 67% staining of the population of tumor cells respectively.

RNA isolation, RT-PCR, and quantitative real-time PCR

These procedures were performed as described previously (Shiota et al. 2010a,b,c).

Cell proliferation assay

Cell proliferation assays were performed as described previously (Shiota et al. 2010a,b,c). Briefly, PCa cells (2.5×10⁴) were seeded into 12-well plates and transfected with the indicated siRNA. The time point of 12 h after transfection was set as 0 h. The cells were harvested with trypsin and counted daily using a cell counter (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). The results were normalized by the cell counts at 0 h, and are representative of at least three independent experiments.

Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry was performed as described previously (Shiota et al. 2010a,b,c).

Statistical analysis

We used χ² test for statistical analysis of the correlations between the HP1β expression or me3H3K9 level, and Gleason score. In the present study, tumors were classified as high grade when the Gleason score was 7 or higher and as low grade when the Gleason score was 6 or lower. Pearson’s correlation coefficient analysis was applied across the HP1β expression and me3H3K9 level. Relationships between the HP1β expression and various clinicopathological characteristics were analyzed by χ² test or Fisher’s exact test. Mann–Whitney’s U test was used for statistical analysis of HP1β binding to PSA promoter between LNCaP and CxR cells. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

HP1β, but not HP1α or HP1γ, interacts with AR and augments AR transcriptional ability

First, whether three HP1 isoforms interacted with AR was investigated using a GST pulldown assay with GST fusion AR and HA-tagged HP1 isoforms.
As shown in Fig. 1A, HP1β, but not HP1α or HP1γ, interacted with GST-AR. To confirm this finding, we performed a co-immunoprecipitation assay with an overexpression method. PC-3 cells not expressing AR protein were transfected with GFP-tagged AR and HA-tagged HP1 isoform expression plasmids, and the co-immunoprecipitation assay was performed. Reproducibly, HP1β, but not HP1α or HP1γ, specifically interacted with the AR-GFP protein (Fig. 1B). Finally, we investigated whether endogenous proteins interacted with each other. Using nuclear extracts of LNCaP cells expressing AR protein, the endogenous AR was immunoprecipitated using anti-AR antibody, and immunoprecipitated samples were blotted with anti-HP1β antibody. As expected, the endogenous AR interacted with the endogenous HP1β in the presence of dihydrotestosterone (DHT), but not in the absence of DHT since AR was excluded from HP1β-existing nucleus (Fig. 1C).

Figure 1 HP1β, but not HP1α or HP1γ, interacts with AR and augments AR transcriptional activity. (A) Equal amounts of GST and GST-AR fusion proteins immobilized on glutathione sepharose 4B were incubated with HA-HP1α, HA-HP1β, and HA-HP1γ fusion proteins. Bound protein and 10% of the input were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and western blotting was performed using the anti-HA antibody. (B) Whole-cell extracts prepared from PC-3 cells co-transfected with 1.0 μg of each of the indicated expression plasmids were immunoprecipitated with agarose-conjugated anti-GFP antibody. The resulting immunocomplexes and nuclear extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and western blotting was performed using anti-HA and anti-GFP antibodies. (C) Nuclear extracts prepared from LNCaP cells incubated for 48 h in charcoal-stripped medium with or without 10 nM DHT were immunoprecipitated with 2.0 μg of rabbit IgG or anti-AR antibody, and 20 μl of protein A/G agarose. The resulting immunocomplexes and nuclear extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and western blotting was performed using the indicated antibodies. (D) LNCaP and PC-3 cells were transfected with 0.5 μg of the indicated reporter plasmids, 0.5 μg of the indicated expression plasmids, and 0.05 μg of PRL-TK, in addition to 0.5 μg of pCMV-AR when PC-3 cells were used. Then, cells were incubated for 48 h in charcoal-stripped medium with or without 10 nM DHT. Firefly luciferase activity was corrected with the corresponding Renilla luciferase activity. All values represent at least three independent experiments. The luciferase activity of each reporter plasmid alone incubated in medium with DHT was defined as 1. Boxes, mean; bars, ± s.d.
affected by HP1 isoform expression (data not shown). Similarly, luciferase activities of the PSA and MMTV reporter plasmids were enhanced only with HP1β overexpression (Fig. 1D).

**The chromoshadow domain of HP1β interacts with the DNA-binding domain and HR of AR**

The findings that HP1β interacted with AR and had a functional role with AR promoted us to examine which domains are involved in this interaction. First, a GST pulldown assay was performed using GST-AR and a series of deletion mutants with HA-tagged HP1β (Fig. 2A). As shown in Fig. 2B, HP1β seemed to interact with the DNA-binding domain (DBD) and HR of AR. Next, GST-HP1β and its deletion mutants with nuclear extracts of LNCaP were used for the GST pulldown assay (Fig. 2C). As shown in Fig. 2D, the full-length GST-HP1β and N-terminally deleted-GST-HP1β, but not C-terminally deleted-GST-HP1β, interacted with the AR protein, suggesting that the chromoshadow domain of HP1β interacts with AR.

**HP1β augments the DNA-binding ability of AR**

The PSA enhancer and promoter regions contain three AREs known as ARE I, ARE II, and ARE III (Fig. 3A). First, we investigated histone H3, me1H3K9, me2H3K9, me3H3K9, and HP1β bindings to PSA promoter and enhancer regions and GAPDH promoter region as a control. As shown in Fig. 3B, HP1β bindings to PSA promoter regions (PSA A/B and PSA C/D) were richer compared with PSA enhancer regions (PSA E/F, PSA G/H, and PSA I/J) in LNCaP cells. In addition, the bindings of me1H3K9, me2H3K9, and me3H3K9 were also more abundant in promoter regions (PSA A/B and PSA C/D). Furthermore, HP1β bindings to PSA promoter regions (PSA A/B and PSA C/D) in CxR cells were increased compared with those in LNCaP cells. In addition, me1H3K9 and me2H3K9 bindings to PSA enhancer regions (PSA E/F, PSA G/H, and PSA I/J) and me3H3K9 bindings to PSA promoter regions (PSA A/B and PSA C/D) were also more apparent in CxR than those in LNCaP cells. Because HP1β was suggested to interact with the DBD and HR of AR, we investigated whether the DNA-binding ability of AR was affected by HP1β manipulation. When samples immunoprecipitated with the anti-AR antibody were amplified using ARE-containing primer pairs, PCR products were detected when the primer pairs A/B, C/D, and G/H were used, but not when primer pairs E/F and I/J were used. In addition, AR bindings to AREs were further increased by adding DHT, as shown

---

**Figure 2** The chromoshadow domain of HP1β interacts with the DNA-binding domain and hinge region of AR. (A) Schematic representation of the GST-AR deletion mutants. (B) Equal amounts of GST, GST-AR, and various GST-AR deletion mutant fusion proteins shown in (A), were immobilized on glutathione-sepharose 4B and were incubated with HA-HP1β fusion protein. Bound protein samples and 10% of the input were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and western blotting was performed using an anti-HA antibody. (C) Schematic representation of the GST-HP1β deletion mutants. (D) Equal amounts of GST, GST-HP1β, and various GST-HP1β deletion mutant fusion proteins shown in (C) were immobilized on glutathione sepharose 4B, and were incubated with whole-cell lysates from LNCaP cells. Bound protein samples and 10% of the input were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and western blotting was performed using an anti-AR antibody.
Previously (Shang et al. 2002). Furthermore, when HP1β expression was down-regulated by transfecting LNCaP cells with HP1β-specific siRNAs, it was found that AR bindings to AREs within the PSA enhancer and promoter regions (PSA A/B, PSA C/D, and PSA G/H) were reduced (Fig. 3C). These findings were confirmed by quantitative real-time PCR method (Fig. 3D).

Both HP1β expressions and methylated levels of histone H3 lysine 9 are abundant in PCa and HP1β expression correlated with Gleason score and me3H3K9 level

To investigate a relevance of HP1β in PCa, we performed western blotting analysis using normal prostate epithelial cells and a panel of PCa cells. HP1β expressions were up-regulated in all PCa cells compared with normal prostate epithelial cells (RWPE-1 cells). Furthermore, me1H3K9, me2H3K9, and me3H3K9, which were known to have a relationship with HP1 proteins, were also more prominent in PCa cells than in RWPE-1 cells (Fig. 4A). Then, we investigated HP1β expression and me3H3K9 level as a representative of meH3K9 forms using PCA tissues. The expression of HP1β was weakly positive in the nuclei of normal prostatic glands. Nuclei of stromal cells of normal prostate parenchyma displayed weak to moderate expression of HP1β. The expression of HP1β was weak to moderate and moderate to strong in PCas of Gleason scores 6 and 8 respectively (Fig. 4B).

Me3H3K9 staining was weakly to moderately positive in both the nuclei of normal prostatic glands and the nuclei of stromal cells of normal prostate parenchyma. Nuclear staining of me3H3K9 was moderate to strong in PCa (Fig. 4C). Although HP1β
expression was significantly prominent in PCa of higher Gleason score compared to those of lower Gleason score cancer \((P=0.0266, \text{Table 1})\), me3H3K9 staining was not associated with Gleason score \((P=0.4035)\). When individual tumors were analyzed for the nuclear expression of HP1\(\beta\) with nuclear staining of me3H3K9, a correlation was found \((r=0.5749, P=0.0007; \text{Fig. 4D})\).

Knockdown of HP1\(\beta\) reduces the PSA expression and cell growth in AR-expressing PCa cells

To confirm the function of HP1\(\beta\) on the AR, we next examined the expression of PSA after knockdown of HP1\(\beta\). Quantitative real-time PCR and western blotting were performed using LNCaP cells transfected with HP1\(\beta\)-specific siRNAs. Expression of the PSA transcript and protein was decreased by HP1\(\beta\) knockdown. In addition, expression levels of AR transcript and protein also were marginally reduced, cooperatively contributing to a decrease in PSA expression (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, we investigated the cell proliferation of LNCaP cells transfected with HP1\(\beta\)-specific siRNAs with androgen-containing medium. Cell proliferation was significantly reduced by knockdown of HP1\(\beta\) (Fig. 5B). Similar results were obtained by AR knockdown (data not shown). To clarify the mechanism by which HP1\(\beta\) knockdown inhibited cell growth, we used flow cytometry for cell-cycle analysis. As shown in Fig. 5C, HP1\(\beta\) knockdown induced cell-cycle arrest at the G1 phase similar to AR knockdown.

**Table 1** Relationship between the expression of heterochromatin protein 1\(\beta\) (HP1\(\beta\)) and various clinicopathological characteristic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;70</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\geq 70)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\geq 10)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0266(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\geq 7)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.7112(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT3-4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical margin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.7112(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Statistically significant.  
\(^b\)Fisher’s exact test.
or androgen depletion (data not shown), resulting in decreased cell proliferation. To confirm the effect of HP1β silencing on its interaction with AR, PC-3 cells not expressing AR protein underwent a cell proliferation assay. HP1β knockdown in PC-3 cells affected cell proliferation to lesser extent compared with that in LNCaP cells (Fig. 6A). Finally, we examined whether HP1β is involved in castration-resistant progression. Similarly to LNCaP cells, PSA protein expression was reduced when the castration-resistant CxR cells were transfected with HP1β-specific siRNAs (Fig. 6B). The expressions of HP1β transcript and protein and the levels of meH3K9 were increased in CxR cells compared with that in parental cells, indicating that HP1β expression and meH3K9 modifications may be involved in the development of castration resistance (Fig. 6C). The overexpression of AR or AR coactivators is thought to cause CRPC cell growth even under androgen-depleted conditions by augmenting AR signaling. Because CxR cells show enhanced HP1β expression, inhibition of AR signaling may also inhibit cell proliferation in CxR cells. As expected, HP1β knockdown in CxR cells decreased cell proliferation more effectively than in their parental LNCaP cells probably by blocking AR signaling, which was consistent with the results of AR knockdown (Fig. 6D).

**Discussion**

In mammals, HP1 proteins have a propensity to homo- or heterodimerize, associate with chromatin through meH3K9, and act as a gene repressor (Eissenberg & Elgin 2000). However, our results revealed that HP1β specifically interacts with AR and transactivated AR-responsive genes. Recently, it has been shown that HP1 proteins could work at euchromatic regions and work as a gene activator despite their name, localization, and suggested function (Piacentini et al. 2003, Hediger & Gasser 2006, de Wit et al. 2007, Fanti & Pimpinelli 2008). In addition, histone

---

**Figure 5** Knockdown of HP1β reduces PSA expression and cell growth in LNCaP cells. (A) LNCaP cells were transfected with 40 nM of the indicated siRNA. At 72 h post-transfection, quantitative real-time PCR was performed using the indicated primers and probes. The transcript level of the target transcript was corrected with the corresponding GAPDH transcript level. All values represent at least three independent experiments. The level of each transcript from cells transfected with control siRNA was defined as 1. Boxes, mean; bars, ± S.D. Whole-cell extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and western blotting was performed using the indicated antibodies. (B) LNCaP cells were transfected with 40 nM of the indicated siRNA, and incubated in charcoal-stripped medium with 10 nM DHT. The number of cells was counted at the indicated times. The results were normalized to the number of cells at 0 h. All values represent at least three independent experiments. Boxes, mean; bars, ± S.D. (C) LNCaP cells were transfected with 40 nM of the indicated siRNA, and incubated in charcoal-stripped medium with 10 nM DHT. At 72 h post-transfection, cells were stained with propidium iodide and analyzed by flow cytometry. The cell-cycle fraction is shown in the upper right position of each graph.
which indicated that HP1β should justify the results of our GST pulldown assay, AR/HP1 and HP1β ChIP assay, which showed that AR binding to the AREs in which the HP1β region of AR that contains the DBD. HP1β is supposed to interact with chromatin which is marked with meH3K9. This prompted us to determine whether HP1β could affect the DNA-binding ability of AR. Therefore, we carried out a ChIP assay, which showed that AR binding to the AREs in which the HP1β and meH3K9 bindings were rich was decreased by HP1β knockdown. This finding should justify the results of our GST pulldown assay, which indicated that HP1β interacts with the 567–721 amino acid region of AR that contains the DBD. HP1β proteins are known to interact with meH3K9. Taken together, HP1β might augment the transcriptional activity of AR by modulating an interaction between the chromatin containing ARE and DBD of AR as a scaffold together with meH3K9. In addition, the effect of HP1β siRNA on AR binding may be indirect, and alterations in chromatin structure may contribute to AR binding to ARE because methylated histones and its associated factors can alter chromatin structure and binding of transcription factors, resulting in alterations of gene expression.

On the other hand, as shown in Table 1, there was no correlation between pre-operative serum PSA levels in PCa patients and HP1β expression in PCa tissues, which seemed to be inconsistent with the finding that HP1β augmented PSA expression. However, it may be accounted for by the fact that serum PSA level and PSA expression are affected by various factors including prostate volume, prostate inflammation, and aging as well as androgen level and AR activity.

HP1β was found to decrease cell proliferation in ECR-293 cells not expressing AR (data not shown), which are daughter cells of HEK293 cell line, and to sensitize cells to ionizing irradiation (Sharma et al., 2003). In addition, it was shown that Rb protein interacted with Suv39h1 and HP1, recruiting them to
E2F promoters to establish repression (Nielsen et al. 2001). On the other hand, our result indicated that HP1β knockdown suppressed the proliferation of AR-positive PCa cells by interacting with AR. These findings seem to disagree with previous findings. Whereas cell lines in which it was shown previously that cell growth was decreased by HP1β do not express AR protein (data not shown), most PCas have a functional AR. Therefore, this inconsistency could be explained by the AR expression status, supported by the finding that PC-3 cells not expressing AR were not affected by HP1β knockdown. Taken together, differences of biological effect in HP1β among various cells may represent a specific role of HP1β associated with AR. Intriguingly, it was reported that in Drosophila, HP1 downregulation by RNA interference resulted in a preferential lethality of male flies, and HP1 bonded onto chromosomes more highly in males than in females and regulated more gene expression in males, suggesting that HP1 plays a sex-specific role (Liu et al. 2005). Consistently, mutations in HP1-associated Su(var)3-7 and Su(var)2-5 genes caused a bloating of the X chromosome in males (Spierer et al. 2005). Similarly, double knockout male mice of HP1-associated histone methyltransferase Suv39h1 and Suv39h2 were infertile with a delay in meiosis (Peters et al. 2001).

In this study, HP1β expressions and meH3K9 levels were found to correlate with each other in PCa. To our knowledge, this is first report that HP1β expressions correlated with meH3K9 levels in cancer tissues. Although a correlation between HP1β and meH3K9 has been found, the mechanism of control of HP1β expression and meH3K9 level remains unclear. However, this result suggests that an unknown common factor regulates HP1β expression and meH3K9 level. Both HP1β expressions and meH3K9 levels were abundant and correlate with each other in PCa, suggesting that these epigenetic alterations are involved in a carcinogenesis of prostate epithelium. However, HP1 expression was reported to be reduced in several cancers (Pomeroy et al. 2002, Wasenius et al. 2003). Because PCa growth is well known to be regulated by androgen/AR signaling, this inconsistency may be accounted by androgen dependency in PCa. Furthermore, high HP1β expression correlated with high Gleason score, which is known to have a tendency of progression to CRPC. These results indicate that HP1β and epigenetic regulations coordinate promote PCa progression. Recently, AR-regulated gene expression was shown by ChIP on chip assay to shift according to a progression from androgen-dependent PCa to CRPC (Wang et al. 2009). Since several cofactors including HP1β can modulate the DNA-binding ability of AR, some of them may participate in alterations of AR target genes. Furthermore, epigenetic alterations including histone modifications would also be involved in target gene selection of AR since histone modification status altered as shown in Fig. 3B.

In conclusion, the HP1 isoform HP1β specifically interacted with AR and augmented AR binding to the promoter region of its target gene and transcriptional regulation of AR. HP1β expression and meH3K9 levels were prominent in PCa, and HP1β was overexpressed in highly malignant-potential PCa. HP1β knockdown inhibited cell growth in LNCaP cells that normally express high levels of AR. Furthermore, HP1β expression and meH3K9 levels were up-regulated in castration-resistant CRPC cells compared with the parental LNCaP cells. In addition, HP1β knockdown suppressed the cell growth of CRPC cells more effectively compared with that of parental cells. This study presented a new insight in association among epigenetic regulation, gene expression by AR and PCa carcinogenesis and progression. These findings suggest that modulation of HP1β expression or function might be a useful strategy for developing novel therapeutics for PCa, which is usually dependent on androgen/AR signaling. Furthermore, this strategy might be more useful for CRPC, which is more dependent on androgen/AR signaling by overexpressing its coactivators or by histone modification than androgen-dependent PCa. On the other hands, preventing or decreasing methylation of histone H3 lysine 9 might prevent PCa carcinogenesis.
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