The association of the angiopoietin/Tie-2 system with the development of metastasis and leukocyte migration in neuroendocrine tumors
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to explore the possible involvement of the angiopoietin (Ang)-1, -2/Tie-2 system in the development, growth, and metastases evolution of gastroenteropancreatic-neuroendocrine tumors (GEP-NETs). We prospectively examined the serum levels of Tie-2, Ang-1, and Ang-2 by ELISA in 42 patients with proven GEP-NETs and 27 controls. We also determined the expression of the Ang/Tie-2 system in freshly isolated peripheral blood monocytes and in tumor cells from malignant primary tumors and/or liver metastases samples from GEP-NET patients by flow cytometry and/or RT-PCR. Furthermore, the function of the Ang/Tie-2 system in monocytes from controls and patients was assessed by a chemotaxis assay. GEP-NET patients showed enhanced serum levels of soluble form of Tie-2 (sTie-2), Ang-1, and Ang-2 (P<0.05 in all cases), compared to controls. sTie-2 and Ang-2 levels were significantly higher in GEP-NETs with metastases compared to those with no metastases. In addition, a significant correlation was detected between Ang-2 levels and chromogranin A or sTie-2 concentrations or 5-hydroxy-indole acetic acid excretion (r=0.71, r=0.60, and r=0.81 respectively, P<0.01 in all cases). Furthermore, we observed an enhanced expression of Ang-1, Ang-2, and Tie-2 in freshly isolated tumor cells from GEP-NET both by immunohistochemistry and by RT-PCR. Interestingly, an enhanced expression and function of Tie-2 was detected in monocytes from GEP-NET patients. Our data suggest that the Ang/Tie-2 system is involved in the growth and development of metastases of GEP-NETs, and that favors the recruitment of Tie-2+ monocytes to the tumor site, where they can promote inflammation and angiogenesis.
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Introduction

Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) comprise a heterogeneous group of uncommon neoplasms that include the gastroenteropancreatic (GEP)-NETs, which constitute 70% of all NETs and 2% of all digestive tract tumors (Modlin et al. 2008, Oberg 2009). These GEP-NETs are originated from enterochromaffin epithelial cells, which retain many structural and
functional features of normal endocrine cells, including the synthesis of chromogranin A (CgA), synaptophysin, peptidic hormones, and neuropeptides (Lubensky & Zhuang 2007, Modlin et al. 2008). According to the WHO (Scolia et al. 2000), these tumors are classified into well-differentiated NETs and carcinomas (wdNET and wdNEC respectively), and poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas (pdNEC). Moreover, pancreatic endocrine tumors (PETs) are classified into functioning (insulinoma, gastrinoma, glucagonoma, somatostatinoma, and VIPoma) or nonfunctioning neoplasias (Ehehalt et al. 2009). Carcinoid tumors are the most frequent gastrointestinal NETs and are able to secrete different molecules, including CgA and 5-hydroxy-indole acetic acid (5-HIAA) that are used as markers for the diagnosis and follow-up of these patients (Haverback et al. 1956, Rindi et al. 1996, von Wichert et al. 2008).

Angiogenesis, the growth of new blood vessels from pre-existing normal vasculature, occurs in different tissues under physiological (embryogenesis and wound repair) and pathological (cancer and inflammatory diseases) conditions (Carmeliet 2003, Szekanecz & Koch 2007). Among the molecules that exert an important regulatory effect on angiogenesis are included the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), angiopoietins (Ang)-1 and -2, and the tyrosine kinase receptor Tie-2 (or Tek) family (Ferrara & Davis-Smyth 1997, Jones 2003, Eklund & Olsen 2006). VEGF acts as a pro-angiogenic factor on vascular endothelium, inducing their proliferation and new microvessel formation (Ferrara & Davis-Smyth 1997, Chang et al. 2009). On the other hand, Angs comprise four soluble proteins (Ang-1, Ang-2, Ang-3, and Ang-4), which bind to the unique receptor Tie-2 (Eklund & Olsen 2006). These molecules are secreted by endothelial and epithelial cells, and their synthesis is induced by pro-inflammatory cytokines, hypoxia, and stress (Jones 2003, Eklund & Olsen 2006, Fiedler & Augustin 2006). Interaction of Ang-1 with Tie-2 promotes endothelial cell (EC) survival (Eklund & Olsen 2006, Fiedler & Augustin 2006). In addition, it has been reported that Ang-1 exerts an anti-inflammatory and anti-permeable effect. In contrast, Ang-2 is expressed at sites of vascular remodeling, and its binding to Tie-2 causes vasculature regression (Maisonpierre et al. 1997). However, this cytokine exerts a marked pro-angiogenic effect when VEGF is present (Lobov et al. 2002). Thus, Ang-2 seems to be closely related to physiological or pathological processes that involve vascular network remodeling (Lobov et al. 2002, Fiedler et al. 2006).

The tyrosine kinase Tie-2 receptor is mainly expressed by EC, and its synthesis is stimulated by hypoxia and pro-inflammatory cytokines. There is a soluble form of Tie-2 (sTie-2), which is generated by proteolytical cleavage at the cell surface. Although little is known about the physiological role of this soluble receptor, it has described an increase of sTie-2 in several conditions such as hepatitis C, autoimmune thyroid diseases, and cancer (Salcedo et al. 2005, Niedźwiecki et al. 2006, Figueroa-Vega et al. 2009). In addition, some hematopoietic cells such as CD34+ stem cells and CD14+ monocytes express this receptor (De Palma et al. 2005, Murdoch et al. 2007). In this regard, Tie-2+ monocytes have been detected in the peripheral blood from healthy subjects or patients with different tumors, and it has been reported that these cells are recruited into inflamed or neoplastic tissues, where they can promote angiogenesis, favoring the growth of tumor cells (Venneri et al. 2007). Thus, it has been shown that the selective depletion of Tie-2+ monocytes inhibits angiogenesis and tumor growth in animal models (De Palma et al. 2007). However, the expression and possible role of Tie-2 in monocytes from GEP-NET patients on the development of metastases have not been previously addressed.

Since increased serum levels of Ang-2 have been recently reported in GEP-NET patients (Srirajaskanthan et al. 2009), we decided to further study the possible role of the Ang/Tie-2 system in the pathogenesis of GEP-NETs. For this purpose, we analyzed the serum levels of Tie-2, Ang-1, and Ang-2 in GEP-NET patients as well as their expression in freshly isolated tumor cells. In addition, we explored the number and function of Tie-2+ monocytes in patients with GEP-NETs. Our results suggest a role of this angiogenic system in the growth and the development of metastases of GEP-NETs.

Materials and methods

Individuals

Forty-two patients with GEP-NETs were studied (19 with carcinoid tumor and 23 with PETs). All patients were carefully screened for the presence of other malignancies, and special attention was paid to an association with neurofibromatosis, multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1, and the von Hippel–Lindau syndrome (Table 1). One patient was carrier of a MEN-1 gene mutation, but no other apparent genetic abnormalities were found. According to the WHO criteria (tumor site and size, angioinvasion, infiltration level, cell proliferation index, immunohistochemical phenotype, and...
metastases) and histopathological findings, GEP-NETs were classified as wdNETs, wdNECs, and pdNECs (Table 1; Solcia et al. 2000). Cell proliferation activity was determined by counting Ki-67+ cells, as described (Rindi et al. 2006). In all cases, blood samples were obtained at least 4 weeks after the last dose of somatostatin analogs and 3 days after the last dose of interferon.

Complete work-up including history, physical examination, and hormone levels was performed in all cases. Patients were classified according to the localization of the primary tumor (foregut, midgut, hindgut, and unknown), hormone secretion (functional or nonfunctional), presence or absence of metastases, and presence or absence of angioinvasion. Controls included 27 age-matched healthy subjects who also had complete hormonal work-up. In all cases, a written informed consent was obtained. This study was approved by the local Hospital Bioethical Committee.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender (M/F)</th>
<th>15/27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years, mean ± s.d.)</td>
<td>57.64 ± 14.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgA (nmol/l)</td>
<td>20.84 ± 27.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HIAA (mg/24 h)</td>
<td>10.64 ± 9.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoids</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETs</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrinoma</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfunctioning pancreatic tumor</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone secretion (yes/no)</td>
<td>15/27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastases (yes/no)</td>
<td>28/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localization of metastases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph nodes</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bones</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioinvasion (yes/no)a</td>
<td>17/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor stage (wdNET/wdNEC)b</td>
<td>14/28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilization</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curation</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exitus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA + IFN-α</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA + IFN-α</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA + C</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery (yes/no)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoids</td>
<td>10/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>11/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; CgA, chromogranin A; 5HIAA, 5-hydroxy-indole acetic acid; PETs, pancreatic endocrine tumors; NFPT, nonfunctioning pancreatic tumor; wdNET, well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors; wdNEC, well-differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma; SA, somatostatin analogs; IFN-α, interferon α; C, chemotherapy. Serum CgA levels: <4.0 nmol/l; urinary output of 5-hydroxy-indole acetic acid: 2–14 mg/24 h. aUnknown in eight patients. bWHO classification.

### Samples

Peripheral blood samples were obtained from all individuals. Freshly isolated tumor cells were obtained during surgical resection in three primary GEP-NET tumors and in three liver metastases. Paraffin-embedded formalin-fixed tissues had been obtained by surgical resection from 12 patients (4 wdNETs and 8 wdNECs), out of whom 5 were carcinoids and 7 were PETs. Normal control tissues were obtained from three patients undergoing pancreatectomy or liver resection, and were used as negative controls. All samples were taken in accordance with the regulations and approval of the Institutional Review Board of Hospital Universitario de la Princesa.

### Laboratory evaluation

Serum CgA levels were measured by radioimmuno- metric assay (Euro-Diagnostica AB, Malmö, Sweden), with a reference range of <4.0 nmol/l. In addition, urinary excretion of 5-HIAA was determined by ELISA (IBL International GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) with a reference range 2–14 mg/24 h.

### Cell isolation

Tumor cells were isolated from three primary and three metastatic tumors as follows: briefly, tissue specimens were minced and digested with collagenase (1 mg/ml; Boehringer Mannheim, Roche) during 1 h and passed through a steel mesh. Then, cells were washed in HBSS (Lonza, Verviers, Belgium), and cell viability was assessed by trypan blue dye exclusion, and it was always higher than 95%.
Angiogenesis serum markers measurement

Levels of sTie-2, Ang-1, Ang-2, and VEGF were determined by ELISA (Quantikine, R&D Systems GmbH, Wiesbaden-Nordenstadt, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions and employing a Tecan Sunrise ELISA reader and Magellan software for windows (Tecan, Barcelona, Spain). The lower detection limits for sTie-2, Ang-1, Ang-2, and VEGF were 1.0, 1.36, 1.20, and 9.0 pg/ml respectively. All analyses were performed by duplicate.

Immunohistochemistry

Tissue sections were dewaxed, rehydrated, and washed in Tris-buffered saline (Dako Cytomation, Copenhagen, Denmark). Antigen retrieval was done by incubation in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0; Master Diagnostica, Granada, Spain) in a pressure cooker. Next, endogenous peroxidase was inhibited with a peroxidase-blocking solution (Dako Cytomation) during 10 min, and then Fc receptors were blocked with goat or rabbit serum, as appropriate. Then, sections were immunostained with the indicated antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Heidelberg, Germany) and the proper HRP-conjugated secondary Abs (Envision Dako Cytomation). Finally, sections were developed with 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (Envision Dako Cytomation), counterstained with Carazzi’s hematoxylin, dehydrated in alcohol, cleared with xylene, and mounted.

Flow cytometry analysis

Cell surface expression of Tie-2 was assessed on freshly isolated tumor cells or peripheral blood monocytes by staining with a phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated anti-human Tie-2 (R&D Systems) mAb or an isotype-matched irrelevant mAb. For detection of intracellular expression of Ang-1 and Ang-2, cells were permeabilized, fixed, and then incubated with goat polyclonal anti-human Ang-1 or Ang-2 Abs (R&D Systems), followed by a donkey anti-goat IgG F(ab)’2 secondary Ab labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen). As positive controls for neuroendocrine cell staining, anti-synaptophysin and anti-CgA mAbs were employed (Master Diagnostica). Cell analysis was performed with a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA).

Migration assays

Chemotaxis assays were performed on Transwell chambers with 5.0 μm pore size inserts (Corning, Corning, NY, USA). Lower chambers were filled with RPMI-1640 culture media supplemented with 0.2% human serum albumin, and with or without recombinant human Ang-1 or Ang-2 at 100 ng/ml (R&D Systems). Monocytes (5×10^5 in 100 μl) were poured into the upper chamber, and after 2 h of incubation at 37 °C, filters were removed, fixed, and stained with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. Cells that had migrated and were attached to the lower side of the membrane were counted per field with an epifluorescence microscope. Control conditions were included to cells pretreated with 5.0 μg/ml of neutralizing anti-Tie-2 mAb (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) or the addition of MCP-1 (CCL2) at 100 ng/ml (R&D Systems) to the lower chamber. Results were expressed as the percent of increase of cell migration referred to the baseline condition (medium alone = 0%).

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from snap-frozen GEP-NETs or normal control tissues using the Ultraspec reagent, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Biotec Laboratories, Inc., Houston, TX, USA), and isolated RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop ND-100 u.v. Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies). Then, mRNA was reverse transcribed using random hexamers and the Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Promega Biotech Iberica, S.L.). Real-time quantitative RT-PCR was performed by duplicate employing the LightCycler Detection System (Roche Diagnostics GmbH), and using a LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH). Design of PCR primers was performed by using the Primer3 software. Results were normalized according to the value of the histone H3 housekeeping gene. All analyses were performed by duplicate.

Statistical analysis

Data are showed as the arithmetic mean ± S.D., mean ± S.E.M., or the median and interquartile range, as appropriate. For continuous variables, normality was assessed by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Data were analyzed using both parametric and nonparametric tests. Mean group values were compared by one-way ANOVA or nonparametric ANOVA (Kruskal–Wallis test), and post hoc comparisons were carried out using the Bonferroni’s and Dunn’s test respectively. Pearson’s and Spearman’s correlation coefficients were determined for variables with a bivariate normal distribution or not. Partial correlation analysis controlling for confounding factors was performed. Student’s t-test and Mann–Whitney U test were used.
for comparing two independent groups, and paired t-test and Wilcoxon sum rank test were employed to analyze two related samples. Analyses were performed using SPSS v. 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism software v. 5.0 (San Diego, CA, USA). $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Serum levels of sTie-2 and their ligands Ang-1 and Ang-2 are increased in patients with carcinoid and pancreatic tumors**

We observed a significant increase in serum levels of sTie-2 in patients with GEP-NETs ($25.9 \pm 9.5$ ng/ml) compared to healthy controls ($17.1 \pm 4.1$ ng/ml; $P<0.001$; Fig. 1A). When the outlier values (arithmetic mean plus 3 s.d.) of sTie-2 observed in GEP-NET patients were deleted, a similar significant difference was detected (data not shown). Likewise, serum levels of Ang-1 and Ang-2 were increased significantly in patients with GEP-NETs ($41.1 \pm 9.9$ and $9.9 \pm 1.7$ ng/ml respectively) compared to controls ($8.7 \pm 9.9$ and $1.7 \pm 0.9$ ng/ml respectively, $P<0.001$ in both cases; Fig. 1B and C). As in the case of sTie-2, when the outlier values of Ang-2 were deleted, a similar significant difference was observed between patients and controls (data not shown). When patients were divided into those with carcinoid and pancreatic tumors, we found that Tie-2, Ang-1, and Ang-2 levels were significantly higher in both groups compared to healthy volunteers ($P<0.01$, $P<0.001$, and $P<0.05$ respectively), with no significant differences between both types of tumors ($P>0.05$). No significant differences were detected between the serum concentrations of VEGF in patients with carcinoid or PET and healthy controls (Fig. 1D).

**sTie-2 and their ligands are elevated in different subsets of patients with GEP-NETs**

GEP-NET patients with tumor metastases showed significantly higher sTie-2 levels ($28.1 \pm 9.1$ ng/ml) compared to patients with no evidence of metastases ($20.9 \pm 8.9$ ng/ml; $P<0.01$; Fig. 2A) or with healthy controls ($17.1 \pm 4.1$ ng/ml, $P<0.05$). No differences were found in sTie-2 levels between patients with no tumor metastases and controls (Fig. 2A). Ang-1 levels were significantly higher in patients with or without tumor metastases ($51.6 \pm 27.1$ and $35.8 \pm 24.1$ ng/ml respectively) than in healthy controls ($8.7 \pm 9.9$ ng/ml; $P<0.001$ in both cases; Fig. 2B). No differences were found between patients with and without metastases. Ang-2 levels were significantly higher in patients with metastases ($3.6 \pm 2.1$ ng/ml) when compared to healthy controls ($1.7 \pm 0.9$ ng/ml; $P<0.001$; Fig. 2C). In contrast, no differences were found between patients with and without metastases.
with no metastases (2.6 ± 1.1 ng/ml) and healthy subjects or patients with metastases (Fig. 2C). Likewise, no differences were detected in VEGF levels among the groups studied (Fig. 2D). Accordingly, when we attempted to define the risk of metastases according to the levels of Ang/sTie-2, no significant associations were detected when patients were divided into those with normal or high values of Ang/sTie-2 and with or without metastases (P > 0.05 in all cases, 2×2 contingency tables, Fisher exact test, data not shown). In addition, when patients were divided into responsive and nonresponsive to somatostatin analogs, no significant association was detected with metastases (P > 0.05, data not shown).

Patients with both hormone- and no hormone-secreting tumors had significantly elevated levels of Tie-2 (P < 0.001 and P < 0.01 respectively), Ang-1 (P < 0.01 and P < 0.0001 respectively), and Ang-2 (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01 respectively), but not VEGF, when compared to controls. However, no significant differences were found between patients with both types of tumors. In addition, both wdNETs and wdNECs had elevated levels of sTie-2 (P < 0.01 and P < 0.001 respectively), Ang-1 (P < 0.001 in both cases), and Ang-2 (P < 0.05 and P < 0.001 respectively), but not VEGF (P > 0.05), when compared to healthy controls. However, no differences were found between patients with wdNETs and wdNECs.

When GEP-NET patients were classified according to the presence or absence of tumor angioinvasion, we observed significantly higher levels of sTie-2 (P < 0.01 and P < 0.05 respectively), Ang-1 (P < 0.01 and P < 0.05 respectively), and Ang-2 (P < 0.05 and P < 0.005 respectively) in both groups compared to healthy subjects (data not shown). In contrast, no significant differences in VEGF levels were observed among the three groups studied (data not shown). Likewise, we did not find significant differences in sTie-2, Ang-1, or Ang-2 levels between patients with and without tumor angiinvasion. However, Ang-1 levels tended to be higher in patients with angiinvasion.

Serum levels of Ang-2 correlate with CgA concentration and 5-HIAA excretion in patients with GEP-NETs

We then analyzed the possible association of serum levels of sTie-2 and Ang-2 with different biochemical parameters, including CgA concentrations and urinary 5-HIAA. As shown in Fig. 3A, in patients with carcinoid tumors, a significant positive correlation was detected between Ang-2 and CgA concentrations (r = 0.712; P = 0.003; Fig. 3A) or 5-HIAA excretion (r = 0.810; P = 0.015; Fig. 3B). A significant association was also detected in these patients between Ang-2 and VEGF levels (r = 0.528; P = 0.029; Fig. 3C). On the other hand, in the case of patients with PETs, we found a significant association between serum sTie-2 and Ang-2 levels (r = 0.482; P = 0.043, data not shown). Finally, in those patients with GEP-NETs and metastases, significant associations were detected between Ang-2 and CgA concentrations (r = 0.634; P = 0.001; Fig. 3D), Ang-2 and sTie-2 levels (r = 0.603; P = 0.002; Fig. 3E), and Ang-2 and VEGF concentrations (r = 0.509; P = 0.011; Fig. 3F).

Figure 3 Correlation analysis of laboratory parameters and levels of serum pro-angiogenic molecules in patients with GEP-NETs. Serum levels of the indicated molecules as well as the urinary excretion of 5-HIAA were determined in patients with GEP-NETs. Then, the association between the indicated parameters was determined by calculating the corresponding Pearson’s or Spearman’s correlation coefficients, as appropriated and as described in Materials and methods. Panels A, B, and C correspond to patients with carcinoid tumors, and panels D, E, and F correspond to patients with metastasis.

%Tie-2 and their ligands Ang-1 and Ang-2 are overexpressed in GEP-NETs

Immunohistochemical analysis showed the expression of Tie-2, Ang-1, and Ang-2 in tumor cells from PETs (Fig. 4A). In addition, we also found a positive immunostaining of Tie-2, Ang-1, and Ang-2 in tumor
cells from digestive GEP-NET tumors (Fig. 4B) and in GEP-NETs from metastatic liver tissue (Fig. 4C). These results were corroborated by flow cytometry analysis (FACS) of freshly isolated tumor cells from primary and metastatic tumors, which expressed both Tie-2 and Ang-2 (Fig. 5). Accordingly, real-time RT-PCR analysis confirmed the presence of Tie-2, Ang-1, and Ang-2 mRNA in tissue samples from three GEP-NETs. Although the levels of expression of these three genes were higher in tumor tissue, no significant differences were detected when they were compared with those observed in control samples, likely by the small number of cases analyzed (Fig. 5).

Monocytes from patients with GEP-NETs show an enhanced expression of Tie-2 and are able to migrate in response to Ang-2

We also examined the expression of Tie-2 by peripheral blood monocytes from 14 patients with GEP-NETs and 10 healthy subjects. FACS showed that the percentage of Tie-2⁺ CD14⁺ monocytes was significantly augmented in patients with GEP-NETs compared to healthy subjects (27.3 and 7.9% respectively, \( P < 0.05 \); Fig. 6A). Since Angs are able to induce the migration of monocytes (Murdoch et al. 2007), we also examined in vitro the chemotactic effect of Ang-2 and Ang-1 on cells from patients with GEP-NETs and healthy controls. As shown in Fig. 6B and C, monocytes from patients with GEP-NETs showed a significantly enhanced chemotactic response to recombinant Ang-2 compared to cells from healthy controls. However, although the monocytes from GEP-NET patients tended to show a higher response to Ang-1 compared to controls, no significant difference was reached. As expected, the chemotactic effect of Ang-1 and Ang-2 was abolished when the assays were performed in the presence of a blocking anti-Tie-2 antibody, but not with an irrelevant IgG (Fig. 6B and C, and data not shown). Finally, the chemokine CCL2/MCP-1 induced a similar chemotactic response in cells from patients and controls (Fig. 6B and C).

Discussion

NETs are an apparently heterogeneous group of malignancies with common biological features and cellular origin (Modlin et al. 2008, Oberg 2009). As in other malignant conditions, it is feasible that angiogenesis may also have a relevant role in the pathogenesis of these tumors since it has been demonstrated in different experimental models that blood vessel neoformation is involved in tumor growth and development of metastases (Shojaei et al. 2008). In this work, we have hypothesized that the Ang-1, Ang-2/Tie-2 system could be involved in the pathogenesis of GEP-NETs. Our results indicate enhanced
levels of sTie-2, Ang-1, and Ang-2 in 42 patients with GEP-NETs as well as evidence of local up-regulation of these angiogenic factors in metastatic tissue of these tumors. Data on Ang-2 are in agreement with previous reports showing an enhanced expression of Ang-2 at protein and mRNA levels in a small number of GEP-NETs (Detjen et al. 2010). In addition, a recent work (Srirajaskanthan et al. 2009) reported enhanced serum levels of Ang-2 in patients with NETs. However, in that report, Ang-1 levels were similar in GEP-NET patients and healthy controls, and the sTie-2 concentrations were not determined (Srirajaskanthan et al. 2009).

We consider that our analysis of patient subsets has provided interesting data. We found higher serum levels of sTie-2 in patients with GEP-NET and metastases compared with those without evidence of metastases. In addition, Ang-2 concentrations were only elevated in GEP-NET patients with metastases. Moreover, Ang-2 and Tie-2 expression was detected in GEP-NET metastatic tissue. We consider that all these data suggest that the Ang/Tie-2 system could be involved in the dissemination of GEP-NETs. This point is in agreement with a previous report that found a relationship between Ang-2 concentrations and the volume of metastases in GEP-NET patients (Srirajaskanthan et al. 2009). On the other hand, our data also suggest that, at least in the patients included in this study, VEGF alone does not seem to play an important role in tumor development. However, up-regulation of the VEGF angiogenic system has been demonstrated in different conditions, mainly neoplastic, in digestive endocrine tumors (La Rosa et al. 2003). In addition, it has reported the overexpression of VEGF by NET metastatic tissue (Zhang et al. 2007). In this regard, therapeutic biological agents such as mAbs directed against VEGF (bevacizumab) (Yao et al. 2008) or drugs that are able to block the downstream signaling pathways induced through its receptor (everolimus) (Grozinsky-Glasberg et al. 2008) decrease tumor blood flow and have been successfully used in the treatment of these patients. However, Oxboel et al. (2009) recently reported that VEGF is not overexpressed by NETs, and that the expression of this gene in this condition is highly variable. Therefore, it is very likely that the combined activity of VEGF with the high concentrations of Ang-2 observed by us could exert an important angiogenic effect in GEP-NETs, promoting thus their growth. This possibility is further supported by the significant correlation that we found between Ang-2 and VEGF levels, mainly in those patients with metastases. However, our data show that in patients with NETs, Ang-1 serum levels are higher than those of Ang-2, suggesting the predominance of the antiangiogenic effect exerted by Ang-1. Although this is a commendable possibility, we consider that there are alternative interpretations for this phenomenon. In this regard, it is well known that in healthy individuals, the levels of Ang-1 are four- to five fold higher than those of Ang-2. Therefore, it is feasible that under physiological and pathological conditions, very high concentrations of Ang-1 are required for an effective...
negative regulation of the pro-angiogenic effect of Ang-2/VEGF. Thus, it is possible that in patients with NETs, the ongoing angiogenic effect of Ang-2 (induced by tumor hypoxia and/or the tumor infiltrating monocytes) triggers the release of both Ang-1 and sTie-2 as a homeostatic mechanism during blood vessel neoformation (Thomas & Augustin 2009). In this regard, it has shown the lethal effect of systemic overexpression of Ang-2 in mice (Cao et al. 2007) and the significant association of very high levels of this cytokine with mortality during sepsis in humans (Thomas & Augustin 2009). On the other hand, although the anti-angiogenic effect of Ang-1 has been widely demonstrated in different experimental models, the role of this cytokine in tumor-associated angiogenesis remains controversial (Thomas & Augustin 2009). In this regard, it has been shown that Ang-1 is able to promote and to inhibit tumor growth (Shim et al. 2001, Machein et al. 2004). Therefore, the precise functional role of Ang-1 in GEP-NET development and metastases remains as an important point to be determined. Likewise, it will be interesting to define the possible relationship between the Ang/Tie-2 system and somatostatin receptor expression.

It is worth mentioning that our work is the first report describing an enhanced expression and function of Tie-2 in peripheral blood monocytes from GEP-NET patients. Monocytes are recruited from the bloodstream into tumors where they can promote both tumor angiogenesis and metastases (Lewis et al. 2007). Thus, it has been shown that Tie-2-expressing monocytes (TEMs) exert an important pro-angiogenic activity in malignant conditions. Accordingly, in mice,
the selective elimination of TEMs by a suicide gene dramatically impairs angiogenesis and induces substantial tumor regression (De Palma et al. 2003, 2008). In addition, TEMs have been detected in Rip-Tag2 transgenic mice that develop spontaneous PETs (Shojaei et al. 2008). Tie-2⁺ monocytes can be detected not only in tumors, but also at low frequency in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (De Palma et al. 2005, Murdoch et al. 2007, Venneri et al. 2007). Therefore, it is feasible that monocytes have an active role in tumor angiogenesis, favoring their growth and metastases. Similar to murine cells, human TEMs also have pro-angiogenic activity and promote tumor growth when co-injected with human glioma cells in nude mice (Venneri et al. 2007). In this regard, Ang-2 exerts an important chemoattractant effect on monocytes, promoting their recruitment to tumor tissues (Lewis et al. 2007), favoring their angiogenic effect, and enhancing the blood vessel neoformation induced by the combined activity of Ang-2 and VEGF (Maisonpierre et al. 1997). Furthermore, Ang-2 is able to inhibit the synthesis of TNF-α and the anti-tumor activity of monocytes (Cao et al. 2007, Lewis et al. 2007). As TNF-α promotes apoptosis of both malignant and ECs, its down-regulation by Ang-2 would further favor the survival and proliferation of tumor cells. Although the cause of the enhanced expression of Tie-2 by monocytes from GEP-NET patients remains to be determined, it is very likely that hypoxia, which usually occurs in the tumor microenvironment, may contribute to this phenomenon (De Palma et al. 2005). In addition, it is feasible that the enhanced levels of Ang-2 contribute to the induction of expression of Tie-2 by monocytes. We could thus speculate that an increased number of Tie-2⁺ monocytes present in GEP-NETs would be attracted by Ang-2 present in tumor sites, where they could cooperate in tumor growth and in the development of metastases. We consider that this is an interesting possibility to be studied in the near future.

In summary, our data strongly suggest that the Ang/Tie-2 system is involved in the pathogenesis of NETs, and that monocytes could play an active role in this phenomenon. Therefore, Angs and its receptor seem to be interesting molecular targets for new biological therapies for NETs.
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