The tyrosine kinase inhibitor ZD6474 blocks proliferation of RET mutant medullary thyroid carcinoma cells
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Abstract

Oncogenic conversion of the RET tyrosine kinase is a frequent feature of medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC). ZD6474 (vandetanib) is an ATP-competitive inhibitor of RET, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), and vascular endothelial growth factor receptors kinases. In this study, we have studied ZD6474 mechanism of action in TT and MZ-CRC-1 human MTC cell lines, carrying cysteine 634 to tryptophan (C634W) and methionine 918 to threonine (M918T) RET mutation respectively. ZD6474 blunted MTC cell proliferation and RET, Shc and p44/p42 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) phosphorylation. Single receptor knockdown by RNA interference showed that MTC cells depended on RET for proliferation. Adoptive expression of the ZD6474-resistant V804M RET mutant rescued proliferation of TT cells under ZD6474 treatment, showing that RET is a key ZD6474 target in these MTC cells. Upon RET inhibition, adoptive stimulation of EGFR partially rescued TT cell proliferation, MAPK signaling, and expression of cell-cycle-related genes. This suggests that simultaneous inhibition of RET and EGFR by ZD6474 may overcome the risk of MTC cells to escape from RET blockade through compensatory over-activation of EGFR.

Introduction

Medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) arises from neural-crest-derived C cells. It is sporadic in about 75% of cases and in the remainder is inherited as a component of multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 (MEN2) syndromes (MEN2A, MEN2B, and familial MTC (FMTC)). Early thyroidectomy is curative in a high percentage of patients, and preventive surgery in RET mutation carriers has significantly improved the prognosis of FMTC (Skinner et al. 2005). However, sporadic MTC patients are often incurable because the cancer has already metastasized to regional lymph nodes or distant sites at the time of diagnosis (Schlumberger et al. 2008). There is no effective systemic treatment for metastatic MTC (Schlumberger et al. 2008, Sherman 2009, Wells & Santoro 2009, Fagin et al. 2010). Germline RET mutations predispose to MEN2 (Santoro & Carlomagno 2006). Somatic RET mutations, particularly M918T, are found in about half of sporadic MTC (Santoro & Carlomagno 2006, Schlumberger et al. 2008, Wells & Santoro 2009) and correlate with an aggressive disease behavior (Elisei et al. 2008). MTC-associated
mutations activate the RET kinase and convert RET into a dominantly transforming oncogene. This makes RET a potential target for molecular therapy of MTC (Santoro & Carlomagno 2006).

Small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) are used to disrupt kinase signaling in cancer and gain-of-function mutations in the target kinase identify patients who may benefit from TKIs treatment (Baselga 2006). TKIs of various chemical classes, which are able to inhibit RET, are in clinical development (Schlumberger et al. 2008, Sherman 2009, Wells & Santoro 2009, Fagin et al. 2010). These agents are multitargeted and able to inhibit several kinases besides RET. Combined action on several targets may have the advantage of blocking simultaneously pathways active in both tumor parenchyma and tumor stroma (Tortora et al. 2008).

ZD6474 (vandetanib) is an anilinoquinazoline TKI (Wedge et al. 2002, Herbst et al. 2007). ZD6474 docks in the ATP-binding pocket of the RET kinase (Knowles et al. 2006) and inhibits RET kinase with an inhibitory concentration 50 (IC50) of 100–130 nM (Carlomagno et al. 2002a, Herbst et al. 2007). ZD6474 reduced proliferation and tumorigenicity of fibroblasts expressing RET-derived oncopgenes (Carlomagno et al. 2002a); it was also effective in a transplanted mouse model of human MTC (Johanson et al. 2007) and in a Drosophila model of RET-mediated tumorigenesis (Vidal et al. 2005). ZD6474 shares with certain other RET TKIs (sorafenib, sunitinib, and XL-184) the capability of targeting vascular endothelial growth factor receptor type 2/kinase insert domain receptor (VEGFR2/KDR) (IC50 = 38–40 nM), VEGFR3 (Flt-4) (IC50 = 110–260 nM), and VEGFR1 (Flt-1) (150–1000 nM; Wedge et al. 2002, Bianco et al. 2008). ZD6474 also targets the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) (IC50 = 43–500 nM), a kinase that plays a prominent role in epithelial cell malignancies (Wedge et al. 2002, Ciardiello et al. 2003, Bianco et al. 2008, Ciardiello & Tortora 2008). ZD6474 is currently in Phase III clinical trials in MTC (Robinson et al. 2010, Wells et al. 2010).

In this study, we have studied the mechanism of action of ZD6474 in human MTC cells harboring oncogenic RET mutations.

**Materials and methods**

**Compounds**

ZD6474 (vandetanib) and gefitinib (ZD1839) were provided by AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals. PP1 was from Alexis (San Diego, CA, USA). Stock solutions (50 mM) were made in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and diluted with culture media before use. Culture media containing an equivalent DMSO concentration served as vehicle controls.

**Cell cultures**

TT cells were from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). TTs were derived from the primary tumor of an apparently sporadic MTC (Leong et al. 1981). TTs harbor a cysteine 634 to tryptophan (C634W) exon 11 RET mutation (Carlomagno et al. 1995) as well as a tandem duplication of the mutated RET allele (Huang et al. 2003). MZ-CRC-1 cells were kindly provided by Robert F Gagel. MZ-CRC-1 cells were derived from a malignant pleural effusion from a patient with a metastatic MTC (Cooley et al. 1995).

TT cells were grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 16% FCS (Gibco). MZ-CRC-1 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS. All media were supplemented with 2 mM l-glutamine and 100 U/ml penicillin–streptomycin (Gibco).

**Cell proliferation analysis**

Compounds or vehicle were added to the cell culture medium and replenished every 2 days. Cells were counted every day. DNA synthesis was measured by a 5'-bromo-3'-deoxyuridine (BrdU) labeling and detection kit (Roche Diagnostics). Cells were seeded onto glass coverslips and treated with the compounds for 24 h. Then, cells were incubated for 1 h with BrdU (10 mM) and fixed. Coverslips were incubated with anti-BrdU and secondary fluorescein-conjugated antibody. Coverslips were counterstained with Hoechst 33258, rinsed, and mounted with Moviol on glass slides. The fluorescent signal was visualized with an epifluorescent microscope (Axiovert 2, Zeiss, Gottingen, Germany), interfaced with the image analyzer software KS300. To determine viability, cells were treated with ZD6474 for 7 days. Each day, cells in suspension were harvested and stained with 0.2% trypan blue for 3 min at room temperature. Unstained (viable) and stained (nonviable) cells were counted and the percentage of dead cells was reported.
Transient transfections and plasmids

TT cells were transfected by Nucleofector following the manufacturer’s protocol (Lonza Group Ltd, Cologne, Germany). Cells were resuspended in the Nucleofector solution to a concentration of 1 x 10^6 cells/100 μl and mixed with 2 μg pcDNA3.1/Myc RET/C634W, pcDNA3.1/Myc RET/C634W/V804M, or green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing constructs. The samples were transferred into cuvettes and electroporated by applying the A-020 Nucleofector program and plated in complete medium.

RNA silencing

The small inhibitor duplex RNAs (siRNAs) (ON-target plus SMARTPool), siRET (human: # L-003170-00), siEGFR (human: # L-003114-00), and siKDR (human: # L-003148-00) were from Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO, USA). The siCONTROL nontargeting pool (#D-001206-13-05) was used as a negative control. Cells were transfected with 100 nM siRNAs using Dharmafect reagent following the manufacturer’s instructions. The day before transfection, the cells were plated on 35 mm dishes at 40% of confluence in RPMI supplemented with 4% fetal bovine serum (FBS) without antibiotics. Cells were harvested 48 h after the transfection.

Protein studies

Protein lysates containing comparable amounts of proteins, estimated by a modified Bradford assay (Bio-Rad), were subjected to immunoprecipitation or direct western blot. Immunocomplexes were detected by western blot. Immunocomplexes were recovered with protein G Sepharose and detected by western blot.

Quantitative RT-PCR array

RNA was isolated from TT cells by a RT^2 qPCR-Grade RNA Isolation kit by following the manufacturer’s protocol (SABiosciences-Qiagen). RNA concentration was measured by a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and RNA quality was assessed by electrophoresis on a denaturing agarose gel. RNA was retrotranscribed by using RT^2 First Strand kit, and real-time PCR was performed by the Human Cell Cycle RT^2 Profiler PCR Array (SABiosciences-Qiagen). Experiments were made in triplicate, and analysis of the results was performed by the RT^2 Profiler PCR Array data analysis software (SABiosciences-Qiagen).

The Human Cell Cycle RT^2 Profiler PCR Array measures the expression level of the following cell-cycle-related genes: ANAPC2, CCND1, CCNE1, CDC34, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1B, CDKN3, CUL1, CUL2, CUL3, and SKP2 (G1 phase and G1/S transition); ABL1, MCM2, MCM3, MCM4, MCM5, PCNA, RPA3, SUMO1, and UBE1 (S phase and DNA replication); ANAPC2, ANAPC4, DIRAS3, BCCIP, BIRC5, CCNB1, CCNG1, CCNH, CCNT1, CCNT2, CDK5R1, CDK5RAP1, CDK7, CDKN3, CKS1B, CKS2, DDX11, DNM2, GTF2H1, GTSE1, HERC5, KPN2, MNT1, and SERTAD1 (G2 phase and G2/M transition); CCNB2, CCNF, CDC2, CDC16, CDC20, MRE11A, and RAD51 (M phase); ATM, ATR, BRCA1, BRCA2, CCNG2, CDC2, CDC3, CDK2, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN3, CHEK1, CHEK2, CUL1, CUL2, CUL3, GADD45A, HUS1, KNTC1, MAD2L1, MAD2L2, NBN, RAD1, RAD17, RAD9A, RB1, RBBP8, and TP53 (cell-cycle checkpoint and cell-cycle arrest). ABL1, ANAPC2, ANAPC4, DIRAS3, ATM, ATR, BCCIP, BCL2, BRCA2, CCNB1, CCNB2, CCNC, CCND1, CCND2, CCNE1, CCNF, CCNH, CCNT1, CCNT2, CDC16, CDC2, CDC20, CDK2, CDK4, CDK5R1, CDK6, CDK7, CDK8, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CKS1B, DDX11, E2F4,
**Results**

**Cytostatic effects of ZD6474 in RET mutant MTC cells**

We measured the proliferation of TT and MZ-CRC-1 MTC cells treated with compound or vehicle in *in vitro* culture. The compound reduced cell proliferation at doses in the range of its IC$_{50}$ for the RET kinase (100–130 nM). After 5 days of treatment, TT (harboring RET/C634W) cells treated with vehicle numbered $1300 \times 10^3$ and those treated with 250 nM ZD6474 numbered $800 \times 10^3$ (difference $= 500 \times 10^3$, 95% confidence interval (CI) $= 310–690 \times 10^3$, $P < 0.0015$) (corresponding to 38.5% of cell number reduction; Fig. 1A). MZ-CRC-1 (harboring RET/M918T) cells treated (for 6 days) with vehicle or 250 nM ZD6474 numbered $1144 \times 10^3$ and $712 \times 10^3$ respectively (difference $= 432 \times 10^3$, 95% CI $= 253–611 \times 10^3$, $P < 0.001$) (corresponding to 37.8% of cell number reduction; Fig. 1B). In TT cells, ZD6474 exerted modest cytotoxicity at doses in the range of its IC$_{50}$ for the RET kinase (Fig. 1A). A trypan blue exclusion viability assay confirmed that the compound exerted, instead, cytotoxicity at 1 week of treatment at doses of 1–5 $\mu$M (Fig. 1C).

We used a BrdU incorporation assay to characterize the ZD6474-mediated effects. ZD6474 reduced DNA synthesis of TT and MZ-CRC-1 cells, with an IC$_{50}$ of 50–250 nM. At 1 $\mu$M, ZD6474 virtually abrogated DNA synthesis in both cell lines (Fig. 1D). Treatment with ZD6474 for 24 h resulted in upregulation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27Kip1 and downregulation of cyclin D1 (Fig. 1D). Accordingly, treatment of nude mice *per os* with ZD6474 reduced tumor burden of TT-derived xenografts, and tumor-growth inhibition was associated with a reduction in

![Figure 1 Effects of ZD6474 on MTC cell proliferation. TT (A) and MZ-CRC-1 (B) cells were incubated with vehicle or the indicated concentrations of ZD6474 and counted at different time points. Each point represents the mean value of three culture dishes and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Statistical significance was determined with two-tailed unpaired Student’s $t$-test. (C) TT cells were incubated with vehicle or the indicated concentrations of ZD6474. Every day detached cells were stained with trypan blue, and the nonviable blue-stained cells were counted. Each point represents the mean value of three culture dishes; error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. (D) MTC cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of ZD6474 for 24 h. Bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) was added for 1 h, and cells were processed for immunofluorescence with anti-BrdU. Cell nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst. The average results ± s.d. of three independent experiments in which at least 500 cells were counted are shown. (D, inset) Expression levels of cell-cycle regulatory proteins were determined by immunoblot. Anti-tubulin was used for normalization.](https://www.endocrinology-journals.org)
In vivo RET phosphorylation (Supplementary data and Supplementary Figure 1, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article).

Inhibition of signal transduction in RET mutant MTC cells by ZD6474

TT and MZ-CRC-1 cells were treated with increasing concentrations of ZD6474. RET tyrosine phosphorylation levels were measured by immunoblotting with phospho-specific antibodies that recognize RET when phosphorylated at tyrosine 905 (Y905) or 1062 (Y1062). Y905 maps in the activation loop of the RET kinase, while Y1062 maps in the carboxy-terminal tail of the receptor; when phosphorylated, Y1062 binds to Shc and other adaptor proteins. In turn, Shc mediates Grb2/Sos recruitment and phosphorylation of p44/p42 MAPK (Asai et al. 2006). Treatment with ZD6474 reduced RET/C634W and RET/M918T phosphotyrosine content with an IC$_{50}$ of 50–250 nM, whereas the vehicle had no effect (Fig. 2A). At low doses (10–50 nM), the compound was slightly more effective in TT than MZ-CRC-1 cells. ZD6474 also inhibited phosphorylation of both Shc and p44/42 MAPK with an IC$_{50}$ of 50–250 nM (Fig. 2B).

These findings suggest that RET signaling blockade plays a role in ZD6474-mediated proliferative inhibition of RET mutant MTC cells.

RET dependency of ZD6474 effects in TT cells

ZD6474 is a multitargeted agent and therefore anti-proliferative effects may be mediated by the simultaneous inhibition of multiple targets in MTC cells (Herbst et al. 2007). We previously reported that a methionine or leucine substitution for valine 804 in RET confers resistance to ZD6474 by blocking compound binding to the RET kinase (Carlomagno et al. 2004, Knowles et al. 2006). Thus, we have used the ZD6474-resistant double RET/C634W/V804M mutant to verify the role played by RET inhibition on ZD6474 effects. The ZD6474-sensitive RET/C634W construct was used as a control. Preliminarily, by using a fluorescent GFP construct, we verified that transient transfection efficiency in TT cells was roughly 25% (data not shown). TTs were transiently transfected with tagged (C-terminal Myc tag) versions of RET/C634W/V804M or RET/C634W; a western blot with an anti-tag antibody demonstrated expression of the transfected constructs (Fig. 3, inset). After 24 h, cells were treated with 1 µM ZD6474 for 24 h and DNA synthesis rate was measured. As shown in Fig. 3, expression of RET/C634W/V804M (but not RET/C634W) was able to rescue BrdU incorporation of ~25% of the cells, a value that is consistent with transfection efficiency.

These findings suggest that RET blockade contributes to anti-proliferative effects exerted by ZD6474 in TT cells.

ZD6474-mediated inhibition of EGFR and KDR (VEGFR2) in RET mutant MTC cells

Besides RET, ZD6474 targets VEGFRs (VEGFR2/KDR, VEGFR3, and VEGFR1) as well as EGFR (Wedge et al. 2002, Herbst et al. 2007, Bianco et al. 2008). Inhibition of these receptors may contribute to ZD6474 effects. Importantly, EGFR and KDR (VEGFR2) expression was reported in MTC tissue specimens (Rodriguez-Antona et al. 2010). Thus, TT and MZ-CRC-1 cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-EGFR or -KDR and immunoblotted with anti-phospho-specific Shc or p44/42 MAPK antibodies. Anti-MAPK and anti-Shc were used for normalization. Data are representative of three independent experiments.
and comparatively a reduced phosphorylation of KDR with respect to TT (Fig. 4A).

TT and MZ-CRC-1 cells were treated with 1 μM ZD6474 for 2 h. The selective EGFR inhibitor, gefitinib (3 μM), was used as control. Equal amounts of EGFR and KDR were immunoprecipitated and blotted with anti-phosphotyrosine. As expected, both receptors were readily inhibited by treatment with ZD6474 (Fig. 4B).

Therefore, the inhibition of EGFR and KDR may participate to ZD6474 effects in MTC cells.

To further analyze the role of EGFR, we starved TT cells from serum and treated them for 24 h with ZD6474 (1 μM) (to inhibit RET, EGFR, and KDR) or PP1 (1 μM) (to inhibit RET). Two hours before harvesting, cells were treated with transforming growth factor α (TGFα) to stimulate EGFR. For this experiment, we selected TGFα rather than EGF because TGFα after internalization dissociates from EGFR, thus, promoting its recycling on membrane and a sustained EGFR activation, while EGF induces EGFR degradation after internalization, thus, rapidly terminating EGFR signaling (Yarden 2001). Equal amounts of EGFR were immunoprecipitated and immunoblotted with anti-phosphotyrosine. As expected, TGFα also caused a reduced expression of EGFR and KDR (data not shown; Croyle et al. 2008).

Thus, at least in the presence of an active RET, TT cells can proliferate also upon EGFR and KDR inhibition. We asked whether the same holds true in conditions of RET ablation. We treated TT with PP1 (500 nM) to inhibit RET or ZD6474 (500 nM) to inhibit RET, EGFR, and KDR, and used EGF or VEGFA (100 ng/ml) to trigger EGFR or KDR respectively. After 24 h of treatment, BrdU incorporation was measured. Stimulation of EGFR, but not KDR, was able to partially rescue PP1-mediated DNA synthesis inhibition (Fig. 5C). Importantly, EGF exerted no rescue in cells treated with ZD6474 (Fig. 5C).

**EGFR-mediated signaling effects in TT cells**

To further analyze the role of EGFR, we starved TT cells from serum and treated them for 24 h with ZD6474 (1 μM) (to inhibit RET, EGFR, and KDR) or PP1 (1 μM) (to inhibit RET). Two hours before harvesting, cells were treated with transforming growth factor α (TGFα) to stimulate EGFR. For this experiment, we selected TGFα rather than EGF because TGFα after internalization dissociates from EGFR, thus, promoting its recycling on membrane and a sustained EGFR activation, while EGF induces EGFR degradation after internalization, thus, rapidly terminating EGFR signaling (Yarden 2001). Equal amounts of EGFR were immunoprecipitated and immunoblotted with anti-phosphotyrosine. As expected, TGFα...
stimulated EGFR phosphorylation in untreated and in 
PP1-treated cells (Fig. 6). A modest increase in EGFR 
phosphorylation was also detected in ZD6474-treated 
cells, probably due to an incomplete EGFR inhibition 
(Fig. 6, upper panel).

In TT cells treated with PP1, despite RET activity 
was inhibited, the stimulation of EGFR by TGFα was 
able to rescue intracellular signaling as demonstrated 
by phosphorylation of Shc, MAPK as well as down-
stream effectors, p90RSK and S6 ribosomal protein 
(Fig. 6, lower panel). This did not occur when, in 
addition to RET, EGFR was inhibited by ZD6474 
(Fig. 6, lower panel). Thus, in TT cells, EGFR is 
able, at least in part, to rescue signaling from RET 
chemical blockade. To further characterize this event, 
we performed a quantitative RT-PCR-based screen 
of the expression of cell-cycle-associated genes.

These included genes involved in G1/S transition, 
S phase and DNA replication, G2/M transition, M phase, 
and cell-cycle checkpoints (Supplementary data and 
Supplementary Table 1, see section on supplementary 
data given at the end of this article). TT cells were 
serum starved and treated for 24 h with ZD6474 
(1 μM) or PP1 (1 μM). Before harvesting, cells were 
stimulated with 100 ng/ml TGFα for 2 h or left 
untreated. RNA was isolated and RT-PCR was 
performed in triplicate. Results are summarized in 
Supplementary data and Supplementary Table 1, see 
section on supplementary data given at the end of 
this article. ZD6474 caused a ≥ 2-fold change of the 
expression of 25% of the examined cell-cycle-related 
genes (21/84), in most cases repressing their 
expression (17 downregulated and 4 upregulated). 
Consistent with the hypothesis that expression changes 
of such genes were due to RET inhibition, PP1 caused 
a change in the same direction of virtually all of 
them (Supplementary data and Supplementary Table 1, 
see section on supplementary data given at the end of 

Figure 6 EGFR effects in TT cells. TT cells were serum 
starved and treated for 24 h with vehicle, 1 μM PP1, or 1 μM 
ZD6474 in the presence or absence of TGFα (100 ng/ml) for 
additional 2 h. Equal amounts of EGFR were immunoprecipi-
tated and immunoblotted with anti-phosphotyrosine (upper 
panel). Total lysates were immunoblotted with the indicated 
antibodies (lower panel).
Table 1 Cell-cycle gene expression profile in TT cells upon transforming growth factor α (TGFα) stimulation (mRNAs regulated >2-fold with respect to untreated TT cells)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>ZD6474a (P value)</th>
<th>PP1a (P value)</th>
<th>ZD6474+TGFαa (P value)</th>
<th>PP1+TGFαa (P value)</th>
<th>TGFαa (P value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRCA2</td>
<td>-5.66 (0.01)</td>
<td>-6.06 (0.01)</td>
<td>-7.21 (0.01)</td>
<td>-3.48 (0.02)</td>
<td>2.46 (0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCND1</td>
<td>-5.86 (0.01)</td>
<td>-6.96 (0.01)</td>
<td>-6.96 (0.01)</td>
<td>-4.93 (0.01)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEK1</td>
<td>-3.25 (0.002)</td>
<td>-3.36 (0.009)</td>
<td>-4 (0.0007)</td>
<td>-2.64 (0.002)</td>
<td>1.52 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GADD45A</td>
<td>-6.28 (0.01)</td>
<td>-6.06 (0.01)</td>
<td>-6.06 (0.01)</td>
<td>-4.14 (0.01)</td>
<td>1.68 (0.047)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCM2</td>
<td>-6.28 (0.00003)</td>
<td>-5.1 (0.00012)</td>
<td>-7.21 (0.00014)</td>
<td>-4.6 (0.00004)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCM3</td>
<td>-3.48 (0.00003)</td>
<td>-3.48 (0.00001)</td>
<td>-4.44 (0.00004)</td>
<td>-2.83 (0.000007)</td>
<td>-1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCM4</td>
<td>-3.48 (0.00004)</td>
<td>-3.03 (0.00067)</td>
<td>-2.93 (0.00004)</td>
<td>-2.3 (0.00001)</td>
<td>1.74 (0.00005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCM5</td>
<td>-3.73 (0.0003)</td>
<td>-3.6 (0.0002)</td>
<td>-3.36 (0.0003)</td>
<td>-2.93 (0.0006)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCNA</td>
<td>-3.36 (0.03)</td>
<td>-3.14 (0.04)</td>
<td>-3.73 (0.03)</td>
<td>-2.83 (0.04)</td>
<td>-1.23 (0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD51</td>
<td>-3.73 (0.02)</td>
<td>-3.87 (0.02)</td>
<td>-4.76 (0.01)</td>
<td>-2.64 (0.03)</td>
<td>1 (0.88)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aFold regulation with respect to untreated TT cells.

This article). The nature of the downregulated genes was in good agreement with the cytostatic effect exerted by ZD6474, they included genes involved in DNA licensing for replication and fork progression (MCM family and PCNA), G1/S cyclins (cyclin D and E), and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) (CDK2 and CDK1). ZD6474 also downregulated genes involved in the DNA repair and cell-cycle checkpoints (BRCA1/2, GADD45, RAD51, TP53, and CHEK1) (Supplementary data and Supplementary Table 1, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article).

Thus, we looked at the effects of EGFR stimulation by TGFα on genes affected by RET inhibition; results are shown in Table 1. Interestingly, the expression of many (60%, 10/17) of the genes that were downregulated by RET inhibition (PP1 and by ZD6474) was stimulated by TGFα. Importantly, this occurred in cells treated with PP1 but not in cells treated with ZD6474. However, such a TGFα-mediated rescue was only partial (recovery ranging from 30 to 50%) and indeed TGFα was not able to restore the expression to the levels present in untreated cells (Table 1).

Altogether, these findings support cytostatic effects exerted by RET inhibition in MTC cells and suggest that EGFR may partially compensate RET inhibition by partially restoring intracellular signaling and expression of cell-cycle-related genes.

Discussion

In this study, we have performed a set of in vitro experiments to determine the effects of ZD6474 in RET mutant MTC cells and to investigate the relative contribution of the various ZD6474 targets to these effects. At doses close to its in vitro IC50 for RET, ZD6474 inhibited the proliferation and the phosphorylation of Shc/MAPK pathway in MTC cells. Cell proliferation rescue by a ZD6474-resistant RET mutant protein (V804) demonstrated the central role played by RET block in ZD6474-mediated proliferative inhibition of TT cells. Two additional ZD6474 targets, EGFR and KDR (VEGFR2), are expressed in human MTC specimens (Rodriguez-Antona et al. 2010). In this study, we show that both EGFR and KDR are phosphorylated in TT and MZ-CRC-1 cells and inhibited by ZD6474. We did not find any mutation in EGFR and KDR in TT and MZ-CRC-1 cells (not shown), therefore, it is likely that their phosphorylation is sustained by autocrine/paracrine production of cognate growth factors by MTC cells (van der Laan et al. 1995, Nilsson et al. 1995, de la Torre et al. 2006, Croyle et al. 2008). The expression of active forms of EGFR and KDR raised the possibility that their inhibition may contribute to the effects exerted by ZD6474 in MTC cells. However, RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated silencing showed that neither EGFR nor KDR played a prominent role in TT cell proliferation in the presence of active RET. It has been reported that inhibitory effects of small molecule TKIs may be overcome by the compensatory activation of other kinases (Stommel et al. 2007). One possible advantage of multikinase inhibitors is their ability of blocking more than one single kinase and therefore circumventing this mechanism of resistance (van Amerongen & Berns 2008, Knight et al. 2010). In this study, we show that over-stimulation of EGFR is able to partially replace RET when RET activity is inhibited. In fact, EGFR triggering by TGFα exerted a mitogenic effect in TT cells when RET is blocked, paralleled by a partial rescue of downstream MAPK pathway. Furthermore, in TT cells, RET depletion (by PP1 or ZD6474) caused a significant alteration of...
the expression profile of cell-cycle-related genes and EGFR triggering by TGFβ was able to recover, albeit partially, the expression of many of them. By inhibiting EGFR, ZD6474 was able to prevent this effect.

Although not explored in this study, it should be noted that another potential advantage of multikinase inhibitors with activity against KDR might be that of simultaneously attacking both tumor cells and tumor vessels (Hayden 2009). However, it should be also noted that recent experimental evidence indicates that anti-angiogenic approaches, perhaps due to insufficient tumor oxygenation, hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) activation, and selection of more malignant cells may foster cancer cell progression to a more aggressive and metastatic phenotype (Ebos et al. 2009, Hayden 2009, Loges et al. 2009, Michieli 2009, Paez-Ribes et al. 2009).
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