Combination of sorafenib and everolimus impacts therapeutically on adrenocortical tumor models
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Abstract

Treatment options are insufficient in patients with adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC). Based on the efficacy of sorafenib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, and everolimus, an inhibitor of the mammalian target of rapamycin in tumors of different histotype, we aimed at testing these drugs in adrenocortical cancer models. The expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptors (VEGFR1–2) was studied in 18 ACCs, 33 aldosterone-producing adenomas, 12 cortisol-producing adenomas, and six normal adrenal cortex by real-time PCR and immunohistochemistry and by immunoblotting in SW13 and H295R cancer cell lines. The effects of sorafenib and everolimus, alone or in combination, were tested on primary adrenocortical cultures and SW13 and H295R cells by evaluating cell viability and apoptosis in vitro and tumor growth inhibition of tumor cell line xenografts in immunodeficient mice in vivo. VEGF and VEGFR1–2 were detected in all samples and appeared over-expressed in two-thirds of ACC specimens. Dose-dependent inhibition of cell viability was observed particularly in SW13 cells after 24 h treatment with either drug; drug combination produced markedly synergistic growth inhibition. Increasing apoptosis was observed in tumor cells treated with the drugs, particularly with sorafenib. Finally, a significant mass reduction and increased survival were observed in SW13 xenograft model undergoing treatment with the drugs in combination. Our data suggest that an autocrine VEGF loop may exist within ACC. Furthermore, a combination of molecularly targeted agents may have both antiangiogenic and direct antitumor effects and thus could represent a new therapeutic tool for the treatment of ACC.
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Introduction

Adrenocortical masses are common tumors, with a prevalence of at least 3% in the population over the age of 50 years; they are incidentally discovered up to 5% on abdominal imaging and 15% of patients at autopsy (Grumbach et al. 2003). In contrast, adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC) is a rare malignancy (incidence 1–2 cases per million population) with a heterogeneous presentation and generally poor prognosis (Allolio & Fassnacht 2006).

Despite some recent progress, our understanding of the biology of adrenocortical tumors (ACTs) is still incomplete. Adrenocortical tumorigenesis appears to be a multistep process, resulting from sequential genetic alterations leading to progression from normal to adenomatous and eventually malignant phenotype
Angiogenesis plays a major role in cancer growth and metastasis. Differences in angiogenesis and the balance between angiogenic growth factors and inhibitors may play a role in determining the observed variations in tumor behavior. The angiogenic status of a tumor can be assessed by studying vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), an endothelial cell mitogen (Leung et al. 1997, Shibusa et al. 1998). Previous studies showed that the angiogenic phenotype of human ACC is characterized by VEGF over-expression (Bernini et al. 2002), with VEGF mRNA increasing in cultured adenocortical cells after the treatment with ACTH or cAMP (Heikkilä et al. 2000). Moreover, circulating VEGF levels are significantly elevated in endocrine hypertension due to glucocorticoid excess (Zacharieva et al. 2005).

VEGF acts on endothelial cells in a paracrine mode after its release from other sources such as tumor cells or in an autocrine manner from VEGF-producing endothelial cells. Following the binding to VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2), VEGF mediates its effects on proliferation, survival, adhesion, migration, and gene expression in endothelial cells (Ferrara et al. 2003). Moreover, VEGF acts in an autocrine manner as a growth and survival factor for the tumor cells that express the VEGFR (Bachelder et al. 2001, Masood et al. 2001, Wey et al. 2004).

New therapies using VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been used in metastatic renal cell carcinoma (Wilhelm et al. 2008) and hepatocellular carcinoma (Keating & Santoro 2009). Sorafenib acts on multiple tyrosine kinases, including VEGFR2 (Wilhelm et al. 2004, 2006), and it can directly inhibit tumor cell growth via Raf–MEK–ERK signaling (Liu et al. 2006). Sorafenib also has been reported to induce apoptosis in a variety of tumor cells through down-regulation of Mcl-1 (Rahmani et al. 2005). Other drugs, like rapamycin, show antiangiogenic activities linked to a decrease in production of VEGF and to a markedly inhibited response of vascular endothelial cells to stimulation by VEGF (Guba et al. 2002). Everolimus, a derivative of rapamycin, acts as a signal transduction inhibitor. Its molecular target is the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), a component in the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway known to be very frequently activated in human cancers (Houghton 2010) and a frequent characteristic of worsening prognosis, resistance to treatment, extension of disease, and progression. Everolimus has been shown to suppress expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF1) and VEGF in cultured tumor cells and also to reduce blood vessel density in several different experimental tumor models (Shinohara et al. 2005, Mabuchi et al. 2007a,b). Moreover, everolimus has been recently reported to significantly inhibit proliferation of adenocortical cell lines (Doghman et al. 2010). Interestingly, combination therapy, as in hepatocellular carcinoma, has generally met with greater clinical success than monotherapy (Huynh et al. 2009).

The aim of this study was to estimate the expression of VEGF and its receptors in ACT and to evaluate the effect of sorafenib and everolimus, alone or in combination, on adenocortical cell lines, primary ACT cell cultures, and xenograft models of ACC.

### Materials and methods

#### Patients and biological specimens

ACT tissues were obtained surgically from 18 patients with ACC, 33 with aldosterone-producing adenomas (APA), and 11 with cortisol-producing adenomas (CPAs); six adenocortical normal (NA) samples were obtained from renal transplantation procedures. The etiology of each adenocortical mass, clinical diagnoses, malignancy, and staging were established as described (Mariniello et al. 2010). Of 18 ACC patients, three were stage II and the others stage IV (Table 1). All patients (including those providing normal samples) gave written informed consent to the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenocortical adenomas (ACA)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>29/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (range)</td>
<td>44 years (27–68 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol producing</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol and androgen producing</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldosterone producing</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfunctioning</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenocortical carcinomas (ACC)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>11/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (range)</td>
<td>50 years (1–68 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol producing</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol and androgen producing</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldosterone producing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfunctioning</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median tumor size (range)</td>
<td>80 mm (40–150 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor stage (ENSAT stage)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
collection and use of adrenal tissue for research purposes, and the study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee. After surgery, a sample of tissue was collected in RNA-Later (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) and stored at −20 °C for expression analysis; the remainder was used for primary cell culture and/or immunohistochemistry (IHC).

Adrenocortical cell cultures

H295R (primary) and SW13 (metastatic) ACC cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA) and cultured in DMEM–F12 (Sigma–Aldrich) supplemented with 5% (H295R) and 10% (SW13) fetal bovine serum (FBS), insulin (1 µg/ml), transferrin (1 µg/ml), selenium (1 ng/ml), and antibiotics (100 µg/ml streptomycin sulfate). Eight primary cell cultures were studied, five ACCs and three APA, as described previously (Mariniello et al. 2010). All experiments were performed on exponentially growing cells, routinely maintained as monolayer cultures. As a method of authentication, the karyotype of cell lines and the steroid secretion profile of H295R cells were periodically tested.

Isolation of RNA and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from H295R and SW13 cells and from 69 human adrenocortical samples with an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol and stored at −80 °C. Concentration and integrity of RNA, and cDNA synthesis, were checked and performed as described (Mariniello et al. 2010).

Real-time PCR

The following primers for VEGF, VEGFR1 (FLT1), VEGFR2 (KDR), and β-actin genes were designed by Primer Express Software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA): VEGF, F: 5'-GAAGTGTTGAAA-GTTCATGGATGCT-3' and R: 5'-TCAGGTCAC-TCTGGAAGATGTC-3'; VEGFR1, F: 5'-TCTG-GCAGCCCCCTGTAACCA-3' and R: 5'-TCCAG-GATAAAGGACTCTTATATTG-3'; VEGFR2, F: 5'-CAACCCTCAAGCCGTACATGTA-3' and R: 5'-CAACAAGTCCCAAATGAGT-3'; and β-actin, F: 5'-GGGACGACATGGAGAAAATCTG-3' and R: 5'-CAGGCAAGCTTGTAGGAAGGT-3'. Final primer concentration was set at 150 nM with the exception of those for β-actin (30 nM). Real-time PCR protocols were described as previously (Mariniello et al. 2010). Data were obtained as C_t values according to the guidelines and used to determine ∆C_t values (∆C_t = C_t of the target gene minus C_t of the housekeeping gene). The equation 2^ΔC_t was used to calculate the fold changes in gene expression between two categories of samples (e.g. ACC vs NA).

Immunohistochemistry

IHC was performed in ten ACCs, ten APA, and three CPA as described (Albiger et al. 2007). VEGF and VEGFR2 antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) were diluted at 1:400 and 1:50, respectively, in primary antibody diluent. As a negative control, the primary antibody incubation step was omitted; normal human kidney and human colon carcinoma were used as positive controls for VEGF and VEGFR2 respectively.

Chemical compounds

Sorafenib tosylate (Nexavar) was a gift from Bayer Corporation and everolimus (RAD001) from Novartis. Compounds were dissolved in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma) and diluted with DMEM–F12 to the desired final concentration for in vitro studies.

Adrenocortical cell proliferation studies

H295R, SW13, and primary cells isolated from eight ACTs were plated in 96-well tissue culture dishes at a density of 5 × 10^3 cells/well in supplemented medium and used for viability studies employing the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay (Sigma). After 2 days of culture, cells were maintained overnight in low serum medium (DMEM–F12 with 0.1% FBS) and drug incubation was carried out at different concentrations of everolimus and sorafenib, alone and in combination (from 1 nM to 10 µM). After 24 and 72 h, MTT (2.5 mg/ml in PBS) was added for additional 3 h. The percentage of cell survival was calculated as described (Mariniello et al. 2010). All experiments were performed in quadruplicate.

Immunoprecipitation and western blot analysis

For immunoprecipitation (IP) analysis, H295R and SW13 cells were treated with sorafenib (5 µM) for 6 h. During the last 20 min of drug incubation, cells were stimulated with VEGF (Sigma–Aldrich) at 50 ng/ml. For protein extraction, cells were treated with lysis buffer (40 mM HEPES, 120 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 10 mM sodium glycerophosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 0.5 mM sodium orthovanadate, and 1% Triton X-100) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma–Aldrich) for 1 h at 4 °C. After centrifugation,
supernatants were immunoprecipitated with anti-VEGFR2 antibody and resuspended in Protein A/G PLUS Agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Samples were subjected to SDS–PAGE, evaluated by western blot (WB) analysis (as described below), and incubated with anti-phospho-VEGFR2 antibody. Protein content was quantified by colorimetric assay (Kit Detergent compatible assay Bio-Rad); 10 μg protein was separated on SDS/PAGE and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). After blocking for 1 h with 5% nonfat dry milk in 1×TBS with 0.1% Tween 20 (TTBS), membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with different primary antibodies: anti-ERK1/2 (Erk1/2), anti-phospho-ERK1/2 (Thr^202/Tyr^204) (p-ERK1/2), anti-Akt (Akt), anti-phospho-Akt (Ser^473) (p-Akt), anti-P70S6K (P70S6K), anti-phospho-P70S6K (Thr^389) (p-P70S6K), anti-VEGF (VEGF), anti-VEGFR1 (VEGFR1), anti-VEGFR2 (VEGFR2), anti-phospho-VEGFR2 (Tyr^1175) (p-VEGFR2) (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA), all diluted 1:1000 in TTBS and BSA 5%, and monoclonal anti-β-actin (1:5000; Sigma–Aldrich). Membranes were then incubated with HRP-labeled goat antimouse or antirabbit secondary antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA) for 1 h. Immunoreactivity was detected with LiteAblot Extend Long Lasting Chemiluminescent Substrate (EuroClone, Pero, MI, Italy). Films were scanned and band intensity quantified with ImageJ Software 1.44p. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Flow cytometry analysis for apoptotic and cell cycle studies

SW13 and H295R cells were incubated overnight in 0.1% FBS-containing medium and then treated with sorafenib (5 μM) and/or everolimus (9 μM) for 6 and 24 h. Apoptosis was examined by Annexin V–FITC Apoptosis detection Kit (Bender MedSystems, Boehringer Ingelheim, Vienna, Austria) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. Cell cycle status was studied by propidium iodide (PI) staining. Both H295R and SW13 were plated in six-well plates at a density of 1 × 10^6 cells/well and were either untreated or treated for 6 and 24 h with sorafenib 5 μM and/or everolimus 9 μM in 0.1% FBS, trypsinized, and harvested by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in ice-cold PBS and fixed in 70% ice-cold ethanol followed by an overnight incubation at −20°C. After washing, cells were stained with PI solution (50 μg/ml PI, 10 μg/ml RNaseA) and incubated for 1 h at 37°C in darkness. Analysis of apoptosis and cell cycle, performed in triplicate, was carried out by Cytomics FC500 (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA).

In vivo therapeutic experiments and imaging

In vivo therapeutic experiments were performed using 6- to 8-week-old female severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) and Rag^−/−/γc^−/− mice that were housed in our specific pathogen-free animal facility. Procedures involving animals and their care were in conformity with institutional guidelines that comply with national and international law and policies (D.L. 116/92 and subsequent implementing circulars), and experimental protocols were approved by the Local Ethics Committee of Padua University (CEASA). To track tumor growth in vivo, SW13 and H295R cells were transduced with a lentiviral vector coding for the firefly luciferase reporter gene (Keyaerts et al. 2008). Bioluminescence (BLI) images were acquired at several time points after in vivo cell injection using the IVIS Lumina II Imaging System (Caliper Life Sciences, Alameda, CA, USA). Ten minutes before each imaging session, animals were anesthetized and administered with 150 mg/kg α-luciferin (Caliper Life Sciences) i.p. in PBS. A constant region of interest was drawn over the abdomen of animals and the signal intensity measured as radiance (total photon/s per cm² per sr) with LivingImage Software (Caliper Life Sciences). For tumor induction, SW13 (5 × 10^6/mouse) and H295R cells (6 × 10^6/mouse) were inoculated subcutaneously into the right flank of SCID and Rag^−/−/γc^−/− mice, and treatments were started when median tumor volume was in the range of 40–80 mm³. Sorafenib and everolimus were given at a dose of 45 and 5 mg/kg, respectively, thrice weekly by gavage, alone or in combination. Tumor growth and response to therapy were monitored by BLI and recording survival; at the end of experiments, animals were killed and the xenografts excised and conserved for histology.

Statistical analysis

Differences of gene expression, relationships between gene expression and clinical data, and a comparison of drug treatment in the cells were performed by the Wilcoxon two-sample test and Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn test for pairwise comparisons in case of P < 0.05. Band quantifications were performed with ImageJ (Abramoff et al. 2004) and paired t-test was used for statistical analysis. The data are presented as mean ± S.D. For in vivo experiments, Kaplan–Meier product limit method was performed to estimate the survival curves, and comparison of survival between groups was carried out using the log-rank test.
All $P$ values were based on a two-sided testing, and statistical analyses were conducted with the MedCalc statistical package (version 8.1; MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). Differences were considered significant when $P<0.05$.

**Results**

**Expression analysis of VEGFRs in ACTs**

Gene expression of VEGFRs was analyzed in ACC, APA, and CPA. We found VEGF over-expression in ACC group ($P=0.049$) and APA group ($P=0.025$) if compared with NA group. No significant increase in VEGF was found in CPA group compared with NA group. VEGFR1 and 2 were particularly over-expressed in some ACC and APA samples, but there was not a significant difference between ACC, APA, CPA groups, and NA group (Fig. 1A). Expression data were confirmed by IHC, which identified VEGF and VEGFR2 proteins in both APA and ACC, albeit at variable expression rates (Fig. 1B). Finally, expression of VEGF, VEGFR1, and VEGFR2 proteins in SW13 and H295R cells was demonstrated by WB analysis (Fig. 1C).

Association of VEGF, VEGFR1, and VEGFR2 expression data with clinical characteristics of ACC, such as sex, age of onset, stage, and progression of disease and death, showed a significant inverse relationship between stage of the disease and VEGFR1 ($P=0.03$) and VEGFR2 ($P=0.02$) expression. No other significant association was found.

![Figure 1](image-url)
Sorafenib and everolimus inhibit ACC cell lines growth

The effects of sorafenib and everolimus on SW13 and H295R cell viability were evaluated after 24 and 72 h exposure by comparison with untreated control cells. Individually, both drugs exerted dose-dependent cytotoxicity on SW13 and H295R both at 24 and 72 h (Fig. 2A and B). Everolimus was effective at 1 nM at both time points and against both cell types (Fig. 2A), with a statistically significant effect \( P < 0.01 \) at all drug concentrations. In contrast, responsiveness to sorafenib differed between H295R and SW13 cells. While the IC\(_{50}\) of sorafenib was between 0.1 and 0.3 \( \mu M \) for SW13 at both 24 and 72 h, IC\(_{50}\) was never reached in H295R at any drug concentration after 24 h of exposure; prolonging the drug incubation time led to a marked increase in cytotoxicity with an IC\(_{50}\) between 0.3 and 0.5 \( \mu M \) and therefore only slightly inferior to that for SW13. The combination of everolimus over a concentration range of 1 nM–10 \( \mu M \) with a single concentration of sorafenib (0.1 \( \mu M \)) produced a further reduction of SW13 cell viability, which was statistically significant between 0.3 and 10 \( \mu M \) at 24 h and from 1 nM to 10 \( \mu M \) at 72 h of treatment (Fig. 2C and D); conversely, no significant effects were observed on H295R with combination treatment (data not shown).

Assessment of the effects of sorafenib and everolimus on intracellular pathways in ACC cell lines

IP analysis showed that VEGF-induced phosphorylation of VEGFR2 was strikingly reduced by sorafenib treatment in both SW13 and H295R cells (Fig. 3A). Moreover, analysis of intracellular pathways affected by the drugs showed that sorafenib (5 \( \mu M \)) markedly reduced p-Akt, p-ERK1/2, and p-P70S6K in SW13, while only p-ERK seemed to be reduced in H295R (Fig. 3B). On the other hand, everolimus abolished P70S6K phosphorylation in both SW13 and H295R, while it had no effect on p-ERK and only slightly reduced p-Akt at the highest drug concentration (Fig. 3C).

Sorafenib and everolimus are active in primary ACT cultures

In primary adrenocortical cell cultures, we found a significant growth inhibitory response to drug concentrations of 1 nM–10 \( \mu M \) of both drugs (Fig. 2B). At 24 h, sorafenib was effective at 1 nM–10 \( \mu M \) with an IC\(_{50}\) between 0.3 and 0.5 \( \mu M \), while everolimus was effective at 1 nM–10 \( \mu M \). Prolonging the drug exposure time to 72 h led to a marked increase in cytotoxicity with an IC\(_{50}\) between 0.3 and 0.5 \( \mu M \) and therefore only slightly inferior to that for SW13.

**Figure 2** Everolimus and sorafenib growth inhibitory activity in ACC cell lines. Effects of everolimus (A) and sorafenib (B) on cell viability in H295R and SW13 at 24 and 72 h of treatment. (C) Cytotoxicity of SW13 treated with the combination of everolimus and sorafenib at 24 and 72 h. *Sorafenib vs control, \( P < 0.05 \); \*everolimus + sorafenib vs sorafenib, \( P < 0.05 \); \*everolimus vs control, \( P < 0.05 \); \#everolimus + sorafenib vs everolimus, \( P < 0.05 \). All experiments were performed in quadruplicate.
treatment in three ACCs and three APA; two ACCs were unresponsive (Fig. 4A, B and C). Biochemical analysis of proteins purified from one ACC treated for 6 h with either sorafenib (5 mM) or everolimus (0.1 mM) showed an almost complete inhibition of p-Akt and p-P70S6K and a marked reduction in p-ERK with sorafenib (Fig. 4D).

**Sorafenib and everolimus do not alter cell cycle but induce apoptosis in ACC cell lines**

On fluorescence activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis of SW13 and H295R cell lines after 6 and 24 h of exposure to everolimus or sorafenib, no changes in cell cycle stage were seen. Increased Annexin V-FITC-positive/PI-negative cell (early apoptosis) and Annexin...
V-FITC-positive/PI-positive cell (late apoptosis or necrosis) signals were detected in SW13 treated with either sorafenib or everolimus at 6 h (Fig. 5A). A minor apoptotic effect than SW13 was also evident in H295R when treated with sorafenib (Fig. 5B).

**Combination of sorafenib and everolimus inhibits tumor growth in vivo**

The in vivo therapeutic activity of sorafenib and everolimus, alone or in combination, was evaluated in xenograft models of established s.c. tumors comprising luciferase-transduced cancer cells and assessed by bioluminescence and survival. Sorafenib monotherapy showed no significant activity on either SW13 and H295R xenografts, whereas administration of everolimus alone delayed SW13 tumor growth but was ineffective against H295R xenografts (Fig. 6A and B). On the other hand, combination therapy produced remarkable tumor growth inhibitory effects on both SW13 and H295R xenografts, as shown by the significant reduction of the average bioluminescence of tumors from treated animals compared with the placebo (Fig. 6A and B). Other than reducing tumor growth, pharmacological treatments affected median survival; in SW13 and H295R xenografts, median survivals were 44, 30, 47, and 53 days and 53, 48, 63, and 70 days for mice receiving placebo, sorafenib, everolimus, or combined treatment respectively, again indicating a synergistic action of the combination treatment.

**Figure 4** Effects of everolimus and sorafenib on primary adrenocortical cell cultures at 24 h. Cytotoxicity of everolimus (A), sorafenib (B), and in combination (C) in five ACCs (ACC1–5) and three APA (APA1–3). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.005. All experiments were performed in quadruplicate. (D) WB analysis of Akt, p-Akt, p-Erk1/2, p-P70S6K, and β-actin in one primary adrenocortical cell culture of ACC treated with sorafenib and everolimus.
Discussion

This study investigates the expression of VEGF, VEGFR1, and VEGFR2 in ACTs and reports the effects of two promising drugs (sorafenib and everolimus) on adrenocortical cell proliferation, apoptosis, and cell cycle modification \textit{in vitro}, as well as on tumor mass reduction and survival in xenograft mouse models \textit{in vivo}.

The adult adrenal cortex is a highly vascularized organ that abundantly expresses VEGF, possibly to maintain a high density of stable fenestrated microvessels (Shweiki \textit{et al.} 1993). Our experiments confirm that VEGF is expressed in adrenals, with expression levels similar in normal adrenal, lung, and kidney (data not shown), where VEGF is reported to be most abundant (Ferrara & Davis-Smyth 1997). This finding supports the rationale for testing antiangiogenic drugs in ACC. VEGF is a very important mediator of angiogenesis in solid tumors and with insulin-like growth factor is an autocrine/paracrine regulator of tumor cell growth in many different cancers, including ACTs (De Martino \textit{et al.} 2010).

We found the presence of VEGFRs in all adrenocortical masses, with increased expression of \textit{VEGF} mRNA in some ACCs and APA compared with NA. We also showed by IHC very high levels of VEGF and VEGFR2 in ACC compared with APA, in line with the previous data reporting higher levels of VEGF protein in ACC than in benign adenomas (Feige 2009, Xu \textit{et al.} 2011); these results support the hypothesis that VEGF is upregulated at the transcriptional level in these tumors (Feige 2009). Moreover, in ACC, increased cytoplasmic VEGF concentrations have been reported (de Fraipont \textit{et al.} 2000). Such an increment has been found in a large number of human tumors and seems to be important to induce tumor growth and metastasis formation (Hanahan & Folkman 1996). These lines of evidence thus suggest that therapeutic neutralization of VEGF may be useful approach in the treatment of ACC. In addition, a recent work describes the absence of bevacizumab activity, a monoclonal antibody directed to VEGF, in patients with very advanced ACC (Wortmann \textit{et al.} 2010). Otherwise, we used two drugs (everolimus and sorafenib) that act on the phosphorylation of different molecular targets, making our research different and innovative.

As VEGF acts through the activation of its receptors, the evidence that the ACTs express high levels of VEGFR2 led us to use a tyrosine kinase inhibitor specific for VEGFR2, sorafenib, to validate its antitumor effect in ACC. Tyrosine kinase receptors activate at least two survival pathways that are able to induce cell proliferation and to protect cancer cells from apoptosis: PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK. As previously reported (Wilhelm \textit{et al.} 2008), we demonstrated that sorafenib inhibits the phosphorylation of VEGFR2 in adrenocortical cells; besides, it also decreases the p-AKT and p-ERK, with marked reductions in H295R and SW13 cell viability. Moreover, sorafenib increases apoptosis and necrosis particularly in SW13 without altering the cell cycle in either SW13 or H295R cells. We believe that sorafenib, acting to inhibit both angiogenesis and cell proliferation, and driven by the VEGF/VEGFR2 autocrine loop in tumor cells (Graells \textit{et al.} 2004), could thus represent a promising drug in the treatment of advanced ACC unresponsive to traditional treatment, as previously reported (Butler \textit{et al.} 2009).

Most of our adrenocortical cancer patients presented with metastases, thus we might expect high levels of \textit{VEGF} mRNA expression in these tumors. Our findings thus confirm the importance of VEGF in adrenocortical tumorigenesis. Local hypoxia, a major inducer of \textit{VEGF} gene expression (Ferrara & Davis-Smyth 1997), is probably responsible for VEGF over-expression.
in ACT. The elevated expression of VEGF in APA suggests an increased angiogenic potential of these lesions, although protein transcription is the ultimate determinant in this process (Feige 2009); the similar VEGF expression in normal adrenals and CPA confirm the findings of Bernini et al. (2002). We also observed that the expression of VEGFRs in three patients with stage II cancer was higher than all the other ACC patients; probably, late tumors no longer need to express the VEGFR2, but the small number of patients does not allow us to hypothesize a possible explanation. In fact, the correlation of VEGFR with ACC stage has to be considered cautiously given the very small number of stage II patients.

Everolimus acts downstream the PI3K/AKT pathway, targeting the mTOR protein. The antiproliferative effect of everolimus was initially investigated in a mixed panel of tumor cell lines (Fasolo & Sessa 2008); its antiangiogenic activity has been demonstrated (Guba et al. 2002), and very positive therapeutic effects have been reported in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (Agarwala & Case 2010).

Figure 6 Assessment of in vivo tumor growth and response to therapy. (A) Bioluminescence imaging of treated or untreated mice bearing s.c. tumors induced by inoculation of luciferase-transduced SW13 (upper panels) or H295R cells (lower panels). Panels show three representative mice per group imaged 4 weeks after tumor injection. (B) Cumulative photon emission from mice treated as in A; graphs report mean data ± S.D. obtained from eight mice per group (black bar, placebo; blue bar, everolimus; green bar, sorafenib; red bar, combined treatment) at day 28 after tumor inoculation. Statistical analysis: SW13, sorafenib vs placebo, P = 0.0276; everolimus vs placebo, P = 0.029; combined treatment vs placebo, P = 0.011; H295R, sorafenib vs placebo, P = 0.400; everolimus vs placebo, P = 0.714; combined treatment vs placebo, P = 0.024. (C) Kaplan–Meier survival curves of mice inoculated with SW13 or H295R. Mice received placebo (controls, n = 8, black lines), everolimus (n = 8, blue lines), sorafenib (n = 8, green lines), or a combination of the two drugs (red lines). Statistical analysis: SW13, sorafenib vs placebo, P = 0.051; everolimus vs placebo, P = 0.053; combined treatment vs placebo, P = 0.011; H295R, sorafenib vs placebo, P = 0.898; everolimus vs placebo, P = 0.079; combined treatment vs placebo, P = 0.055.
Based on these premises, we verified the effect of everolimus against AT, and following Doghman et al. (2010), we found a significantly reduced proliferation in H295R and SW13 cells and in some but not in all adrenal cancers in culture. We also showed that everolimus markedly inhibited the mTOR downstream effectors p70S6K, slightly inhibited p-AKT in both cell lines, but had no effect on p-ERK. Everolimus induced marked apoptosis in SW13 cells, whereas H295R appeared insensitive. Probably, the different features of these two adrenocortical cell lines may play a role in the differential response to this agent.

We also studied the efficacy of sorafenib and everolimus in primary adrenocortical cell cultures. We found a positive response in all APA and in three of five ACCs, thus emphasizing the sensitivity of some adrenocortical cells to these drugs. Interestingly, the two unresponsive cancers had relatively low expression of VEGFR2 compared with NA, whereas the responsive tumors showed VEGFR2 expression comparable with NA.

We also obtained interesting results in the in vivo experiments, with a significant response in adrenocortical xenograft mouse models treated with single drug and in particular with their combination. We observed a significant size reduction with the combination of everolimus plus sorafenib both in SW13 and H295R xenograft mouse models, in line with previous experiments in hepatocellular carcinoma (Huynh et al. 2009). Interestingly, the combined treatment showed similar results in both in vitro and in vivo experiments; the addition of everolimus to sorafenib potentiated the effect of the latter in in vitro experiments on SW13 cells, and combined use of the drugs significantly reduced the tumor masses in SW13 xenograft mice. Combined treatment also led to a significant increase in median survival in SW13 models. Notably, everolimus alone significantly reduced tumor growth in SW13 xenografts, in accordance with the very high percentage of apoptosis seen in in vitro experiments.

Overall, our results stress the importance of angiogenic pathways in ACTs and in particular highlight the possibility that antiangiogenic drugs that may have considerable therapeutic potential for such tumors. Moreover, the synergistic effect obtained by the combination treatment with sorafenib and everolimus, in comparison to single agent therapy, suggests that simultaneous inhibition of several signaling pathways may be a more effective anticancer strategy in these very aggressive tumors.
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