IL6 sensitizes prostate cancer to the antiproliferative effect of IFN α_2 through IRF9

Holger H H Erb¹, Regina V Langlechner¹, Patrizia L Moser², Florian Handle¹, Tineke Casneuf³, Karin Verstraeten³, Bettina Schlick⁴, Georg Schäfer¹, Brett Hall⁵, Kate Sasser⁵, Zoran Culig¹ and Frédéric R Santer¹

¹Division of Experimental Urology, Department of Urology ²Department of Pathology, Innsbruck Medical University, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

³Oncology Biomarkers, Janssen Research and Development, Beerse, Belgium

⁴Oncotryol, Center for Personalized Medicine, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

⁵Oncology Biomarkers, Janssen Research and Development, Spring House, Pennsylvania, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Z Culig or F R Santer
Emails
zoran.culig@i-med.ac.at or frederic.santer@i-med.ac.at

Abstract

Development and progression of prostate cancer (PCa) are associated with chronic inflammation. The cytokine interleukin 6 (IL6) can influence progression, differentiation, survival, and angiogenesis of PCa. To identify novel pathways that are triggered by IL6, we performed a gene expression profiling of two PCa cell lines, LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b, treated with 5 ng/ml IL6. Interferon (IFN) regulatory factor 9 (IRF9) was identified as one of the most prevalent IL6-regulated genes in both cell lines. IRF9 is a mediator of type I IFN signaling and acts together with STAT1 and 2 to activate transcription of IFN-responsive genes. The IL6 regulation of IRF9 was confirmed at mRNA and protein levels by quantitative real-time PCR and western blot respectively in both cell lines and could be blocked by the anti-IL6 antibody Siltuximab. Three PCa cell lines, PC3, Du-145, and LNCaP-IL6+, with an autocrine IL6 loop displayed high expression of IRF9. A tissue microarray with 36 PCa tissues showed that IRF9 protein expression is moderately elevated in malignant areas and positively correlates with the tissue expression of IL6. Downregulation and overexpression of IRF9 provided evidence for an IFN-independent role of IRF9 in cellular proliferation of different PCa cell lines. Furthermore, expression of IRF9 was essential to mediate the antiproliferative effects of IFN α_2 . We concluded that IL6 is an inducer of IRF9 expression in PCa and a sensitizer for the antiproliferative effects of IFN α_2 .

Key Words

- IRF9
- IL6
- prostate cancer
- IFN α_2
- inflammation

Endocrine-Related Cancer
(2013) 20, 677–689

Introduction

According to the Global Cancer Statistics of 2011, prostate cancer (PCa) has the second highest incidence worldwide with 903 500 new cases in 2008 and 258 400 deaths (Jemal *et al.* 2011). Diagnosed in early stages, PCa is treated successfully either by surgery or by radiation therapy. In advanced stages of PCa, withdrawal of androgens is a

standard therapy aimed at disease regression. However, in most cases, this therapy effect is transient, and the tumor relapses into a form of an aggressive castration-resistant PCa (CRPCa; Grossmann *et al.* 2001). Following the development of CRPCa, only palliative therapies are available including androgen receptor (AR) inhibition by

non-steroidal anti-androgens, inhibition of intracrine androgen synthesis by abiraterone acetate, and inhibition of microtubule degradation by docetaxel. These therapies prolong survival only for about 2–3 months (Armstrong et al. 2010, Rane et al. 2012).

Androgen ablation induces T-cell infiltration of the prostate gland, thus leading to T-cell-mediated inflammation (Mercader et al. 2001). Inflammation processes are regulated by a network of cytokines that plays an important role in the interaction between cancer cells and the microenvironment. The pro-inflammatory cytokine interleukin 6 (IL6) has been identified as a regulatory factor for proliferation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, and differentiation (Akira et al. 1993). IL6 binds to the ligand-binding subunit gp80 of the IL6 receptor. The latter one interacts with the signal-transducing gp130 subunit, thus leading to the phosphorylation of Janus kinases. As a consequence, STAT3, phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase/Akt, and MAPK pathways are activated (Culig et al. 2005).

In several cancers, including PCa, IL6 is implicated in the development and progression of the disease (Tripathi et al. 2003). High levels of IL6 protein correlate with advanced stages and poor prognosis (Siegall et al. 1990, Siegmund et al. 1994, Adler et al. 1999, Drachenberg et al. 1999, Hobisch et al. 2000, Nakashima et al. 2000, Giri et al. 2001). *In vitro* studies demonstrated that IL6 treatment increases androgen receptor activity, thus leading to increased tumor cell proliferation or differentiation (Culig 2011). The anti-apoptotic protein MCL1 was shown to be positively regulated by IL6 and mediates the survival activity of IL6 (Cavarretta et al. 2007, 2008). IL6 increases resistance of PCa cells to the anti-androgen bicalutamide (Feng et al. 2009). Furthermore, data from a phase I study with the anti-IL6 chimeric MAB Siltuximab (CINTO328) demonstrated a downregulation of genes implicated in tumorigenesis (Karkera et al. 2011). Patients treated with Siltuximab revealed a decrease in phosphorylation of the IL6 downstream proteins STAT3 and p44/p42 MAPKs as well as enzymes responsible for intracellular androgen synthesis.

In this study, we aimed to identify novel genes implicated in IL6 signal transduction in PCa. For this purpose, we performed a gene expression microarray profiling upon treatment of two PCa cell lines, LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b, with 5 ng/ml IL6. We identified interferon (IFN) regulatory factor 9 (IRF9) as one of the commonly IL6-regulated genes in both cell lines. IRF9 has been demonstrated to mediate signaling of type I IFN (Darnell et al. 1994). We could show that IRF9 is regulated

by IL6 and is highly expressed in cells with an autocrine IL6 loop. Furthermore, we showed that in our PCa cellular models, IRF9 exhibits a regulatory role in proliferation.

Subjects and methods

Cell lines and cell culture

The PCa cell lines MDA PCa 2b, LNCaP, PC3, and Du-145 were obtained from ATCC (Rockville, MD, USA). Cell line authenticity was confirmed by yearly short tandem repeat analysis. The LNCaP-derived cell line LNCaP-IL6+ was established by continuous treatment with 5 ng/ml IL6 (Biomedica, Vienna, Austria; Hobisch et al. 2001). LNCaP, PC3, and Du-145 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FCS and 20 mmol/l glutaMax (both from PAA Laboratories, Pasching, Austria). The F-12 Ham Kaighn's modification medium for the MDA PCa 2b cell line was supplemented with 20% FCS, 25 ng/ml cholera toxin (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 ng/ml mouse epidermal growth factor (GroPep, Adelaide, BC, Australia), 0.005 mM phosphoethanolamine (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 pg/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.125 mg/ml selenious acid (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.095 mg/ml human transferrin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.105 mg/ml human insulin (Sigma-Aldrich) as recommended by ATCC.

MDA PCa 2b and LNCaP cells were seeded onto poly-D-lysine hydrobromide (30 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) pre-coated plates. LNCaP cells were seeded at a density of 10^4 cells/well and LNCaP-IL6+ and PC3 cells were seeded at a density of 2×10^3 cells/well onto 96-well plates. MDA PCa 2b and LNCaP cells were seeded at a density of 5×10^5 cells and LNCaP-IL6+, PC3, and Du-145 cells at a density of 3.5×10^5 cells/well onto six-well plates. The cells were starved with medium containing 3% dextran charcoal-stripped FCS (CS FCS, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and 20 mmol/l glutaMax for 24 h. All experiments were performed in a medium supplemented with 3% CS FCS and 20 mmol/l glutaMax. Treatment with 5 ng/ml IL6, 50 µg/ml Siltuximab, or 1000 U/ml IFN α_2 was performed 24 h after changing the medium.

[³H]thymidine incorporation assay

[³H]thymidine incorporation assays were performed in 96-well plates as described earlier (Hoefer et al. 2012). Each experiment was seeded in quintuplicates. For downregulation experiments, the cells were treated with 50 nmol/l siRNA against IRF9 or control siRNA for 72 h.

For overexpression experiments, transfection with 0.1 µg/ml per well pcDNA3-HA-IRF9 or pcDNA3 was performed for 72 h.

Western blotting and IL6 measurements

Western blot experiments were performed in six-well plates. For downregulation experiments, the cells were transfected with 50 nmol/l siRNA against IRF9 or control siRNA for 48 h. For overexpression experiments, transfection with 1 µg/ml per well pcDNA3-HA-IRF9 or pcDNA3 was performed for 48 h. Western blot was performed as described earlier (Cavarretta et al. 2007). The following antibodies were used: anti-IRF9 (1:1000, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (1:50 000, Chemicon International, Inc., Billerica, MA, USA), and anti-lamin A (1:1000, Abcam, Cambridge, UK).

IL6 levels were determined in the supernatants obtained after 24-h culture in serum-free medium of PC3 and LNCaP-IL6+ cells using an immunoassay by the Central Institute for Medical and Chemical Laboratory Diagnostics, Innsbruck Medical University.

Affymetrix gene expression microarray

LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells were seeded in six wells each. The cells were starved for 24 h and were treated with 5 ng/ml IL6 for 18 h. Both cell lines were seeded in triplicates and two biological replicates were performed, resulting in 12 samples per cell line. Cells were lysed using RLT buffer (Qiagen) and homogenized using the QIAshredder spin column (Qiagen). RNA was isolated using the miRNeasy 96 kit by following the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen). During RNA isolation, an on-plate DNase digestion was performed. The RNA concentration of the samples was determined on a Nanodrop-8000 u.v.-vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltman, MA, USA). RNA integrity was determined with the RNA 6000 Nano Kit on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA).

Biotin-labeled, amplified RNA (aRNA) was synthesized from 200 ng total RNA using the 3'IVT Express Kit (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The aRNA was purified using Agencourt RNAClean XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Indianapolis, IN, USA) on the BioMek Fx Workstation (Beckman Coulter, Inc.). Biotin-labeled aRNA was fragmented using the 3'IVT Express Kit (Affymetrix). The fragmented biotin-labeled aRNA (4.5 µg) was hybridized on a HT Human Genome U133 96-Array plate (Affymetrix).

The 96-array plate was washed, stained, and scanned with the GeneTitan Instrument (Affymetrix).

The microarray data were normalized with RMA (Irizarry et al. 2003) and summarized with the hthgu133-pluspmhsentrezcdf_15.0.0 CDF (Dai et al. 2005). Genes that show little expression variation across the samples are filtered based on I/NI-calls (Talloon et al. 2007), leaving 5934 of the 18 837. Linear models for microarray data analysis (Limma, Seattle, WA, USA) were used to identify genes that are changed upon treatment (Smyth et al. 2003).

Tissue microarray and immunohistochemistry

For the construction of a tissue microarray (TMA) for evaluation of IRF9 and IL6 expression, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue samples displaying high amounts of tissue-infiltrating lymphocytes were selected. The clinical demographics of this cohort were as follows: 36 PCa tissues; Gleason score (GSC) < 7: $n=7$, GSC = 7: $n=23$, GSC > 7: $n=6$; patients' age, mean $\pm$ s.d., 62 ± 6 years; patients' serum prostate-specific antigen level, 5 ± 3.1 ng/ml; prostate volume, 48 ± 16.4 g. For each case, three cancer tissue cores and three benign cores were punched. The TMA was assembled using a manual tissue arrayer (Beecher Instruments, Sun Prairie, WI, USA). For control of histological diagnosis, cytokeratin 5/6 and α -methylacyl-CoA racemase IHC double stainings were performed on a Discovery-XT staining device (Ventana, Tucson, AZ, USA). The use of archived samples deriving from radical prostatectomy was approved by the Ethics Committee of Innsbruck Medical University. Staining and evaluation of the samples were performed as described earlier (Karkera et al. 2011). The following antibodies were used: anti-IRF9 (1:50, Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-IL6 (ab6672, 1:100, Abcam).

Transfections

For siRNA transfections, 50 nmol/l ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool against IRF9 or against IL6 (both Dharmacon, Thermo Scientific, Vienna, Austria) were used. As a control, the ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Control Pool was used (Dharmacon, Thermo Scientific). Transfections of siRNA were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For overexpression experiments, the plasmid pcDNA3-HA-IRF9 (Addgene plasmid 11614 from Steven Johnson Lab) and the empty vector pcDNA3 (Invitrogen) were used. Depending on the well size, the cells were transfected with 1 µg/ml plasmid in six-well plates and

0.1 µg/ml in 96-well plates using X-tremeGENE HP DNA transfection reagent (Roche) for 48 or 72 h following the manufacturer's instructions.

Nuclear/cytoplasmatic fractionation

Nuclear/cytoplasmatic fractionation was performed with the NE-PER nuclear and cytoplasmatic extraction kit (Pierce, Vienna, Austria) by following the manufacturer's instructions.

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR (QRT-PCR) experiments were performed in six-well plates. Cells were harvested after treatment with 5 ng/ml IL6 for 18 h. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy mini kit by following the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen). cDNA synthesis was performed using iScript select cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). QRT-PCR was performed using the ABI Prism 7500 Fast RT-PCR System and TaqMan gene expression assays for IRF9 (both Applied Biosystems). HPRT1 was used as a control. ABI Sequence Detection Software was used for determination of C_t values. $\Delta C_t = (C_{t\text{IRF9}} - C_{t\text{HPRT1}})$ values were calculated and gene regulation expressed as $2^{-\Delta C_t}$.

Statistical analysis

All experiments have been performed in at least three biological replicates. Pearson correlations were calculated using IBM SPSS Statistics (IBM). Student's *t*-test was used to assess significant differences between the control and the indicated treatment group. Significances are encoded as follows: * $P \leq 0.05$; ** $P \leq 0.01$; *** $P \leq 0.001$.

Results

IL6 regulates IRF9 expression in LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells

To identify novel genes regulated by IL6 in PCa cell lines, we cultured LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells for 18 h in the presence or absence of 5 ng/ml IL6 and performed gene expression profiling on Affymetrix microarrays. The data have been submitted to GEO database (accession number GSE47973). We used a two-group limma comparison in both cell lines to identify genes that are regulated by IL6 treatment. We detected substantial gene expression changes induced in the LNCaP cell line, where 672 genes are significant at adjusted P value ≤ 0.05 . No genes

met this criterion for MDA PCa 2b cell line; however, 931 genes were found to be significantly differentially expressed at P value ≤ 0.05 . The volcano plot in Fig. 1A shows the fold changes and P values of all genes. The genes with the most significant P values and/or the largest fold changes are depicted with their names. The top genes regulated by IL6 according to the P values are listed in Table 1. For further investigation, we selected IRF9 as the gene regulated by IL6 in both LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b. To confirm the IL6 regulation of IRF9 in LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells, we performed QRT-PCR analysis. As shown in Fig. 1B, IRF9 was found to be significantly increased in IL6-treated LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells. Additionally, we performed western blot analysis to confirm that IL6 also increases the protein levels of IRF9. When exposed to IL6 for 48 h, both cell lines showed an increase in IRF9 protein expression (Fig. 1C). Altogether, we concluded that under our experimental conditions, IL6 upregulates IRF9 in LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells at the mRNA and protein levels.

IRF9 is highly expressed in IL6-producing PCa cell lines

The cell lines LNCaP-IL6+, PC3, and Du-145 express high levels of IL6 mRNA (Supplementary Figure S1, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article) and protein expression (Okamoto et al. 1997), while LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells have low levels of IL6 mRNA expression (Supplementary Figure S1) and no detectable IL6 secretion (data not shown). To address the question whether IRF9 expression is elevated in the IL6-producing cell lines, QRT-PCR and western blot were performed (Fig. 2A). Indeed, high IRF9 mRNA and protein expression levels could be observed in LNCaP-IL6+, PC3, and Du-145 cell lines, leading to the conclusion that the autocrine production of IL6 is sufficient to upregulate IRF9 expression. A nuclear localization sequence has been detected in IRF9 (Reich & Liu 2006), enabling its shuttling to the nucleus in the complex with STAT factors. To address the question whether IRF9 is also present in the nucleus of PCa cell lines, nuclear/cytoplasmatic fractionation assays were performed. We observed a predominantly cytoplasmatic localization in the tested cell lines (Fig. 2B). However, it could not be excluded that a small proportion of IRF9 is present in the nuclei, especially in the IL6-producing cell lines LNCaP-IL6+, PC3, and Du-145.

IRF9 expression in human prostate tissue

To investigate IRF9 expression in prostatic cancerous tissue, we performed a TMA with tissues from 36 PCa

Figure 1

Identification of IRF9 as an IL6-regulated gene in LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells. (A) LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells were treated for 18 h with 5 ng/ml IL6 and profiled on Affymetrix microarrays. These volcano plots show the results of a test for differential expression between IL6-treated and untreated cells, with the significance (*P* value) in the Y-axis and the log fold change in the X-axis. IRF9 is among the top genes with the smallest *P* values regulated by

IL6 in both cell lines. (B) To validate results from the microarray experiment, LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells were treated with 5 ng/ml IL6 for 18 h and QRT-PCR was performed. Values indicated are mean $\pm$ s.e.m., *n* = 3. (C) Protein regulation of IRF9 through IL6 treatment (5 ng/ml for 48 h) was controlled by western blotting. A representative western blot of three independent experiments is shown. **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01.

Table 1 IL6-regulated genes in prostate cancer cell lines. List of top ten genes regulated in LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cell lines after treatment for 18 h with 5 ng/ml IL6. Regulation (LogFC) and significance (adjusted *P* values (Adj.*P*val)) are indicated.

Symbol	Gene name	LogFC	Adj. <i>P</i> val
LNCaP			
<i>DTX3L</i>	Deltex 3-like (<i>Drosophila</i>)	1.450404539	4.48×10^{-9}
<i>IRF9</i>	Interferon regulatory factor 9	2.246350245	5.69×10^{-8}
<i>TNFRSF1A</i>	Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1A	1.107492748	5.90×10^{-7}
<i>PARP9</i>	Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase family, member 9	1.436627837	1.26×10^{-6}
<i>HMOX1</i>	Heme oxygenase (decycling) 1	1.702377153	1.26×10^{-6}
<i>TNFAIP8</i>	Tumor necrosis factor, alpha-induced protein 8	1.410625072	1.26×10^{-6}
<i>IFNAR1</i>	Interferon (alpha, beta, and omega) receptor 1	0.848829894	1.26×10^{-6}
<i>ACAD8</i>	Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase family, member 8	0.97607392	1.79×10^{-6}
<i>STAT3</i>	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (acute-phase response factor)	1.443006989	1.79×10^{-6}
<i>SECTM1</i>	Secreted and transmembrane 1	1.692340363	1.82×10^{-6}
MDA PCa 2b			
<i>DTX3L</i>	Deltex 3-like (<i>Drosophila</i>)	0.2835761	0.129023885
<i>ZNF365</i>	Zinc finger protein 365	0.361045312	0.129023885
<i>IRF9</i>	Interferon regulatory factor 9	0.588743511	0.129023885
<i>ARHGAP10</i>	Rho GTPase activating protein 10	0.443541337	0.129023885
<i>MVP</i>	Major vault protein	0.583323921	0.129023885
<i>ZFAND3</i>	Zinc finger, AN1-type domain 3	0.322167608	0.138694101
<i>DSC2</i>	Desmocollin 2	0.327017732	0.225034496
<i>TMEM2</i>	Transmembrane protein 2	0.443119481	0.225034496
<i>C9orf150 (LURAP1L)</i>	Chromosome 9 open reading frame 150	0.758516083	0.225034496
<i>KCND2</i>	Potassium voltage-gated channel, Shal-related subfamily, member 2	0.459400324	0.225034496

patients. This included specimens with a high infiltration of macrophages in cancerous lesions as described in the Subjects and methods section. Specificity of the IRF9 antibody was controlled using PC3 cells transduced with pcDNA3-HA-IRF9 or siIRF9 (Supplementary Figure S2A,

see section on [supplementary data](#) given at the end of this article). The IRF9 expression patterns showed a very heterogeneous distribution between the different samples ranging from negative to very high expression in both benign and malignant areas (Fig. 3A). Statistical analysis of

Figure 2

Expression and localization of IRF9 in prostate cancer (PCa) cell lines. (A) mRNA levels were measured by QRT-PCR and normalized to *HPRT1* mRNA in different PCa cell lines and were plotted as mean $\pm$ S.E.M. of three independent experiments. IRF9 expression was assessed by western blotting. One representative of three independent

experiments is shown. (B) Localization of IRF9 in PCa cell lines was determined by western blot after nuclear/cytoplasmic fractionation. One representative western blot of three independent experiments is shown. W, whole cell lysate; C, cytoplasm; N, nuclei.

Figure 3
IRF9 expression in human prostate tissue. (A) Immunohistochemical staining of one representative benign and one malignant core from two prostate cancer (PCa) patients for IRF9 and evaluation of staining intensity of the PCa

TMA. (B) Immunohistochemical staining of IL6 was performed on a consecutive slice of the TMA. Expression of IL6 was correlated with that of IRF9 in benign and malignant cores of PCa patients. * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$.

Figure 4

Siltuximab counteracts the IL6-induced expression of IRF9 in LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b, but not in IL6-producing prostate cancer (PCa) cell lines or PCa tissue. (A) LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells were treated with 50 µg/ml control IgG, 5 ng/ml IL6, or 50 µg/ml Siltuximab for 48 h, as indicated. (B) IL6-producing LNCaP-IL6+, Du-145, and PC3 cells were treated with 50 µg/ml Siltuximab or control IgG for 48 h. (A and B) IRF9 expression was assessed by western blot (one representative blot is shown). Band intensity was quantified and mean $\pm$ s.d., $n=3$ is shown. (C) Immunohistochemical

staining for IRF9 of representative cores from PCa patients from a clinical phase 1 study with Siltuximab and evaluation of the staining intensity. Cohort 1: placebo group. Cohorts 2, 3, and 4: one, two, or three injections of Siltuximab (6 mg/kg, 29, 15, and 1 day prior to radical prostatectomy), respectively. (D) Representative western blot of IRF9 expression after 72-h downregulation of IL6. Band intensity was quantified and mean $\pm$ s.d., $n=3$ is shown. * $P<0.05$.

the TMA demonstrated that the average staining intensity of IRF9 in tumors compared with benign tissue marginally but statistically significantly increased. However, no significant correlation of IRF9 staining with Gleason score was found (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, staining for IL6 was performed on a consecutive slice of the TMA. Again, specificity of the IL6 antibody was controlled using IL6-negative LNCaP and IL6-positive PC3 cells (Supplementary Figure S2B). Staining patterns for IL6 were consistent with those previously observed (Hobisch *et al.* 2000; Supplementary Figure S3A). Staining intensity for both IRF9 and IL6 increased in benign and, marginally, in malignant cores with a high inflammatory index (Supplementary Figure S3B and C). Interestingly, the staining scores of IRF9 showed a moderate correlation (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.504; $P<0.01$) with the staining

pattern of IL6 in malignant areas (Fig. 3B). On the other hand, no such correlation could be found in benign areas (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.292; $P<0.05$). We concluded that IL6 expressed in malignant areas of the prostate could be an inducer of IRF9 expression.

Siltuximab counteracts IRF9 regulation through IL6 in LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b

It was previously reported that exogenous IL6 activity can be neutralized by the chimeric anti-IL6 antibody Siltuximab (Li *et al.* 2005). Therefore, we questioned whether the IL6 regulation of IRF9 can be inhibited by cotreatment of IL6 and Siltuximab. We found that in LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells cotreated with IL6 and Siltuximab, IRF9 expression returned almost to basal levels when compared

Figure 5

Modulation of IRF9 expression influences proliferation of prostate cancer cell lines. (A and B) Proliferation was measured by [³H]thymidine incorporation assay after downregulation and overexpression of IRF9 after 72 h in LNCaP,

LNCaP-IL6+, and PC3 cells, as indicated. Data represent mean $\pm$ s.e.m. from five independent experiments. Downregulation (A) and overexpression (B) of IRF9 was controlled by western blot. * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$.

with untreated cells (Fig. 4A). Addition of IL6 did not have any additional effect on IRF9 expression in the IL6-secreting LNCaP-IL6+, PC3, and Du-145 cell lines (Supplementary Figure S4A, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Interestingly, treatment of those cells with Siltuximab did not reduce IRF9 protein expression, leading to the conclusion that extracellular inhibition of IL6 signaling is insufficient to reduce IRF9 expression (Fig. 4B).

To further corroborate those findings, we analyzed *in situ* IRF9 expression in malignant cores of 19 PCa patients from a phase I study that was previously performed (Karkera et al. 2011; Fig. 4C). In this study, cohort 1 served as a control group as those patients did not receive any treatment. Patients in cohorts 2, 3, and 4 were treated with one, two, or three injections of Siltuximab (6 mg/kg, 29, 15, and 1 day prior to surgery) respectively. Evaluation of stainings revealed no significant difference in IRF9 expression in treated (cohorts 2–4) patients compared with the untreated group (cohort 1). Next, we

analyzed whether inhibition of IL6 signaling by downregulation of IL6 expression could decrease IRF9. Indeed, we could find a decreased IRF9 expression in PC3 and LNCaP-IL6+ cells treated with siRNA against IL6 (Fig. 4D and Supplementary Figure S4B).

Differential effects of IRF9 on cellular proliferation

IRF9 is implicated in modulation of STAT1 signaling, which is known to play a role in proliferation and apoptosis in PCa (Frank 1999, 2003). To address the question whether IRF9 is involved in PCa cell proliferation, we performed [³H]thymidine incorporation assays after downregulation of IRF9 by a siRNA approach. Efficient downregulation of IRF9 in LNCaP, LNCaP-IL6+, and PC3 cells was controlled by western blotting (Fig. 5A). In LNCaP cells, which express very low levels of IRF9 and are inhibited by IL6 (Hobisch et al. 2001), depletion of IRF9 by siRNA did not have any effect on proliferation when compared with the siRNA control. In contrast,

Figure 6

IRF9 mediates the antiproliferative effects of IFN α_2 . Proliferation was measured by $[^3\text{H}]$ thymidine incorporation assay in LNCaP, LNCaP-IL6+, and PC3 cells after downregulation of IRF9 and additional treatment with

1000 U/ml IFN α_2 after 72 h. Data represent mean $\pm$ s.e.m. from three independent experiments. * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$.

downregulation of IRF9 significantly reduced cell proliferation by ~30–50% in LNCaP-IL6+ and PC3 cells. We concluded that IRF9 has a pro-proliferative role in cells that have high IRF9 expression.

To further corroborate a possible pro-proliferative role of IRF9, we transfected LNCaP, LNCaP-IL6+, and PC3 cells with the pcDNA3-HA-IRF9 expression vector or the pcDNA3 control vector for 72 h and determined proliferation by $[^3\text{H}]$ thymidine incorporation. Surprisingly, overexpression of IRF9 significantly decreased proliferation to almost 50% in LNCaP cells but did not affect proliferation of LNCaP-IL6+ and PC3 cells (Fig. 5B). Thus, we concluded that IRF9 has differential effects on proliferation of PCa cell lines depending on the physiological state of the cells.

IRF9 downregulation antagonizes the antiproliferative effect of IFN α_2

Previous studies have shown that IRF9 plays an important role in IFN α_2 signaling and mediates the antiproliferative effect of IFN α_2 (Caraglia et al. 2005, Tsuno et al. 2009). Furthermore, it has been reported that IFN α_2 decreases proliferation of PCa cells and several other tumors *in vitro* and *in vivo* and IFN α_2 treatment is one of the few FDA-approved cancer immunotherapeutics (Hobeika et al. 1999, Kirkwood 2002, Bracarda et al. 2010). For this reason, we tested whether IRF9 plays a role in the antiproliferative effects of IFN α_2 . We transfected LNCaP, LNCaP-IL6+, and PC3 cells with IRF9 or control siRNA, treated the cells with 1000 U/ml IFN α_2 , and measured proliferation after 48 h by $[^3\text{H}]$ thymidine incorporation. LNCaP transfected with IRF9 or control siRNA showed no change in proliferation after IFN α_2 treatment, whereas LNCaP-IL6+ and PC3 cells transfected with control siRNA showed a significant decrease in proliferation after IFN α_2

treatment (Fig. 6). However, proliferation of IRF9 siRNA-transfected LNCaP-IL6+ and PC3 cells increased after IFN α_2 treatment compared with the untreated IRF9 siRNA-transfected cells. This shows that high expression of IRF9 through IL6 signaling is needed to transmit the antiproliferative effects of IFN α_2 .

Discussion

In this work, we were able to demonstrate that IRF9 mRNA and protein expression is regulated by IL6 in LNCaP and MDA PCa 2b cells. Weihua et al. (2000) first reported on IL6-induced IRF9 in HeLa cells. It was found that IL6 induces transcription of IRF9 by C/EBP- β binding to the γ -IFN-activated transcriptional element. Thus, IL6 is able to prime cells for the response to IFN α_2 . However, the pathophysiological role of the IRF9 protein in human cancer has not been completely clarified yet. Stimulation with type I IFN leads to the formation of the transcriptionally active complex IFN-stimulated gene factor 3 (ISGF3) consisting of IRF9, STAT1, and STAT2 (Darnell et al. 1994). The ISGF3 complex shuttles to the nucleus where it acts as a transcription factor to mediate the expression of IFN-stimulated genes. Tsuno et al. (2009) showed that IRF9 is responsible for the antiproliferative effects of IFN α_2 in human ovarian adenocarcinoma. Previously, it was demonstrated that IFN α_2 may decrease proliferation by increasing the p21 cell cycle inhibitor, thus leading to a cell cycle arrest (Sica et al. 1989, Hobeika et al. 1997). Here, we could show that IRF9 is needed to transmit the antiproliferative effects of IFN α_2 in cells with high IL6 and IRF9 expression (Fig. 6). By contrast, in LNCaP cells that have absent/low expression of IL6 and IRF9, IFN α_2 treatment lacks antiproliferative effects. Thus, we conclude that the antiproliferative effect of IFN α_2 signaling is dependent on IL6-induced IRF9 expression in

PCa cell lines. We have also addressed the question whether IRF9 has an effect on proliferation without IFN α_2 treatment. Opposite effects were found after modulating IRF9 expression in LNCaP vs LNCaP-IL6+ and PC3 cells (Fig. 5A and B). It is therefore hypothesized that IRF9 has additional cellular functions besides its role in mediating IFN α_2 signaling. Indeed, it was previously demonstrated that upregulated IRF9 confers resistance to the antimicrotubule agent paclitaxel in drug-resistant breast cancer cells (Luker *et al.* 2001). Importantly, paclitaxel resistance could not be induced by IFN α_2 treatment. On the other hand, IL6 is a known inducer of paclitaxel resistance in breast cancer (Conze *et al.* 2001). Thus, IL6-dependent upregulation of IRF9 could be an important mechanism for paclitaxel resistance in breast cancer or for docetaxel resistance in PCa. Future clinical trials may consider the fact that IL6 is an important regulator of IRF9 expression. Interpretation of a clinical study may depend on the stage of the disease when the treatment with an anti-IL6 antibody is initiated. Indeed, also STAT1, a member of the ISGF3 complex, was found to be increasingly expressed in docetaxel-resistant PCa cell lines (Patterson *et al.* 2006). This shows that IRF9 has IFN α_2 -independent functions, which are worth to be investigated in more detail.

IFN α_2 is used to treat patients with several malignant diseases such as hairy cell leukemia, lymphoma, renal cell carcinoma, bladder cancer, breast cancer, and melanoma (Borden 1984, Kirkwood 2002). In clinical studies with IFN α_2 in PCa, only four of ten patients responded to the treatment and one patient showed a reduction in bone pain (Gutterman & Quesada 1981, Chang *et al.* 1986). However, it was demonstrated that the concentrations of recombinant IFN α_2 used ($5\text{--}10 \times 10^6$ U/m², three times a week) lead to an intolerable toxicity in patients with advanced prostate carcinoma (Chang *et al.* 1986). Recently, pegylated IFN α_2 (IFN molecules with a covalently attached polyethylene glycol moiety), a more stable form of IFN α_2 , has been developed and tested in clinical studies. However, toxicity of pegylated IFN α_2 is still an issue (Daud *et al.* 2012). We have demonstrated that the expression of IRF9 is a requisite for transmitting the antiproliferative effects of IFN α_2 in IL6 producing PCa cells (Fig. 6). On the other hand, the staining patterns of IRF9 in PCa specimen were heterogeneous but correlated with IL6 expression in the tissue (Fig. 3). Thus, our data suggest that clinical studies with administration of IFN α_2 should consider the expression of IRF9 in the cancerous tissue in order to select patients that could benefit from such a therapy known to have severe toxic side effects. In general,

high expression of IL6 in tissue is associated with bad prognosis of PCa patients (Ishiguro *et al.* 2011). However, as IL6 is a potent inducer of IRF9, high IL6 expression could possibly serve in this case as an indicator for a favorable response to IFN α_2 treatment. In clinics, IFN treatment is often given as adjuvant therapy. Interestingly, experiments with pegylated IFN α_2 combined with docetaxel in mice bearing orthotopic implantations of PC3-MM2 PCa cells resulted in a reduction of tumor weight and increased apoptosis of tumor-associated endothelial cells (Huang *et al.* 2002). Another study showed that low concentrations of IFN α_2 increased the cytotoxic effects of the histone deacetylase-inhibitor valproic acid on PCa cells (Hudak *et al.* 2012). However, expression of IRF9 was not addressed in these studies.

In summary, we show that IRF9 protein expression is increased in human PCa and correlates with IL6 expression in cancer areas. The antiproliferative effect of IFN α_2 is dependent on the expression of IRF9 and IFN α_2 -independent functions of IRF9 have been observed. On the basis of these results, we suggest that IRF9 may be considered as a marker for IFN α_2 therapies in PCa.

Supplementary data

This is linked to the online version of the paper at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/ERC-13-0222>.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

Grant support: EU Marie Curie Initial Training Network PRO-NEST (Prostate Research Organization – Network of Early Stage Training), doctorate programme 'Molecular and Cellular Biology and Oncology' funded by Austrian Science Fund FWF (W1101), research grant by Centocor, and Austrian National Bank Anniversary Fund grant 15374 (to Z Culig).

Author contribution statement

H H H Erb performed all *in vitro* experiments in cell lines, analyzed all data, planned immunohistochemical experiments with patients' specimen, and wrote the first draft of the paper. R V Langlechner investigated the expression of IRF9 in prostate cancer cell lines and analyzed the data. P L Moser planned and supervised immunohistochemical data and analyzed the expression of IRF9 in patients' material. F Handle investigated the functional relevance of IRF9 in cell lines and analyzed the data. T Casneuf performed microarrays and analyzed the data. K Verstraeten performed the Affymetrix gene expression microarray analysis. B Schlick was involved in selection of patients for tissue microarray. G Schäfer was involved in selection of patients for tissue microarray and analyzed inflammation status for tissue microarray. B Hall participated in the design of the experiments, supervised microarrays,

and analyzed the data. K Sasser coordinated research at Janssen R&D and analyzed the data. Z Culig designed the study, supervised and coordinated research, analyzed data, and corrected the first draft of the manuscript. F R Santer is responsible for day-to-day supervision, coordinated research, analyzed data, and corrected the first draft of the manuscript. Z Culig and F R Santer are joint senior authors.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Claudia Zavadil and Irma Sottas for immunohistochemical staining and the members of the Culig Laboratory for helpful discussions. The authors thank Prof. Helmut Klocker (Department of Urology, Innsbruck Medical University) for helpful suggestions, Prof. Walter Parson (Department of Legal Medicine, Innsbruck Medical University) for cell authentication, and Dr Steven Johnson for providing the IRF9 expression vector. They also thank Prof. Andrea Griesmacher and technicians of the Central Institute for Medical and Chemical Laboratory Diagnostics for measuring IL6.

References

- Adler HL, McCurdy MA, Kattan MW, Timme TL, Scardino PT & Thompson TC 1999 Elevated levels of circulating interleukin-6 and transforming growth factor- β 1 in patients with metastatic prostatic carcinoma. *Journal of Urology* **161** 182–187. (doi:10.1016/S0022-5347(01)62092-5)
- Akira S, Taka T & Kishimoto T 1993 Interleukin-6 in biology and medicine. *Advances in Immunology* **54** 1–78.
- Armstrong AJ, Garrett-Mayer E, de Wit R, Tannock I & Eisenberger M 2010 Prediction of survival following first-line chemotherapy in men with castration-resistant metastatic prostate cancer. *Clinical Cancer Research* **16** 203–211. (doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-2514)
- Borden EC 1984 Progress toward therapeutic application of interferons, 1979–1983. *Cancer* **54** 2770–2776. (doi:10.1002/1097-0142(19841201)54:2+ <2770::AID-CNCR2820541425>3.0.CO;2-0)
- Bracarda S, Eggermont AM & Samuelsson J 2010 Redefining the role of interferon in the treatment of malignant diseases. *European Journal of Cancer* **46** 284–297. (doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2009.10.013)
- Caraglia M, Marra M, Pelaia G, Maselli R, Caputi M, Marsico SA & Abbruzzese A 2005 α -Interferon and its effects on signal transduction pathways. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* **202** 323–335. (doi:10.1002/jcp.20137)
- Cavarretta IT, Neuwirt H, Untergasser G, Moser PL, Zaki MH, Steiner H, Rumpold H, Fuchs D, Hobisch A, Nemeth JA et al. 2007 The antiapoptotic effect of IL-6 autocrine loop in a cellular model of advanced prostate cancer is mediated by Mcl-1. *Oncogene* **26** 2822–2832. (doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1210097)
- Cavarretta IT, Neuwirt H, Zaki MH, Steiner H, Hobisch A, Nemeth JA & Culig Z 2008 Mcl-1 is regulated by IL-6 and mediates the survival activity of the cytokine in a model of late stage prostate carcinoma. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* **617** 547–555. (doi:10.1007/978-0-387-69080-3_56)
- Chang AY, Fisher HA, Spiers AS & Boros L 1986 Toxicities of human recombinant interferon- α 2 in patients with advanced prostate carcinoma. *Journal of Interferon Research* **6** 713–715. (doi:10.1089/jir.1986.6.713)
- Conze D, Weiss L, Regen PS, Bhushan A, Weaver D, Johnson P & Rincon M 2001 Autocrine production of interleukin 6 causes multidrug resistance in breast cancer cells. *Cancer Research* **61** 8851–8858.
- Culig Z 2011 Cytokine disbalance in common human cancers. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **1813** 308–314. (doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2010.12.010)
- Culig Z, Steiner H, Bartsch G & Hobisch A 2005 Interleukin-6 regulation of prostate cancer cell growth. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* **95** 497–505. (doi:10.1002/jcb.20477)
- Dai M, Wang P, Boyd AD, Kostov G, Athey B, Jones EG, Bunney WE, Myers RM, Speed TP, Akil H et al. 2005 Evolving gene/transcript definitions significantly alter the interpretation of GeneChip data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **33** e175. (doi:10.1093/nar/gni179)
- Darnell JE Jr, Kerr IM & Stark GR 1994 Jak-STAT pathways and transcriptional activation in response to IFNs and other extracellular signaling proteins. *Science* **264** 1415–1421. (doi:10.1126/science.8197455)
- Daud A, Soon C, Dummer R, Eggermont AM, Hwu WJ, Grob JJ, Garbe C & Hauschild A 2012 Management of pegylated interferon α toxicity in adjuvant therapy of melanoma. *Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy* **12** 1087–1099. (doi:10.1517/14712598.2012.694421)
- Drachenberg DE, Elgamel AA, Rowbotham R, Peterson M & Murphy GP 1999 Circulating levels of interleukin-6 in patients with hormone refractory prostate cancer. *Prostate* **41** 127–133. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0045(19991001)41:2 <127::AID-PROS7>3.0.CO;2-H)
- Feng S, Tang Q, Sun M, Chun JY, Evans CP & Gao AC 2009 Interleukin-6 increases prostate cancer cells resistance to bicalutamide via TIF2. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* **8** 665–671. (doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-0823)
- Frank DA 1999 STAT signaling in the pathogenesis and treatment of cancer. *Molecular Medicine* **5** 432–456.
- Frank DA 2003 STAT signaling in cancer: insights into pathogenesis and treatment strategies. *Cancer Treatment and Research* **115** 267–291.
- Giri D, Ozen M & Ittmann M 2001 Interleukin-6 is an autocrine growth factor in human prostate cancer. *American Journal of Pathology* **159** 2159–2165. (doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63067-2)
- Grossmann ME, Huang H & Tindall DJ 2001 Androgen receptor signaling in androgen-refractory prostate cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* **93** 1687–1697. (doi:10.1093/jnci/93.22.1687)
- Guterman J & Quesada J 1981 Clinical investigation of partially pure and recombinant DNA derived leukocyte interferon in human cancer. *Texas Reports on Biology and Medicine* **41** 626–633.
- Hobeika AC, Subramaniam PS & Johnson HM 1997 IFN α induces the expression of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 in human prostate cancer cells. *Oncogene* **14** 1165–1170. (doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1200939)
- Hobeika AC, Etienne W, Torres BA, Johnson HM & Subramaniam PS 1999 IFN-gamma induction of p21(WAF1) is required for cell cycle inhibition and suppression of apoptosis. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research* **19** 1351–1361. (doi:10.1089/107999099312812)
- Hobisch A, Rogatsch H, Hittmair A, Fuchs D, Bartsch G Jr, Klocker H, Bartsch G & Culig Z 2000 Immunohistochemical localization of interleukin-6 and its receptor in benign, premalignant and malignant prostate tissue. *Journal of Pathology* **191** 239–244. (doi:10.1002/1096-9896(2000)999:9999 <::AID-PATH633>3.0.CO;2-X)
- Hobisch A, Ramoner R, Fuchs D, Godoy-Tundidor S, Bartsch G, Klocker H & Culig Z 2001 Prostate cancer cells (LNCaP) generated after long-term interleukin 6 (IL-6) treatment express IL-6 and acquire an IL-6 partially resistant phenotype. *Clinical Cancer Research* **7** 2941–2948.
- Hoefer J, Schafer G, Klocker H, Erb HH, Mills IG, Hengst L, Puhf M & Culig Z 2012 PIAS1 is increased in human prostate cancer and enhances proliferation through inhibition of p21. *American Journal of Pathology* **180** 2097–2107. (doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.01.026)
- Huang SF, Kim SJ, Lee AT, Karashima T, Bucana C, Kedar D, Sweeney P, Mian B, Fan D, Shepherd D et al. 2002 Inhibition of growth and metastasis of orthotopic human prostate cancer in athymic mice by combination therapy with pegylated interferon- α -2b and docetaxel. *Cancer Research* **62** 5720–5726.
- Hudak L, Tezeeh P, Wedel S, Makarevic J, Juengel E, Tsaui I, Bartsch G, Wiesner C, Haferkamp A & Blaheta RA 2012 Low dosed interferon α augments the anti-tumor potential of histone deacetylase inhibition on prostate cancer cell growth and invasion. *Prostate* **72** 1719–1735. (doi:10.1002/pros.22525)

- Irizarry RA, Bolstad BM, Collin F, Cope LM, Hobbs B & Speed TP 2003 Summaries of Affymetrix GeneChip probe level data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **31** e15. (doi:10.1093/nar/gng015)
- Ishiguro H, Akimoto K, Nagashima Y, Kagawa E, Sasaki T, Sano JY, Takagawa R, Fujinami K, Sasaki K, Aoki I et al. 2011 Coexpression of aPKC λ /iota and IL-6 in prostate cancer tissue correlates with biochemical recurrence. *Cancer Science* **102** 1576–1581. (doi:10.1111/j.1349-7006.2011.01972.x)
- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E & Forman D 2011 Global cancer statistics. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians* **61** 69–90. (doi:10.3322/caac.20107)
- Karkera J, Steiner H, Li W, Skradski V, Moser PL, Riethdorf S, Reddy M, Puchalski T, Safer K, Prabhakar U et al. 2011 The anti-interleukin-6 antibody Siltuximab down-regulates genes implicated in tumorigenesis in prostate cancer patients from a phase I study. *Prostate* **71** 1455–1465. (doi:10.1002/pros.21362)
- Kirkwood J 2002 Cancer immunotherapy: the interferon- α experience. *Seminars in Oncology* **29** 18–26. (doi:10.1053/sonc.2002.33078)
- Li J, Hu XF & Xing PX 2005 CNTO-328 (Centocor). *Current Opinion in Investigational Drugs* **6** 639–645.
- Luker KE, Pica CM, Schreiber RD & Piwnica-Worms D 2001 Overexpression of IRF9 confers resistance to antimicrotubule agents in breast cancer cells. *Cancer Research* **61** 6540–6547.
- Mercader M, Bodner BK, Moser MT, Kwon PS, Park ES, Manecke RG, Ellis TM, Wojcik EM, Yang D, Flanigan RC et al. 2001 T cell infiltration of the prostate induced by androgen withdrawal in patients with prostate cancer. *PNAS* **98** 14565–14570. (doi:10.1073/pnas.251140998)
- Nakashima J, Tachibana M, Horiguchi Y, Oya M, Ohigashi T, Asakura H & Murai M 2000 Serum interleukin 6 as a prognostic factor in patients with prostate cancer. *Clinical Cancer Research* **6** 2702–2706.
- Okamoto M, Lee C & Oyasu R 1997 Interleukin-6 as a paracrine and autocrine growth factor in human prostatic carcinoma cells *in vitro*. *Cancer Research* **57** 141–146.
- Patterson SG, Wei S, Chen X, Sallman DA, Gilvary DL, Zhong B, Pow-Sang J, Yeatman T & Djeu JY 2006 Novel role of Stat1 in the development of docetaxel resistance in prostate tumor cells. *Oncogene* **25** 6113–6122. (doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209632)
- Rane JK, Pellacani D & Maitland NJ 2012 Advanced prostate cancer – a case for adjuvant differentiation therapy. *Nature Reviews. Urology* **9** 595–602. (doi:10.1038/nrurol.2012.157)
- Reich NC & Liu L 2006 Tracking STAT nuclear traffic. *Nature Reviews. Immunology* **6** 602–612. (doi:10.1038/nri1885)
- Sica G, Fabbroni L, Castagnetta L, Cacciatori M & Pavone-Macaluso M 1989 Antiproliferative effect of interferons on human prostate carcinoma cell lines. *Urological Research* **17** 111–115. (doi:10.1007/BF00262031)
- Siegall CB, Schwab G, Nordan RP, FitzGerald DJ & Pastan I 1990 Expression of the interleukin 6 receptor and interleukin 6 in prostate carcinoma cells. *Cancer Research* **50** 7786–7788.
- Siegmund MJ, Yamazaki H & Pastan I 1994 Interleukin 6 receptor mRNA in prostate carcinomas and benign prostate hyperplasia. *Journal of Urology* **151** 1396–1399.
- Smyth GK, Yang YH & Speed TP 2003 Statistical issues in microarray data analysis. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **224** 111–136.
- Taloo W, Clevert D-A, Hochreiter S, Amaratunga D, Bijns L, Kass S & Goehlmann HW 2007 I/NI-calls for the exclusion of non-informative genes: a highly effective filtering tool for microarray data. *Bioinformatics* **23** 2897–2902. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm478)
- Trikha M, Corringham R, Klein B & Rossi JF 2003 Targeted anti-interleukin-6 monoclonal antibody therapy for cancer: a review of the rationale and clinical evidence. *Clinical Cancer Research* **9** 4653–4665.
- Tsuno T, Mejido J, Zhao T, Schmeisser H, Morrow A & Zoon KC 2009 IRF9 is a key factor for eliciting the antiproliferative activity of IFN- α . *Journal of Immunotherapy* **32** 803–816. (doi:10.1097/CJI.0b013e3181ad4092)
- Weihua X, Hu J, Roy SK, Mannino SB & Kalvakolanu DV 2000 Interleukin-6 modulates interferon-regulated gene expression by inducing the ISGF3 gamma gene using CCAAT/enhancer binding protein- β (C/EBP- β). *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **1492** 163–171. (doi:10.1016/S0167-4781(00)00111-1)

Received in final form 31 July 2013

Accepted 2 August 2013

Made available online as an Accepted Preprint

2 August 2013