LAMP3 is involved in tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer cells through the modulation of autophagy
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Abstract

Lysosome-associated membrane protein 3 (LAMP3) is a member of the LAMP-family of proteins, which are involved in the process of autophagy. Autophagy is induced by tamoxifen in breast cancer cells and may contribute to tamoxifen resistance. In this study, the significance of LAMP3 for tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer was examined. The methods employed included use of clonogenic assays to assess the survival of MCF7 breast cancer cells with LAMP3 knockdown after tamoxifen treatment and of quantitative real-time PCR of LAMP3 to evaluate its predictive value for first-line tamoxifen treatment in patients with advanced breast cancer. Results show that tamoxifen treatment of MCF7 cells induced LAMP3 mRNA expression. LAMP3 knockdown in these cells increased tamoxifen sensitivity. Evaluation of expression of the autophagy markers, LC3B and p62, after LAMP3 knockdown showed increased expression levels, indicating that cells with LAMP3 knockdown have a suppressed ability to complete the autophagic process. In addition, knockdown of autophagy-associated genes resulted in sensitization to tamoxifen. Next, tamoxifen-resistant MCF7 cells were cultured. These cells had a sevenfold higher LAMP3 mRNA expression, showed elevated basal autophagy levels, and could be significantly resensitized to tamoxifen by LAMP3 knockdown. In patients treated with first-line tamoxifen for advanced disease ($n = 304$), high LAMP3 mRNA expression was associated with shorter progression-free survival ($P = 0.003$) and shorter post-relapse overall survival ($P = 0.040$), also in multivariate analysis. Together, these results indicate that LAMP3 contributes to tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. Tamoxifen-resistant cells are resensitized to tamoxifen by the knockdown of LAMP3. Therefore, LAMP3 may be clinically relevant to countering tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer patients.
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Introduction

Tamoxifen, a non-steroidal anti-estrogen, has been used for more than 40 years as a hormonal therapeutic in estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer (Cole et al. 1971). Tamoxifen physically competes with estrogens to bind to the ER, thereby decreasing the proliferative stimuli of estrogens (Riggs & Hartmann 2003). Despite its
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Subjects and methods

Chemicals

4-Hydroxytamoxifen and bafilomycin-A1 were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich.

Cell culture

Both MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were obtained from LCG Promochem (London, UK) and used at between three and ten passages (except for the tamoxifen-resistant MCF7 clone). Identity of cell lines was authenticated by comparison of growth properties and morphology, species confirmation was performed by using cytochrome oxidase I isoenzyme testing, and short tandem repeat analysis. Cell maintenance and experiments were performed in DMEM supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, 20 mM HEPES, 1 × nonessential amino acids, and 10 U/ml penicillin and 10 µg/ml streptomycin (all from PAA, Colbe, Germany) at 37 °C with 5% CO₂.

MCF7 cells were cultured to 4-hydroxytamoxifen resistance by adapting WT cells to 0.5 µM 4-hydroxytamoxifen and increasing the concentration by 0.5 µM at a time. After several months, cells could tolerate 10 µM. These 4-hydroxytamoxifen-resistant cells were continuously passaged in the presence of 10 µM 4-hydroxytamoxifen.

Western blot analysis

Western blotting was performed as described previously (Nagelkerke et al. 2011). Primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-LC3B (#3868), rabbit anti-p62 (#8025), rabbit anti-ATG5 (#8540), rabbit anti-BECN1 (#3495) (all from Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) and mouse anti-α-tubulin (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA) all diluted 1:1000. Densitometric analysis of western blots was performed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, 2013a). LAMP3 is a member of the so-called LAMP family, which plays an essential role in autophagy (Tanaka et al. 2000, Eskelinen et al. 2002). LAMP-proteins are thought to be responsible for the fusion of the autophagosome with the lysosome (Tanaka et al. 2000).

Recently, we have shown that LAMP3 has prognostic value for locoregional control in breast cancer (Nagelkerke et al. 2011). In addition, we have also found that LAMP3 mRNA expression was higher in ER-negative breast tumors, which basically have intrinsic resistance to tamoxifen. In this study, we have examined whether LAMP3 could promote tamoxifen resistance.

Treatment of breast cancer cells with tamoxifen was found to induce the process of autophagy (Greek for ‘self-eating’ and also referred to as macroautophagy; Bursch et al. 1996) and it has been suggested that autophagy contributes to tamoxifen resistance (Samaddar et al. 2008, Qadir et al. 2008). Autophagy is an evolutionarily conserved process, in which cellular components can be degraded and recycled in order to preserve energy (Klionsky & Emr 2000). Cytoplasmic material is sequestered in double membrane vesicles, called autophagosomes. After fusion with lysosomes, the contents are degraded by lysosomal hydrolases. At basal levels, autophagy is crucial for cellular homeostasis in numerous physiological conditions, but also pathological disorders involve autophagy. In addition, autophagy can be a mechanism by which cells overcome stressful conditions. Survival of stress conditions, such as hypoxia and endoplasmic reticulum stress, can be mediated by a collection of pathways called the unfolded protein response (UPR; Feldman et al. 2005, Wouters & Kortizinsky 2008). One arm of the UPR, the PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK)/activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4)-arm, was previously shown to induce autophagy (Milani et al. 2009, Rouschop et al. 2010, Rzynski et al. 2010). ATF4 is essential for hypoxia-induced autophagy, upregulating MAP1LC3B (referred to as LC3B; Rouschop et al. 2010, Rzynski et al. 2010). Recently, lysosome-associated membrane protein 3 (LAMP3) has been identified as a downstream target of the PERK/ATF4-arm of the UPR (Mujic et al. 2009), induced by hypoxia and involved in hypoxia-mediated metastasis (Nagelkerke et al. 2011, 2013a).
Western blot analysis of autophagy markers was interpreted according to previously published guidelines (Klionsky et al. 2008, Mizushima et al. 2010). Western blots were cropped for the purposes of clarity.

Quantitative real-time PCR of cell lines

RNA was isolated using the Total RNA Purification Kit from Norgen Biotek Corp. (Thorold, ON, Canada) with an on-column DNase treatment. RNA (1 mg) was reverse transcribed with the iScript cDNA synthesis kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. mRNA expression of reverse transcribed LAMP3 was analyzed using quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) on a CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) with SYBR Green (Applied Biosystems) and the following primers: LAMP3: F, 5’-TGAAAACAACCGATGTCCAA-3’ and R, 5’-TCAGACGAGCCTCACCAC-3’; MAP1LC3B: F, 5’-AACGGGCTTGTGAGAAAAC-3’ and R, 5’-AGTGAGGCTTTGGGTGTGG-3’; ATG5: F, 5’-ACAAGCAGACAGGAAAAG-3’ and R, 5’-GACCTTCAGTTGTCGGGTAA-3’; BECN1: F, 5’-AGGTTGAAAGGGCGGACAA-3’ and R, 5’-AATTTGTGAGACCCCAAGC-3’; ESRI (ERα): F, 5’-CCACCAACAGTGACCATTT-3’ and R, 5’-GGTCTTTCCGTATCCCCACCTTTC-3’; and PGR (progesterone receptor): F, 5’-CGCGCTCTACCCTGCACTC-3’ and R, 5’-TGAATCCGGCGCTAGTGTT-3’. qPCR was performed for 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 60 seconds. Expression of the reference gene HPRT1 (hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase 1) was analyzed using a predeveloped assay from Applied Biosystems (hs.412707).

Stable LAMP3 transfections

Constructs of short hairpin RNAs (shRNA) targeting LAMP3 or a noncoding sequence were kindly provided by Prof. B Wouters (Ontario Cancer Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada). Lentiviral pseudotyped particles were formed in HEK293FT cells with the ViraPower lentiviral expression system according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were infected by transduction with the ViraPower lentiviral expression system (Hs.412707). Stable LAMP3 transfections were selected by puromycin (4 μg/ml) treatment for ~10 days.

Transient transfections

siRNA-mediated knockdowns were performed using Sant-Red (Synvolux Therapeutics, Groningen, The Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. siRNAs were from Sigma–Aldrich: MAP1LC3B, SASI_Hs01_00212376 and SASI_Hs02_00356118; ATG5, SASI_Hs02_00338435 and SASI_Hs01_00173158; BECN1, SASI_Hs01_00090914 and SASI_Hs02_00336256; and LAMP3, SASI_Hs01_00214236 and SASI_Hs02_00345584.

Colony-forming assays after tamoxifen treatment

Clonogenic cell survival was analyzed by plating 500 cells in T25 bottles. The cells were allowed to adhere overnight before treatment with the indicated concentrations of 4-hydroxytamoxifen for 24 h. Tamoxifen-containing medium was replaced with normal cell culture medium, after which colonies were allowed to form. Concentrations of 4-hydroxytamoxifen were lower for MCF7 cells compared with MDA-MB-231 cells to maximally visualize the dose–response curves. Once colonies in the control flasks comprised at least 50 cells (up to 10 days), cells were fixed for 10 min in 70% ethanol at 4°C. Colonies were stained with 0.5% (w/v) crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich). Colonies of 50 cells or more were scored manually.

Immunocytochemistry

Cells were grown on coverslips and fixed for 10 min in ice-cold methanol. Staining was performed as described previously (Nagelkerke et al. 2011) with minor modifications. Primary antibodies used were biotin-conjugated mouse anti-LC3 (NanoTools, Teningen, Germany) 1:100 and rabbit anti-LAMP1 (Cell Signaling Technology) 1:250. Cy3-conjugated mouse anti-biotin (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., West Grove, PA, USA) and Alexa488-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (Invitrogen) both diluted at the ratio of 1:600 were used as the secondary antibodies.

Patients

Total RNA extracted from frozen ER-positive primary breast tumor tissue specimens obtained, as described previously (Sieuwerts et al. 2005), from female patients with operable breast cancer, who were entering the clinic between 1981 and 1996, was used. Cytosolic tumor protein expression levels of ER were determined as described previously (Foekens et al. 1989) and tumors with ≥10 fmol/mg protein were classified as ER-positive. All patients included developed a measurable recurrence during follow-up and were treated with first-line tamoxifen (40 mg daily). To meet Reporting Recommendations for Tumor Marker Prognostic Studies (REMARK)
recommendations (Altman et al. 2012), we have provided
the inclusion criteria for final analysis in this study: i) at
least 100 mg freshly frozen tumor material available,
ii) more than 30% epithelial tumor cell nuclei in hema-
toxylin/eosin-stained sections, iii) specimen of good RNA
quality and sufficient quantity according to predefined
criteria, and last but not least iv) adherence to predefined
clinical inclusion criteria. Full details of these quality and
quantity controls as well as the clinical inclusion criteria
have been described previously (Sieuwerts et al. 2005).

After applying these criteria, 304 patients remained.
Relevant clinicopathological characteristics of the patients
and their primary tumors are presented in Table 1. The
median follow-up time of living patients was 43 months,
range 4–149 months. Two hundred and fifteen patients
(71%) did not receive prior adjuvant systemic therapy,
while 57 patients (19%) were previously treated with
adjuvant chemotherapy (35 patients (12%) with non-
anthracycline-based (cyclophosphamide, methotrexate
and fluorouracil (CMF)) and 22 patients (7%) with
anthracycline-based (fluorouracil, adriamycin, and
cyclophosphamide/fluorouracil, epirubicin and cyclophos-
phamide (FAC/FEC)) regimens). Thirty patients (10%)
presented with distant metastases at initial diagnosis
(M1 patients), two patients were not without disease
after primary surgery. Progression-free survival (PFS) was
defined as the time elapsed between initiation of
tamoxifen therapy and first detection of disease
progression as defined by the standard of International
Union against Cancer criteria (Hayward et al. 1977).

Our studies on prognostic and predictive markers have
been approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the
Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands (MEC 02.953).
Furthermore, this retrospective study was performed
in accordance with the Code of Conduct of the Federa-
tion of Medical Scientific Societies in The Netherlands
(http://www.fmwv.nl).

RT-qPCR of clinical samples

RT-qPCR was used to measure \( ESR1 \), \( PGR \), \( ERBB2 \), and
\( LAMP3 \) expression from the cDNA preparations

Table 1  Associations of \( LAMP3 \) mRNA expression levels with clinicopathological factors. LRR, local-regional recurrence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Interquartile range</th>
<th>( P ) value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.092a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in categories (years) (( r_s = -0.10 ))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 55 )</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56–70</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 70</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopausal status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premenopausal</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.34b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( pT1 )</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.84c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( pT2 + unknown )</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( pT3/4 )</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease-free interval (months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 12 )</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.038d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–36</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 36</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant site of relapse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.034d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue (LRR)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscera (other)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ERBB2 ) mRNA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.036b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ER ) mRNA (( r_s = -0.30 ))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( PGR ) mRNA (( r_s = -0.16 ))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Two-sided \( P \) value.
*Spearmans rank correlation.
*Mann–Whitney U-test.
*Kruskal–Wallis test, a nonparametric test for trend was not applicable.
*Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by a nonparametric test for trend.
generated as described previously (Sieuwerts et al. 2005, van Agthoven et al. 2009) using the TaqMan Hs0018088 m1 gene expression assay from Applied Biosystems to measure LAMP3. For the clinical cohort, expression levels were normalized against the average expression levels of three reference genes (HMBS, HPRT1, and B2M) as described previously (Sieuwerts et al. 2005).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of in vitro data was performed using Student’s t-tests. Statistical significance was set at two-sided P values <0.05. Asterisks indicate statistical significance, where *P<0.05, **P<0.01, and ***P<0.001.

Differences in levels between grouping variables among patient and tumor characteristics were assessed with the Mann–Whitney U-test or Kruskal–Wallis test, including a Wilcoxon-type test for trend, when appropriate. The strengths of the associations between continuous variables were tested with Spearman’s rank correlation (rs). Expression levels of LAMP3, ERBB2, ESR1, and PGR mRNA were transformed to reduce distribution skewness to allow the use of a Cox proportional hazard model to calculate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI in the analysis of PFS. Three equal thirds were used to categorize the variables as low, intermediate, and high.

Survival curves were generated using the method of Kaplan & Meier and the log-rank test was used to test for differences.

Results

4-Hydroxytamoxifen treatment of MCF7 cells reduces their survival and induces LAMP3 expression and autophagy

First, the effect of 4-hydroxytamoxifen, an active metabolite of tamoxifen, on survival of two commonly used breast cancer cell lines was examined. ER-positive MCF7 and ER-negative MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells were treated with increasing concentrations of 4-hydroxytamoxifen, after which clonogenic survival was evaluated. MCF7 cells showed a significant reduction in cell survival at 1 μM and higher, whereas MDA-MB-231 cells did not show any decrease in survival with up to 10 μM of 4-hydroxytamoxifen (see Fig. 1A). Next, the effect of tamoxifen on the mRNA expression of LAMP3 was evaluated. Figure 1B shows that treatment of MCF7 cells with increasing concentrations of 4-hydroxytamoxifen led to a dose-dependent induction of LAMP3 mRNA expression. However, in MDA-MB-231 cells this response was absent, despite the clear
upregulation of LAMP3 in this cell line by other drugs and stresses found previously (Mujcic et al. 2009, Nagelkerke et al. 2011). It appears that in these two cell lines, LAMP3 induction is only present in cells that are sensitive to tamoxifen. Subsequently, the effect of 4-hydroxytamoxifen on autophagy was studied in MCF7 cells using western blot analysis for p62 and LC3B, two autophagosomal markers (Mizushima et al. 2010). Treatment with 5 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen for up to 72 h reduced the expression of p62 (see Fig. 1C). At 48 h of exposure, MCF7 cells showed a strong induction of LC3B2, but this was reduced to basal levels by 72 h. As p62 is reported to inversely correlate with autophagy levels, these data collectively indicate that 4-hydroxytamoxifen can induce autophagy in MCF7 cells. This was verified further by exposing MCF7 cells to both 4-hydroxytamoxifen and the autophagy inhibitor bafilomycin-A1. Bafilomycin-A1 blocks fusion of the autophagosome with the lysosome. During exposure to bafilomycin-A1, tamoxifen-treated cells showed an increase in both p62 and LC3B2 levels (see Fig. 2B). This increased expression of p62 and LC3B2 indicates that autophagy is compromised in the LAMP3 knockdown cells. Considering the localization of the LAMP proteins in the lysosomal membrane, the autophagic pathway is potentially blocked during fusion of the autophagosome with the lysosome. Treatment of MCF7 LAMP3 knockdown cells with 5 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen led to a slight increase in both LC3B2 and p62 levels (see Fig. 2B). This suggests that tamoxifen induces autophagy to a lesser extent in LAMP3 knockdown cells and that these cells are indeed autophagy-compromised. To validate whether autophagy is comprised after LAMP3 knockdown, both control and LAMP3 knockdown cells were cultured on coverslips and stained against LC3B and LAMP1 to

LAMP3 knockdown inhibits autophagy and sensitizes MCF7 cells to treatment with 4-hydroxytamoxifen

To evaluate the role of LAMP3 in tamoxifen-induced autophagy, MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were subjected to shRNA-mediated LAMP3 knockdown. Both shRNAs could reduce the mRNA expression of LAMP3 by at least 75% (see Fig. 2A). Next, the basal autophagy activity was evaluated in the LAMP3 knockdowns by western blotting for p62 and LC3B. Both p62 and LC3B expression levels were elevated in the LAMP3 knockdown cells (see Fig. 2B). This increased expression of p62 and LC3B2 indicates that autophagy is compromised in the LAMP3 knockdown cells. Considering the localization of the LAMP proteins in the lysosomal membrane, the autophagic pathway is potentially blocked during fusion of the autophagosome with the lysosome. Treatment of MCF7 LAMP3 knockdown cells with 5 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen led to a slight increase in both LC3B2 and p62 levels (see Fig. 2B). This suggests that tamoxifen induces autophagy to a lesser extent in LAMP3 knockdown cells and that these cells are indeed autophagy-compromised. To validate whether autophagy is comprised after LAMP3 knockdown, both control and LAMP3 knockdown cells were cultured on coverslips and stained against LC3B and LAMP1 to

Figure 2

Effect of LAMP3 knockdown on tamoxifen sensitivity. (A) LAMP3 mRNA expression of MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells after shRNA-mediated knockdown of LAMP3. (B) Western blot analysis for autophagy markers in MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 cells after shRNA-mediated knockdown of LAMP3. MCF7 cells with knockdown of LAMP3 were also treated with 5 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) for 24 h. Numbers below the bands indicate the results of densitometric analysis relative to the corresponding α-tubulin. (C) Immunocytochemical staining of LC3B (red), LAMP1 (green), and nuclei (blue) in MCF7 control and LAMP3 knockdown cells. Scale bars = 10 μm. (D) Clonogenic survival of MCF7 cells after shRNA-mediated knockdown of LAMP3 and treatment with 4-hydroxytamoxifen for 24 h. (E) Clonogenic survival of MDA-MB-231 cells after shRNA-mediated knockdown of LAMP3 and treatment with 4-hydroxytamoxifen for 24 h. Asterisks indicate statistical significance compared with the corresponding control. Data are presented as mean values ± S.D. Measurements were carried out in two independent experiments with three replicates each.
visualize autophago(lyso)osomes and lysosomes respectively (see Fig. 2C). Overall, the expression of both markers was increased and more punctate in the LAMP3 knockdown cells. In addition, vesicles were larger in the knockdown cells. Higher levels of LC3B in LAMP3 knockdown cells are in accordance with the western blot data. Furthermore, in LAMP3 knockdown cells very little colocalization between LAMP1 and LC3B puncta could be found, indicating that indeed fusion of the autophagosome with the lysosome is inhibited. To examine the role of LAMP3 in sensitivity to tamoxifen treatment, MCF7 cells with a stable knockdown of LAMP3 were exposed to 4-hydroxytamoxifen. Figure 2D shows the clonogenic survival of these cell lines. It was found that LAMP3 knockdown in the ER-positive MCF7 cells enhances sensitivity to cell death induced by 4-hydroxytamoxifen. On the other hand, the ER-negative MDA-MB-231 cells did not respond to 4-hydroxytamoxifen treatment, and LAMP3 knockdown could not sensitize these cells to 4-hydroxytamoxifen, although some siRNAs were more effective that others (see Fig. 3C, D and E).

Knockdown of autophagy-associated genes sensitizes MCF7 cells to treatment with 4-hydroxytamoxifen

Next, the role of autophagy in 4-hydroxytamoxifen sensitivity was assessed in MCF7 cells. With siRNA-mediated knockdown, mRNA expression of the autophagy-associated genes MAP1LC3B, ATG5, and BECN1 was successfully abolished (see Fig. 3A). Figure 3B shows that knockdown is also present at the protein level although to a lesser extent. Knockdown of ATG genes was previously shown to result in an inhibition of autophagy (Mizushima et al. 2010). In this study, in general, the siRNA-mediated knockdowns could sensitize MCF7 cells to treatment with 4-hydroxytamoxifen, although some siRNAs were more effective than others (see Fig. 3C, D and E).

Tamoxifen-resistant cells have higher mRNA expression of LAMP3, show induced levels of autophagy, and can be resensitized to tamoxifen treatment by LAMP3 knockdown

To study the effect of tamoxifen resistance on LAMP3 expression, MCF7 cells were cultured to 4-hydroxytamoxifen resistance. Initially, WT cells were exposed to 0.5 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen. Once cells had adapted to this dose, the concentration was increased stepwise with 0.5 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen. This process was repeated for several months until the cells could tolerate 10 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen. Proliferation of this tamoxifen-resistant cell population was slower than that of the WT cells. Analysis of mRNA expression for both MCF7 cells after siRNA-mediated knockdown of (C) MAP1LC3B, (D) ATG5, and (E) BECN1 and treatment with 4-hydroxytamoxifen for 24 h. Asterisks indicate statistical significance compared with the corresponding control. Data are shown as mean values ± S.D. Measurements were carried out in two independent experiments with three replicates each.
the ER and PGR revealed that these tamoxifen-resistant cells still expressed the ER, albeit to a lesser extent, whereas the PGR was lost completely (see Fig. 4A). Compared with WT MCF7 cells, the tamoxifen-resistant cells showed a significant increase in levels of LAMP3 mRNA expression (see Fig. 4B). In addition, tamoxifen resistant MCF7 cells showed altered levels of basal autophagy, as suggested by the decreased LC3B2 and p62 expression levels (see Fig. 4C). To see whether the decrease in LC3B2 represented a reduction in autophagosomes or an increased turnover of autophagosomes, fusion of the autophagosomes with the lysosomes was blocked by bafilomycin-A1 treatment. In both WT and tamoxifen-resistant cells, LC3B2 and p62 accumulated (see Fig. 4C). This indicates that autophagosomes are not reduced in tamoxifen-resistant cells, as LC3B2 is present in similar quantities in WT and resistant cells after bafilomycin-A1 treatment. Therefore, tamoxifen-resistant cells appear to have an increased turnover of autophagosomes compared with WT cells.

Next, siRNA-mediated knockdown of LAMP3 was applied to tamoxifen-resistant cells (see Fig. 4D). The siRNAs used successfully abrogated LAMP3 mRNA expression by at least 60%. Subsequently, the sensitivity of these tamoxifen-resistant LAMP3 knockdown cells was evaluated. Figure 4E shows that knockdown of LAMP3 in combination with treatment with 10 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen could resensitize tamoxifen-resistant MCF7 cells to tamoxifen.

**LAMP3 mRNA expression in patients with advanced breast cancer**

As LAMP3 was associated with tamoxifen resistance in cultured breast cancer cells, the association of LAMP3 mRNA with response to first line tamoxifen treatment was investigated in 304 patients with advanced breast cancer. As shown in Table 1, LAMP3 mRNA levels were higher in patients with soft tissue metastases compared with patients with nonsoft tissue or bone metastases ($P=0.034$).

---

**Figure 4**

Effect of knockdown of LAMP3 on tamoxifen sensitivity in MCF7 tamoxifen-resistant cells. (A) mRNA expression of ER and PGR in MCF7 cells cultured to be resistant to 10 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen. (B) mRNA expression of LAMP3 in MCF7 cells cultured to 10 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen resistance. (C) Western blot analysis of p62 and LC3B in MCF7 cells cultured to 10 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen resistance with and without treatment with 100 ng/ml bafilomycin-A1 for 24 h. Numbers below the bands indicate measurements from densitometric analysis relative to the corresponding α-tubulin. (D) mRNA expression of LAMP3 after siRNA-mediated knockdown in MCF7 tamoxifen-resistant cells. (E) Clonogenic survival of MCF7 tamoxifen-resistant cells after siRNA-mediated knockdown of LAMP3 and treatment with 10 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen for 24 h. Asterisks indicate statistical significance compared with the corresponding control. Shown are mean values ± s.d. Measurements were carried out in two independent experiments with three replicates each.
LAMP3 mRNA expression was also higher in ERBB2-high tumors (P=0.036) and correlated negatively with expression of ESR1 (r=-0.30, P<0.001) and PGR (r=-0.16, P=0.004). Interestingly, in these patients high LAMP3 mRNA expression was associated with a shorter PFS time (P=0.003) and a shorter post-relapse overall survival time (P=0.040) after start of tamoxifen treatment for advanced disease (see Fig. 5A and B respectively). The patients with the highest tertile of LAMP3 expression had a worse PFS after start of first-line tamoxifen in both Cox univariate (HR=1.57, 95% CI=1.18–2.09, P<0.001) and multivariate (HR=1.37, 95% CI=1.01–1.86, P=0.032) analyses (see Table 2). Median PFS for the tertile with low LAMP3 expression was 9.9 months (95% CI=7.3–14.0), for the intermediate group 12.0 months (95% CI=9.0–14.4), and 6.6 months (95% CI=5.5–8.2) for the high expression group.

**Discussion**

In this study, we found that knockdown of LAMP3 in the ER-positive MCF7 breast cancer cell line can sensitize cells to treatment with tamoxifen and can even revert acquired resistance to tamoxifen. In addition, LAMP3 was found to play a functional role in autophagy. This could explain its association with tamoxifen sensitivity, as knockdown of the more crucial autophagy genes LC3B, ATG5, and BECN1 also led to a sensitization to treatment with tamoxifen. mRNA expression assessed in a cohort of advanced breast tumors showed that LAMP3 levels are associated with disease progression during tamoxifen treatment.

Tamoxifen is an anti-estrogen that has proven its value in the treatment of ER-positive breast cancer. However, not all ER-positive tumors will initially respond to tamoxifen and, perhaps more importantly, responding tumors will eventually develop resistance. Therefore, it is of vital importance to identify the factors and mechanisms involved in tamoxifen resistance. Several studies have previously identified so-called BCAR (breast cancer anti-estrogen resistance) genes (van Agthoven et al 2010, Duan et al 2011). In this study, we have shown that LAMP3 is also a factor involved in anti-estrogen resistance. LAMP3 expression is induced via the PERK/ATF4-arm of the UPR (Mujcic et al 2009). The UPR and its associated factors have been implicated in resistance to numerous cancer therapies (Pyrko et al 2007, Scriven et al 2009, Al-Rawashdeh et al 2010, Chen et al 2011). For example, increased expression of ATF4 is associated with decreased sensitivity to several therapies (Tanabe et al 2003, Igarashi et al 2007). Recently, overexpression of X-box-binding protein 1 (XBP1), a downstream factor in the UPR, has been shown to lead to a reduced sensitivity of ER-positive breast cancer cells to anti-estrogen treatment (Gomez et al 2007). Also, knockdown of glucose-related protein 78 (GRP78), a major player in the UPR, could restore anti-estrogen sensitivity in resistant cells, whereas GRP78 overexpression caused resistance in sensitive cells (Cook et al 2012). Together with the results from our current
study, these results indicate the UPR appears to play a significant role in resistance to anti-estrogen therapy. The process that the UPR can induce to overcome stressful conditions is autophagy. Recently, autophagy has also been implicated in tamoxifen resistance. Overexpression of \( \text{BECN1} \), a factor involved in the nucleation step of the autophagic cascade, rendered MCF7 cells more resistant to treatment with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (John et al. 2008). In addition, \( \text{BECN1} \) was found to downregulate estrogenic signaling and growth response. Others studies have found that inhibition of autophagy, by siRNAs or chemicals, could sensitize breast cancer cells to tamoxifen (Samaddar et al. 2008, Qadir et al. 2008, Namgoong et al. 2010). Breast cancer cells that were cultured to tamoxifen resistance could be resensitized by autophagy inhibition (Samaddar et al. 2008), similar to the effects of \( \text{LAMP3} \) knockdown. Whether the process of autophagy is a prosurvival or prodeath pathway has been controversial for some time (Hippert et al. 2006, Mazure & Pouyssegur 2010, Mathew & White 2011). Initially, autophagy is aimed at recycling energy in order to survive. However, when conditions become too harsh, even autophagy is not able to save cells and eventually death will occur. In tamoxifen treatment, it appears that autophagy is aimed at cell survival, as its inhibition via knockdown of autophagy-related genes as well as \( \text{LAMP3} \) can sensitize cells to tamoxifen-induced death.

In this study, autophagy in \( \text{LAMP3} \) knockdown cells was found to be compromised. Compared with negative control cells, \( \text{LAMP3} \) knockdown cells had increased levels of both \( \text{LC3B} \) and \( \text{p62} \). Also, immunocytochemical staining revealed that \( \text{LAMP3} \) knockdown cells had little colocalization of autophagosomes with lysosomes. \( \text{LAMP3} \) is localized in the lysosomal membrane. The cytoplasmic tail of \( \text{LAMP3} \) appears to be involved in the fusion of the autophagosome with the lysosome. Knockdown of \( \text{LAMP3} \) leads to an inhibition of autophagic flux and therefore to an accumulation of \( \text{LC3B} \) and \( \text{p62} \), leaving the induction of autophagy unaffected. These data are corroborated by its homologs \( \text{LAMP1} \) and \( \text{LAMP2} \), which are also involved in the lysosomal degradation pathway (Eskelinen et al. 2003). \( \text{LAMP2} \)-deficient cells are autophagy-inhibited as autophagic vacuoles accumulate (Tanaka et al. 2000). This accumulation causes the lysosomal glycogen.

### Table 2

Cox univariate and multivariate analyses for PFS of categorized \( \text{LAMP3} \) in estrogen receptor-positive tumors from 304 patients whose recurrence was treated with first-line tamoxifen monotherapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor of base model</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤55</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56–70</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.63–1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.50–0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopausal status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premenopausal</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.66–1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease-free interval (months)</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤12</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–36</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.48–0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;36</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.39–0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant site of relapse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRR</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.82–1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.79–1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ER ) mRNA level</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.65–0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( PGR ) mRNA level</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.84–0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{LAMP3} ) mRNA (as categorized variable)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.71–1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.18–2.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The expression levels of \( \text{LAMP3} \) were evaluated as categorized variables. Factors were added separately to the base model in the multivariate analysis.

*The multivariate analysis is stratified for menopausal status. \( \text{ER} \) and \( \text{PGR} \) levels were analyzed as log-transformed continuous variables.
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storage disorder Danon’s disease. On the other hand, LAMP1-deficiency in knockout-mice had a relatively mild phenotype, possibly due to the compensation of LAMP1-deficiency by increased levels of LAMP2 (Andrejewski et al. 1999). LAMPs are important in the response to cancer therapy. Knockdown of LAMP1 or LAMP2 was found to be sufficient to sensitize cells to anticancer drugs (Fehrenbacher et al. 2008) and knockdown of LAMP3 could significantly sensitize breast cancer cells to radiotherapy (Nagelkerke et al. 2013b).

In conclusion, LAMP3 is an important mediator of resistance to tamoxifen in breast cancer. Pharmacological inhibition of autophagy and the UPR, perhaps via LAMP3, may represent an attractive opportunity to increase the efficacy of tamoxifen in both tamoxifen-sensitive and -resistant ER-positive tumors.
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