The social network of PELP1 and its implications in breast and prostate cancers
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Abstract

Proline, glutamic acid- and leucine-rich protein 1 (PELP1) is a multi-domain scaffold protein that serves as a platform for various protein–protein interactions between steroid receptors (SRs) and signaling factors and cell cycle, transcriptional, cytoskeletal, and epigenetic remodelers. PELP1 is known to be a coregulator of transcription and participates in the nuclear and extranuclear functions of SRs, ribosome biogenesis, and cell cycle progression. The expression and localization of PELP1 are dysregulated in hormonal cancers including breast and prostate cancers. This review focuses on the interactive functions and therapeutic and prognostic significance of PELP1 in breast and prostate cancers.
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Introduction

Proline-, glutamic acid- and leucine-rich protein 1 (PELP1), also referred to as modulator of nongenomic activity of estrogen receptor (MNAR) (Greger et al. 2006), is a promiscuous coregulator of steroid receptors (SRs) and exhibits corepressor or coactivator activity (Choi et al. 2004). PELP1 may be classified as a scaffolding protein (Vadlamudi & Kumar 2007), and it serves as a ‘mediator’ for protein–protein interactions in different cellular processes such as cell cycle regulation, transcription, cytoskeletal and epigenetic modifications, and ribosome biogenesis (Choi et al. 2004, Nair et al. 2007, 2010a, Chakravarty et al. 2010a, 2011, Gonugunta et al. 2011, Mann et al. 2013). PELP1 is widely expressed in various tissues, with the highest levels being measured in the brains, testes, ovaries, and uteri of mice (Vadlamudi et al. 2001). Dysregulation of PELP1 expression has been observed in breast, ovarian, endometrial, brain, and prostate cancers (Dimple et al. 2008, Chakravarty et al. 2011, Cortez et al. 2012, Kefalopoulou et al. 2012, Wan & Li 2012, Yang et al. 2012, Ravindranathan et al. 2013). PELP1 is involved in both genomic and extranuclear signaling pathways (Boonyaratanaokornkit 2011, Girard et al. 2013, Renoir et al. 2013). The functions of PELP1 can be attributed to its multiple unique structural domains, which allow it to bind to different SRs to modulate their activity (Vadlamudi & Kumar 2007, Girard et al. 2013). This review focuses on the structure, function, and genomic and extranuclear signaling of PELP1, associated signaling pathways, and its role as a target for therapeutic modulation in breast and prostate cancers.
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PELP1 structural features

The PELP1 gene is located on chromosome 17 on the short arm at region 13.2. The cDNA-translated protein comprises 1130 amino acids with a pI value of 4.3. However, due to the high content of proline and glutamic acid, the protein migrates as a 160 kDa form on SDS–PAGE gels (predicted 120 kDa) (Vadlamudi & Kumar 2007). Two alternatively spliced isoforms of PELP1 have been reported: a long (3.9 kb) form and a short (3.5 kb) form, both of which map to the same chromosomal region, 17p13.2, and encode the same protein. The longer isoform represents an immature transcript that contains an additional 435 bp intronic region. Of the two, the short isoform is widely expressed in patients with hormonally driven cancers (Vadlamudi et al. 2001, Balasenthil & Vadlamudi 2003).

PELP1 protein has multiple structural domains or discrete structural units made up of a complex of secondary structures such as α-helices and β-sheets. These short peptide recognition domains may function in an independent context and enable the binding of PELP1 to different steroid hormone receptors and proteins. These domains may be found in multiple copies, but each domain may have its own unique interactome, depending on the structural context in which it is presented. Multiple domains may be involved in the binding between PELP1 and a specific protein partner: in these interactions, each PELP1 domain independently interacts with the target protein and cooperatively increases both its binding affinity to the target protein and the likelihood of PELP1–target protein complex formation. This built-in redundancy ensures interaction between PELP1 and critical protein cofactors. Furthermore, each PELP1 domain may modulate the activity and function of other domains on PELP1 and thus influence PELP1–protein interactions by modifying the secondary structure of the PELP1 domain. Proteins that bind to a PELP1 domain may in turn directly or indirectly interact with other proteins that bind to another domain on PELP1: thus PELP1 serves as a scaffolding protein enabling large-scale protein–protein interactions. The interactive domains on PELP1 thus promote cross talk between both proteins and signaling pathways. By virtue of its large interactome, PELP1 plays a critical role in cell signaling and cellular processes.

A domain scan using the online tool (http://scansite.mit.edu) has revealed several protein-interacting domains on PELP1 including multiple copies of the nuclear receptor (SR) box-interacting domains (LxxLL domains: L is leucine; x is any residue), PxxP (P=proline) domains, SH2 domains, a long unusual stretch (70 amino acids) of glutamic acids flanked by two proline-rich regions as well as three nucleolar domains, and a single nuclear localization sequence (Fig. 1; Girard et al. 2013) Each of these regions is described in greater detail below.

LxxLL domains

The acidic LxxLL domain is conserved in more than 300 coregulators and is a known hot spot for SR interactions (Fuchs et al. 2013). Although the LxxLL domains have an α-helical propensity, many factors, including the proper presentation of the LxxLL surface in the 3D structure, the availability of the domains for interaction, and the composition of the residues flanking the LxxLL core domain contribute to the determination of binding affinity and enable discrimination between binding partners. PELP1 has ten distinct LxxLL domains with unique flanking residues and structural presentation (Vadlamudi & Kumar 2007). The interaction with SRs is typically enhanced by ligand binding, which induces conformational changes in the helix 12 (H12) region with the activation function 2 (AF-2) domain of the receptor facilitating the interaction with the short-helix LxxLL.

Figure 1
Schematic representation of the domains and sequences of PELP1 primary structure. PELP1 has ten LxxLL domains (blue lollipops), five PxxP domains (black hanging boxes), one nuclear localization sequence (NLS, red funnel), three nucleolar domains (Nuc1, Nuc2, and Nuc3, green boxes), and a glutamic-acid-rich region (Glu, dark red square) flanked by two proline-rich regions (ash-colored boxes) at its C-terminal end.
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domain (Folkertsma et al. 2007). The LxxLL domains of PELP1 have distinct binding specificities: for example, estrogen receptor-alpha (ERα) preferentially interacts with the fourth and fifth LxxLL domains to mediate PELP1 signaling (Barletta et al. 2004). Although the specific LxxLL domains of PELP1 that interact with androgen receptor (AR), progesterone receptor (PR), glucocorticoid receptor (GR), or other SRs have not been characterized, they are distinct from the fourth LxxLL domain of PELP1 (Barletta et al. 2004, Yang et al. 2012, Ravindranathan et al. 2013).

**PxxP domains**

The PxxP domains were initially described as high-affinity binding sites for proteins with a SRC homology 3 (SH3) motif. The PxxP domains are often clustered in proline-rich stretches of proteins, and the bulky prolines are important for interaction with the SH3 motif, with its characteristic β-barrel fold of five to six β-strands being arranged in two tightly packed anti-parallel β-sheets (Vanhaesebroeck et al. 2001). Residues flanking the core PxxP domain may enhance the stability and binding specificity of proteins with SH3 domains, by forming additional contacts with the binding partner. Mechanistic studies have shown that PELP1 interacts with the SH3 motif of c-Src via its N-terminal PxxP domain and ER interacts with the SRC homology 2 (SH2) motif of Src at phosphotyrosine S37; the PELP1–ER interaction further stabilizes this trimeric complex, leading to the activation of the Src kinase, further activating the Ras/MAPK pathway (Barletta et al. 2004).

**SH2 domains**

Several SH2-binding domains within PELP1 may enable its interaction with kinases such as Abl and Src. The SH2 domains are complex structures with an anti-parallel β-sheet between flanking α-helices, which results in a positively charged β-sheet pocket and enables high-affinity physical interactions with target proteins containing short peptides with phosphorylated tyrosines. Interestingly, the N- and C-termini of the SH2 domains are very close to each other, enabling their introduction without disturbing the existing folding of the host protein. Tyrosine phosphorylation of PELP1 may recruit SH2 effectors: the phosphorylation of PELP1 at Tyr920 by Src kinase creates a binding site for the SH2 domain of p85 subunit of PI3K, leading to the activation of the PI3K pathway (Barletta et al. 2004, Dimple et al. 2008). Furthermore, the SH2 domains on PELP1 enable its interaction with STAT3 (Manavathi et al. 2005).

**Glutamic-acid-rich region**

PELP1 has an unusual C-terminal stretch of glutamic acids (amino acids 887–960), with 61/74 glutamic acid residues and 4/74 aspartic acid residues. This sequence has a pI value of 2.18, making it an extremely acidic stretch. Unsurprisingly, this glutamic-acid-rich region is optimal for the interaction of PELP1 with basic-amino-acid-rich chromatin proteins such as histones H1 and H3 (Nair et al. 2004). Through the glutamic acid-rich region, PELP1 plays a role in chromatin remodeling by displacing histone H1 and by blocking hypoacetylated histones H3 and H4 from becoming the substrates of histone acetyltransferases (Choi et al. 2004). Furthermore, the glutamic-acid-rich region of PELP1 can function as a reader of histone dimethyl modification and facilitates the recruitment of histone demethylases such as lysine demethylase 1 (KDM1) to the DNA (Nair et al. 2010b). The glutamic-acid-rich region of PELP1 is further flanked on both sides by proline-rich domains, which may enable further recruitment and interaction with additional chromatin-modifying enzymes.

**Nucleolar and RIX1 domains**

PELP1 has three nucleolar domains (Nuc1: amino acids 79–160; Nuc2: amino acids 423–489; and Nuc 3: amino acids 569–642), which are important not only for the nuclear and nucleolar localization of PELP1, but also for rRNA processing and the activation of RNA transcription and subsequent ribosome biogenesis (Gonugunta et al. 2011). PELP1 has a single RIX1 (rRNA processing/ribosome biogenesis) (amino acids 73–224) domain that enables complex formation with proteins IPI1 (TEX10), IPI3 (WDR18), and LASL1 and allows Rea1 AAA ATPase to associate with the 60S ribosomal subunit, a critical step in rRNA processing and ribosome assembly. PELP1 is also a component of the SENP3 complex, a key complex in rRNA processing (Finkbeiner et al. 2011a,b).

**PELP1 signaling**

With respect to subcellular localization, PELP1 is expressed in both the nuclei and nucleoli of cells, but at lower amounts in the cytosol and plasma membrane (Vadlamudi et al. 2001, 2004, 2005, Dou et al. 2005, Gonugunta et al. 2011). PELP1 is involved in several critical cellular functions, including DNA and histone modification, ribosome synthesis, and genomic and nongenomic signaling. PELP1 is modified by several posttranslational mechanisms including phosphorylation.
(Vadlamudi & Kumar 2007), glutamylation (Kashiwaya et al. 2010), and SUMOylation (Finkbeiner et al. 2011a). Several cytosolic and nuclear kinases such as EGFR, Src, PKA, ATM, Cdk2, and Cdk4 have been reported to phosphorylate PELP1 (Girard et al. 2013). The association of PELP1 with SRs in hormonal cancers has been explored extensively, and it forms the molecular basis of much of the initial interest in PELP1.

**Interaction with SRs**

The interactions of PELP1 with SRs such as ERα/β, AR, GR, PR, and vitamin D3 and mineralocorticoid (MR) receptors (Vadlamudi et al. 2001, Kayahara et al. 2008, Yang et al. 2012) involve the SR ligand-binding domain, and the binding is significantly enhanced by the addition of ligand. PELP1, by virtue of its function as a scaffolding protein, enables cross talk between different SRs (e.g., between AR and ER) and between SRs and a variety of cellular proteins involved in histone and DNA modification (e.g., HDAC2 and KDM1 (KDM1A), as shown for ER in breast cancer cells) (Nair et al. 2012). PELP1 is a critical coregulator of the transcriptional activity of SRs.

**Role in genomic signaling**

PELP1 does not have a known DNA-binding domain and nor does it bind to DNA directly or function as a canonical transcriptional coactivator. Nonetheless, it plays a critical role in genomic regulation induced by SRs by virtue of its recruitment of coregulators. PELP1 enables cross talk between SRs including ERα/β, PR, and AR (Yang et al. 2012). Data from our laboratory demonstrated that PELP1 acts as a bridge between ERα and AR to activate AR-responsive genes in the absence of androgen (Yang et al. 2012). Interestingly, PELP1 binds to AR and ERα through distinct LxxLL domains (Haas et al. 2005). In addition, recent data indicate that PELP1 may be involved in AR nuclear trafficking upon the activation of ligand (Ravindranathan et al. 2013).

Through distinct structural domains, PELP1 binds to critical cofactors involved in the modulation of chromatin structure and cooperatively influences gene expression (Choi et al. 2004, Gururaj et al. 2007, Nair et al. 2010b, Mann et al. 2013). For example, through its N-terminal LxxLL domain, PELP1 interacts with HDAC2 to repress chromatin decondensation (Choi et al. 2004). The C-terminal glutamic-acid-rich region enables the binding of PELP1 to hypoacetylated histones H3 and H4 to prevent further acetylation (Choi et al. 2004) and with KDM1 to remove inhibitory histone methyl marks (Nair et al. 2010b). Furthermore, at the ERα target gene promoters, the association of PELP1 with CARM1 enhances its transactivation function by altering the histone H3 arginine methylation status (Mann et al. 2013). Together, these data clearly indicate that PELP1 has a role in the modulation of chromatin accessibility of critical target genes.

In addition, PELP1 interacts with several transcriptional activators including HDAC2, STAT3, SUMO2, MLL1/MLL, WDR5, SENP3, HCF1/HCF1, RBBP5, ASH2L, and 5FMC complex to promote either optimal or aberrant conditions for the activity of SRs or transcription factors (Fanis et al. 2012).

Altogether, PELP1 is involved in SR-mediated genomic signaling both directly by interacting with SRs and their coregulators and indirectly by influencing the transcriptional milieu promoting the accessibility of SRs to the promoter.

**Role in nongenomic signaling**

PELP1 has no known enzymatic activity. However, PELP1 couples with SRs involved in efferent signaling pathways, through its ability to form complexes. PELP1 enables membrane-mediated signaling for membrane-bound ERα through the activation of cytosolic tyrosine kinase Src (c-Src) and PI3K in the cytosol via growth-factor-mediated membrane receptor signaling (Fig. 2; Barletta et al. 2004). The critical scaffolding function of PELP1 was confirmed in experiments using an estrogen dendrimer conjugate that uniquely activates ER extranuclear signaling and PELP1 knockdown, which blocks the activation of the ER-Src-PI3K-ILK1 pathway (Chakravarty et al. 2010b). PELP1-mediated activation of cytosolic kinases in turn influences PELP1-influenced SR genomic function. For example, membrane-bound ERα is able to activate c-Src by complexing with PELP1: c-Src subsequently phosphorylates and activates coactivator proteins in the cytoplasm, which then travel to the nucleus where they modulate PELP1-mediated ERα transcriptional events (Barletta et al. 2004).

Similarly, PELP1 is critical for cytosolic ERα-mediated nongenomic signaling (Fig. 2). Knockdown of PELP1 or mutation of its PxxP domain, critical for Src interaction, disrupts estradiol-induced activation of the MAP kinase pathway. PELP1 also interacts with STAT3 and enhances its activity in a Src-mitogen-activated protein kinase-sensitive manner (Manavathi et al. 2005).

In addition, PELP1 interacts with several growth factor receptors such as EGFR and HER2 to mediate signaling cross talk with SRs (Vadlamudi et al. 2005). Collectively,
these findings indicate that the primary role of PELP1 in nongenomic signaling is to enable the formation of multifunctional protein complexes that play critical roles in cell survival and migration and growth factor signaling cross talk with SRs.

**PELP1 in cancers**

The role of PELP1 as a key coregulator of SR signaling has led to an examination of its role in hormonal cancers (Girard et al. 2013). The expression of PELP1 is dysregulated in a spectrum of hormonal cancers, including 60–80% of breast cancer cases, 60–70% of ovarian cancer cases, and 80–95% of prostate cancer cases, with higher expression profiles being correlated with adverse pathological features including more aggressive tumor types, higher tumor grades, and higher proliferative indices. The overexpression of PELP1 is correlated with adverse clinical outcomes such as nodal positivity, distant metastasis, and therapy resistance (Rajhans et al. 2007). In a large cohort of breast cancer specimens (n = 1162), the expression of PELP1 is an independent predictor of shorter breast-cancer-specific survival and shorter disease-free survival and its elevated expression is positively associated with poorer outcome (Habashy et al. 2010).

In aggressive, therapy-resistant breast and prostate cancers, PELP1 has been shown to play an important role in cell migration and metastasis via its ability to modulate miRNA, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), and mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) genes and mediate effective cross talk between SRs (Roy et al. 2013). The role of PELP1 in ribosome biogenesis also has implications in the progression of cancer by virtue of the importance of ribosomes in protein synthesis and cell growth and functioning. Defective signaling within these cellular processes may disrupt the physiological role of PELP1, contributing to oncogenic phenotypes, and these are discussed below.

**PELP1 in cell cycle progression**

Knockdown of PELP1 decreases the proliferation of both ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer cells. Conversely, the overexpression of PELP1 is sufficient for cellular transformation and accelerated tumorigenesis. In Xenopus oocytes, PELP1 appears to mediate the inhibition of...
meiosis via Gβγ signaling (Haas et al. 2005). These data indicate that PELP1 functions as a proto-oncogene.

In breast cancer cells, PELP1 is important for estrogen-mediated cell cycle progression to S phase. The interaction of PELP1 with the cell-cycle-regulating protein retinoblastoma 1 (RB1) may be important for this cell cycle progression as PELP1 may sequester RB and relieve pRB-mediated repression (Balasenthil & Vadlamudi 2003). Furthermore, PELP1 is a cell-cycle-dependent kinase (CDK) substrate, is hyperphosphorylated during cell cycle progression, and recruited to pRB/E2F target genes (Nair et al. 2010a).

PELP1 in ribosome biogenesis

The cross talk between ribosome biogenesis and cell division regulated by PELP1 has recently emerged as a key mechanism via its interaction with CDKs. CDK phosphorylation of PELP1 allows PELP1 to localize to the nucleolus, where it regulates rDNA transcription. The inhibition of CDKs dramatically reduces the localization of PELP1 to the nucleolus and has been shown to decrease rDNA promoter activity (Gonugunta et al. 2011). This indicates that PELP1 has an overarching role not only in SR-mediated gene regulation but also in cell division. As the nucleolus is seen as the hub of ribosomal DNA transcription and ribosomal subunit processing, increased expression of PELP1 in the nucleolus allows increased protein synthesis, cell survival, and tumorigenic phenotype levels (Finkbeiner et al. 2011a,b, Gonugunta et al. 2011, Castle et al. 2012).

PELP1 and metastasis

Enhanced expression of PELP1 has been correlated with increased motility and invasion of tumor cells (Chakravarty et al. 2010b, Roy et al. 2013). In contrast, knockdown of PELP1 results in decreased cell migration via down-regulation of the ERz-Src-PELP1-Pi3K-ILK1 pathway, which disrupts cytoskeletal organization (Chakravarty et al. 2010b). The overexpression of PELP1 enhances EMT by regulating the expression of genes involved in EMT including MMPs, SNAIL (SNAI1), TWIST (TWIST1), ZEB (ZEB1), MYC, and MTA1 as well as miR-200a and miR-141 in breast cancer patients (Chakravarty et al. 2010b, Roy et al. 2012, 2013, Wan & Li 2012).

PELP1 and therapy resistance

Several studies have indicated that the deregulation of PELP1 contributes to therapy resistance and that the knockdown of PELP1 or blockage of PELP1-mediated extranuclear signaling sensitizes cells to therapy (Vadlamudi et al. 2005, Nagpal et al. 2008, Kumar et al. 2009, Nair et al. 2011, Vallabhaneni et al. 2011). Interestingly, the subcellular localization of PELP1 is dysregulated in tumors with a cytosolic predominance in a subset of endometrial tumors, which exhibit resistance to tamoxifen anti-hormonal therapy. Patients whose tumors have high levels of cytoplasmic PELP1 respond poorly to tamoxifen therapy compared with patients whose tumors have low levels of cytoplasmic PELP1 (Kumar et al. 2009). These observations are in agreement with results from an experiment in which tamoxifen-susceptible MCF-7 cells engineered to express PELP1 in cytosol (by modification the nuclear localization sequence) were found to exhibit resistance to tamoxifen (Vadlamudi et al. 2005, Kumar et al. 2009, Gonugunta VK, Saredy GR, Krishnan SR, Cortez V, Roy SS, Tekmal RR & Vadlamudi RK, 2014, unpublished observations). The subcellular localization of PELP1 could be used as a biomarker of hormone sensitivity or vulnerability.

Targeting PELP1 in hormonal cancers

The role of PELP1 in a number of cellular processes and signaling pathways via its various domains makes PELP1 both an attractive and a daunting target for therapeutic modulation. Genetic intervention studies have shown PELP1 knockout to be lethal during embryonic development, which indicates the importance of PELP1 in development (Vadlamudi, unpublished data). Initial attempts targeted blocking PELP1 downstream signaling pathways such as the PELP1–Src axis, PELP1–CDK2 axis, and PELP1–KDM1 axis. The Src inhibitor dasatinib has been found to exhibit therapeutic utility in blocking the PELP1 signaling axis (Vallabhaneni et al. 2011). The CDK inhibitor roscovitine has been found to be effective at reducing the oncogenic processes mediated by PELP1 (Nair et al. 2011). KDM1 and CARM1 inhibitors have also been found to substantially inhibit tumorigenic functions of PELP1 (Cortez et al. 2012, Mann et al. 2013). Even though these studies have established the therapeutic potential of the PELP1 axis in treating hormone-related-cancer patients, these drugs are not specific to PELP1 and new drugs directly targeting PELP1 interactions with increased specificity are required. One such strategy involves targeting specific functions of PELP1 as a coregulator and scaffolding protein. Our recent study has demonstrated the feasibility of targeting the interface between PELP1 and AR interactions with small peptidomimetics that compete for AR binding to
PELP1 and effectively disrupt AR–PELP1 interactions (Ravindranathan et al. 2013). Consequently, the peptidomimetics result in decreased AR uptake into the nucleus, effectively blocking ligand-driven AR activation, with reduced expression of canonical AR-regulated genes, decreased cancer cell proliferation, and inhibition of tumor growth in xenograft and ex vivo cultures of primary prostate tumor cells (Ravindranathan et al. 2013). The results of these studies indicate that specific targeting of PELP1 in tumors may serve as a viable therapeutic strategy.

Conclusions and future directions

PELP1 functions as a scaffolding protein and enables critical protein–protein interactions due to its various structural domains. Although bereft of known enzymatic activity or ability to bind to DNA, PELP1 is a critical cellular protein that influences cellular signaling cascades and the cellular transcriptional machinery. Knockdown of PELP1 is lethal during embryonic development, indicating its importance in cellular functions. The over-expression of PELP1 portends a poor prognosis for patients with hormone-related cancers. Novel approaches to selectively disrupt the interaction of PELP1 with specific protein partners or to selectively knockdown PELP1 in tumor cells may enable effective targeting of cancers.
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