Targeting GPR30 with G-1: a new therapeutic target for castration-resistant prostate cancer

Hung-Ming Lam^{1,†}, Bin Ouyang¹, Jing Chen¹, Jun Ying¹, Jiang Wang², Chin-Lee Wu³,
Li Jia^{4,‡}, Mario Medvedovic^{1,5}, Robert L Vessella⁶ and Shuk-Mei Ho^{1,5,7,8}

¹Department of Environmental Health, University of Cincinnati Medical Center, Room 128 Kettering Complex, Cincinnati, Ohio 45267-0056, USA

²Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Cincinnati Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

³Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁴Department of Medicine, Center for Pharmacogenomics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri, USA

⁵Center for Environmental Genetics, University of Cincinnati Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

⁶Department of Urology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

⁷Cincinnati Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

⁸Cincinnati Cancer Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

[†]H-M Lam is now at Department of Urology, University of Washington, Box 356510, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

[‡]L Jia is now at Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA

Correspondence
should be addressed
to S-M Ho
Email
Shuk-mei.Ho@uc.edu

Abstract

Castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) is an advanced-stage prostate cancer (PC) associated with high mortality. We reported that G-1, a selective agonist of G protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30), inhibited PC cell growth by inducing G2 cell cycle arrest and arrested PC-3 xenograft growth. However, the therapeutic actions of G-1 and their relationships with androgen *in vivo* are unclear. Using the LNCaP xenograft to model PC growth during the androgen-sensitive (AS) versus the castration-resistant (CR) phase, we found that G-1 inhibited growth of CR but not AS tumors with no observable toxicity to the host. Substantial necrosis (approximately 65%) accompanied by marked intratumoral infiltration of neutrophils was observed only in CR tumors. Global transcriptome profiling of human genes identified 99 differentially expressed genes with 'interplay between innate and adaptive immune responses' as the top pathway. Quantitative PCR confirmed upregulation of neutrophil-related chemokines and inflammation-mediated cytokines only in the G-1-treated CR tumors. Expression of murine neutrophil-related cytokines also was elevated in these tumors. *GPR30* (*GP1B1*) expression was significantly higher in CR tumors than in AS tumors. In cell-based experiments, androgen repressed *GPR30* expression, a response reversible by anti-androgen or siRNA-induced androgen receptor silencing. Finally, in clinical specimens, 80% of CRPC metastases ($n=123$) expressed a high level of GPR30, whereas only 54% of the primary PCs ($n=232$) showed high GPR30 expression. Together, these results provide the first evidence, to our knowledge, that GPR30 is an androgen-repressed target and G-1 mediates the anti-tumor effect via

Key Words

- ▶ androgen deprivation therapy
- ▶ androgen-repressed gene
- ▶ metastases
- ▶ tumor-infiltrating neutrophils

neutrophil-infiltration-associated necrosis in CRPC. Additional studies are warranted to firmly establish GPR30 as a therapeutic target in CRPC.

Endocrine-Related Cancer
(2014) 21, 903–914

Introduction

Androgen ablation therapies are mainstay treatments for advanced prostate cancer (PC; Tannock *et al.* 2004, Higano *et al.* 2009, de Bono *et al.* 2011). Unfortunately, almost all patients ultimately fail to respond to these therapies and develop castration-resistant PC (CRPC) that grows in the presence of castration levels of circulating testosterone (de Bono *et al.* 2011). Although chemotherapy (docetaxel or cabazitaxel; Tannock *et al.* 2004, de Bono *et al.* 2010), immunotherapy (e.g. sipuleucel-T; Higano *et al.* 2009, Kantoff *et al.* 2010), or complete androgen blockade (e.g. abiraterone; de Bono *et al.* 2011) may extend the lives of some patients, these treatments all have documented side effects and a relatively short duration of response. Hence, the development of new CRPC therapies with durable efficacy and low toxicity is warranted.

Estrogens have a long history of efficacy for advanced PC (Oh 2002). Huggins & Hodges (2002) first reported the use of diethylstilbestrol for advanced PC in 1941. However, severe cardiovascular toxicity of oral estrogens limited their use in PC (Norman *et al.* 2008). The early efficacy of parenteral estrogen in recent studies (Schellhammer 2012, Langley *et al.* 2013) and especially the better toxicity profiles owing to hepatic bypass (Norman *et al.* 2008) reinvigorated interest in the use of estrogens as a therapy for PC. In addition to the suppression of testosterone effects by estrogens, estrogens are also directly cytotoxic to PC cells (Ho *et al.* 2011). The actions of parenteral estrogens are believed to be mediated by the classical estrogen receptors (ERs), ESR1 and ESR2. However, the exact effects of the two ERs and their isoforms on PC growth and metastases may vary according to cellular contexts (Claessens & Tilley 2014, Nelson *et al.* 2014). We have recently reported that G-1 (1(1-(4-(6-bromobenzo(1,3)dioxol-5-yl)-3a,4,5,9b-tetrahydro-3H-cyclopenta(c)quinolin-8-yl)-ethanone)), which selectively activates the third ER, G protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30 or GPER) (Bologa *et al.* 2006), inhibited the growth of multiple PC cell lines and PC-3 xenografts, and exerted few or no adverse effects on the animals (Chan *et al.* 2010). These results indicate that G-1, by targeting GPR30, might offer a new treatment option for PC.

GPR30 is structurally unrelated to the classical ERs (ESR1 and ESR2). It is a seven-transmembrane-domain receptor localized at the cell surface (Bologa *et al.* 2006, Funakoshi *et al.* 2006), endoplasmic reticulum (Thomas *et al.* 2005, Prossnitz *et al.* 2007, Otto *et al.* 2008), perinuclear compartment (Cheng *et al.* 2011), and nucleus (Madeo & Maggiolini 2010). The successful development of a highly selective non-steroidal agonist, G-1, for GPR30, provides a tool for studying the action of GPR30 independent of the actions mediated by ESR1 and ESR2 (Bologa *et al.* 2006, Blasko *et al.* 2009). Activation of GPR30 was found to play opposite roles in the regulation of the growth of various normal and neoplastic tissues, promoting growth of breast, endometrium, and ovarian tissues (Filardo *et al.* 2000, Vivacqua *et al.* 2006, Albanito *et al.* 2007, Pandey *et al.* 2009), but inhibiting growth of thymocytes, urothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle cells, and ER-positive breast cancer cells (Albanito *et al.* 2007). The dual action of GPR30 could be related in part to its differential activation of downstream mediators, including EGFR, PI3K, Erk1/2, cAMP, and intracellular Ca^{2+} (reviewed in Maggiolini & Picard (2010) and Prossnitz & Barton (2011)). We demonstrated that in PC cells, the activation of GPR30 by G-1 leads to growth inhibition via an ERK/p21-mediated cell cycle arrest at the G2 phase (Chan *et al.* 2010). In addition, we found that G-1 inhibited the growth of PC-3 xenografts that lack the androgen receptor (AR). Still unknown are the mode of action of G-1 *in vivo* and the potential link between its efficacy and androgen status in PC.

This study evaluated the efficacy of G-1 in inhibiting the growth of LNCaP xenografts during the androgen-sensitive (AS) or the castration-resistant (CR) phase. In this study, we report that G-1 inhibited the growth of the xenograft in castrated (low testosterone) animals but not in intact, androgen-supported animals (high testosterone). The G-1-induced growth inhibition in the CR xenograft was associated with massive necrosis, neutrophil infiltration, upregulation of a set of cell-mediated immune response genes, and enhanced expression of *GPR30* (*GPER1*). Results obtained from cell-based experiments revealed that *GPR30* is repressed by androgen,

whereas immunohistochemical results indicated a larger proportion of human CRPC metastases than primary PC express high GPR30 level. Collectively, these results provide support for targeting GPR30 with G-1 as a possible new approach for the treatment of CRPC.

Materials and methods

Human specimens

Human tissue microarrays were obtained from Massachusetts General Hospital (primary PC) and the University of Washington (metastatic CRPC). Samples were de-identified; only those with complete clinical information, follow-up data, and good tissue quality were included. The primary PC cohort comprised one specimen each from 232 patients with PC (i.e. 232 specimens) taken at prostatectomy (Leung *et al.* 2010). The metastatic CRPC cohort comprised patients who participated in the Rapid Autopsy Program during the period 1999–2006; it consisted of 123 CRPC specimens, including 75 bone (spine, ribs, pelvis, sternum, ischium, iliac, and sacrum), 29 lymph node, 14 liver, and five lung metastasis tissues from 24 patients. The use of the specimens was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board committees of the respective universities.

Cell culture and siRNA experiments

Human PC cell lines LNCaP and PC-3 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and passaged for less than 3 months after resuscitation. Both LNCaP and PC-3 were retro-authenticated by ATCC with short tandem repeat profiling (March 13, 2013) and confirmed to be the original cell line. LNCaP cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS; sodium pyruvate, 1 mmol/l; L-glutamine, 2 mmol/l; and D-glucose, 1.25 g/l. PC-3 cells were maintained in F-12K medium (ATCC) supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were cultured at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. For androgen treatment (R1881 and dihydrotestosterone (DHT)), LNCaP (2.5×10^5) and PC-3 (2×10^5) cells were seeded in phenol-red-free RPMI-1640 (with supplements) and F-12K media, respectively, supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped FBS. For drug treatment, drugs were added daily for 4 days, and the medium was changed every 2 days. For siRNA-AR (siAR) transfection, cells were replenished with 1.6 ml of fresh medium and 400 µl of siAR-DharmaFECT mixture (50 nM Stealth RNAi siAR, Invitrogen; DharmaFECT3 for LNCaP and DharmaFECT2

for PC-3 cells, Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA) the following day. At day 3, cells were recovered with the respective medium containing 10% charcoal-stripped FBS, and drugs were added daily for 4 days. Transfection was repeated on day 2 of drug treatment. At the end of the experiments, cells were collected for RNA extraction. For the transfection-negative control, cells were treated with DharmaFECT and siRNA-non-targeting (siNT, Dharmacon).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-sequencing and ChIP were carried out as described previously using an antibody to AR (ab74272, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA; Decker *et al.* 2012). The site-specific qPCR primers for the AR-binding site at the *GPER1* (*GPR30*) locus were as follows: forward, 5'-CTGGGACAACGTGAGCAGTAAG-3' and, reverse, 5'-CCAACTACTTTACCAGCCAGCA-3'. The primers for prostate-specific antigen (PSA (*KLK3*)) enhancer and control regions have been described previously (Zheng *et al.* 2013).

Microarray experiment and analysis

RNA was extracted from LNCaP xenografts with TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen); RNA extracts with integrity numbers of less than 8 (four animals in each group), as measured by Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA), were used for microarray analysis. The detailed microarray study is available in the [Supplementary Methods](#), see section on [supplementary data](#) given at the end of this article. The data are accessible through the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus Series accession number: GSE54974.

Xenograft study

In the first set of experiments, *GPR30* mRNA expression was compared in tumors grown before and after the castration of mice. Male athymic nude mice (4–6 weeks old, 20–25 g, Taconic, Hudson, NY, USA) each received a subcutaneous implant of a 2 cm-long silastic capsule containing ~15 mg testosterone (Sigma), while the animals were under general anesthesia using isoflurane. After 2 days, LNCaP cells (5×10^6 cells) in 150 µl of Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of mice, and the tumors that developed were measured twice weekly (Chan *et al.* 2010). When the tumors reached 150–300 mm³, mice were divided into two groups: intact and castrated animals. Tumors growing in the intact mice are referred

as AS tumors. For the castrated group, the silastic capsules were removed and mice were surgically castrated under general anesthesia using isoflurane. Tumors regressed and then regrew after castration (approximately 3 weeks post-castration); these tumors are referred as CR. AS or CR tumors at approximately 1000 mm³ were collected to determine the expression of *GPR30* mRNA.

In the second set of experiments, the therapeutic efficacy of G-1 on AS and CR tumors was evaluated and compared. LNCaP xenografts were developed as described in the first set of experiments. Both AS and CR tumors were enrolled when tumors reach approximately 300–400 mm³ in size. Mice received subcutaneous injections of a vehicle (95% PBS, 2.5% DMSO, and 2.5% ethanol; v/v) or G-1 (4 mg/kg) daily for 16 days. Tumors and body weight were measured twice weekly. Mice were killed and weighed after removal of the xenografts. The protocol for animal use was approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committee at the University of Cincinnati.

Serum enzyme assays

Serum obtained from mice was assayed for creatine kinase (CK), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), alanine transaminase (ALT), and aspartate transaminase (AST) using IDTox enzyme assay kits (ID Labs, London, ON, Canada) following the manufacturer's protocols.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase (Qiagen) and reverse-transcribed (Chan et al. 2010). Real-time PCR was carried out as described previously (Chan et al. 2010). Species-specific primer sequences are presented in [Supplementary Table S1](#), see section on [supplementary data](#) given at the end of this article. PCRs with SYBR GreenER PCR Master-Mix (Invitrogen) were monitored using the 7900HT Fast Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Individual mRNA levels were normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*).

Histopathology and immunohistochemistry analyses

Formalin-fixed xenograft samples were processed for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and subjected to histological examination for necrosis and inflammation; the thickness of the tumor capsule was determined by the surgical pathologist (J W). Analysis of paraffin-embedded human PC and LNCaP xenograft sections by immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed as described previously

(Leav et al. 2001). Antibodies and quantification of necrosis and markers are described in the [Supplementary Methods and Table S2](#), see section on [supplementary data](#) given at the end of this article.

For clinical specimens, GPR30 expression was graded independently by two investigators (H-M L and J W) in a blinded manner. Signal intensity (0–3) and percentage of signal coverage (0–100) of each section were scored, and the product of the intensity and coverage was represented as an *H*-score (0–300) (Huang et al. 2005). For the metastatic CRPC cohort, *H*-scores were an average of duplicated cores in a specified metastatic site of each patient. In all cases of bone metastases, two to three sites were acquired per patient and an average *H*-score was calculated. The distribution of the *H*-score showed bi-modal or multi-modal properties in the clinical data: 45% of the specimens showed *H*-scores of less than 100, approximately 32% of the specimens showed *H*-scores of 100–199 and 23% of the specimens amassed *H*-scores of 200–300. In this study, we used a dichotomous variable of *H*-score group (i.e. *H*-score of 100 or more versus less than 100) in the analysis to reduce possible bias due to the distribution of the original *H*-score and to improve the statistical power. In order to assess the sensitivity of using different definitions to the *H*-score variables, the same analysis was repeated after replacing the dichotomous variable with a three-level category variable (i.e. *H*-score 0–99 versus 100–199 versus 200–300) as well as the original *H*-score. Those results were found to be consistent with the results of the main analysis using the dichotomous variable presented in this study.

Statistical analyses

Numerical dependent variables were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and the *post hoc* Bonferroni tests to compare means if more than two groups were involved. *t*-tests were used if means of two groups were compared. Categorical dependent variables were compared among groups using χ^2 tests. All differences were considered significant when $P < 0.05$.

Results

G-1 inhibits growth and induces necrosis in CR tumors with no apparent toxicity to the host

We compared the inhibitory effect of G-1 on AS or CR tumors growing in intact or castrated (low testosterone) mice respectively. Administration of G-1 significantly

Figure 1

G-1 inhibited growth and induced necrosis in the castration-resistant tumors. G-1 inhibited growth of the CR tumor (bottom panel) but not the androgen-sensitive tumors (top panel). When LNCaP xenografts grew to 150 mm³, mice were divided into two groups: intact and castrated. Intact animals received subcutaneous injections of a vehicle (2.5% DMSO and 5% ethanol) or G-1 (4 mg/kg) daily for 16 days. For the castrated group, mice were castrated and, when the tumor re-emerged, they were treated with a vehicle or G-1 daily for 16 days. Error bars represent mean $\pm$ S.E.M., $n=6-8$ /group, * $P<0.05$.

inhibited the growth of CR tumors after 16 days of treatment ($P<0.05$, Fig. 1). Similar results were obtained in CR tumors including C4-2 and PC-3 (Supplementary Figure S3, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Massive necrosis and inflammation were observed only in the G-1-treated LNCaP CR tumors (in seven out of eight mice). Inflammation was attended by considerable neutrophil infiltration of the necrotic area as well as of the healthy area of these tumors (Fig. 2A). This intratumoral neutrophil infiltration was not observed in either vehicle-treated CR tumors or vehicle/G-1-treated AS tumors that displayed only ischemic necrotic foci with no inflammation/neutrophils (Fig. 2A). We did not examine T cells in this study because nude mice are deficient in these cells (Pelleitier & Montplaisir 1975).

B cells and macrophages were found exclusively in the intratumoral stroma and the tumor capsule, respectively, in all treatment groups (Supplementary Figure S1). Notably, G-1-induced necrosis occupied an average of 65% of the tumor volume ($P=0.0003$, Fig. 2B). Furthermore, G-1 significantly reduced the intratumoral microvessel density in CR tumors but not in AS tumors (Fig. 2C, left panel). No significant alteration in microvessel density was observed in the tumor capsule with G-1 treatment (Fig. 2C, right panel). In the viable area of the tumors, cell proliferation (Ki67 staining) remained relatively constant in the four treatment groups except for an increase of 10–20% when compared with vehicle-treated counterparts in Ki67-staining cells in G-1-treated CR tumors (Fig. 2D, left panel). G-1 induced a slight but significant increase in apoptosis (cleaved caspase-3 staining) in the CR tumors (Fig. 2D, right panel).

Our previous work had demonstrated that G-1 did not have general toxicity (on the basis of body weight and tissue histology) in the animals (Chan et al. 2010). In this study, we further report that G-1 did not induce any changes in body weight or cause functional damage to the heart or the liver in mice after 16 days of treatment with G-1, as indicated by the levels of injury biomarkers in the serum (CK and LDH for heart injuries; AST and ALT for liver injuries, Fig. 2E).

G-1 induced specific changes in gene expression exclusively in CR tumors

Global transcriptome profiling was performed on vehicle/G-1-treated AS and CR tumors (four groups of tumors, $n=4$). Overall, the profiling results identified 2446 differentially expressed genes among the four treatment groups (false discovery rate (FDR) <0.1 , $P<0.01$, $n=4$ per group). Unbiased hierarchical clustering analysis showed no significant differences in gene expression between the vehicle-treated and the G-1-treated AS tumors (Fig. 3, left side of heat map). However, this analysis identified two clusters of genes (a total of 1082) that were altered by G-1 exclusively in the CR tumors (Fig. 3, right side of heat map). Subsequent gene shaving using two additional criteria – $P<0.01$ and a difference of at least 1.5-fold between G-1-treated and vehicle-treated CR tumors – yielded a final set of 99 genes (Fig. 3A, gray panel). Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) of the 99 genes showed enrichment of the top biological pathway ‘antigen presentation, cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, and inflammatory response’, followed by ‘genetic disorder, neurological disease, and skeletal and muscular disorders’. Furthermore, the top canonical

Figure 2

G-1 induced massive necrosis and neutrophil infiltration in the CR tumors. (A) G-1 triggered massive necrosis in CR tumors. Tumor sections were stained with H&E, and the necrotic area was quantified as described in the [Supplementary Methods](#). (B) G-1 induced significant necrosis associated with massive inflammation, which in turn was associated with neutrophil infiltration, both surrounding the necrotic area and within the viable area, in CR tumors only. The yellow arrow represents massive inflammation. Magnification: 20× (H&E, upper panel), 200× (H&E, lower panel), 100× (neutrophil IHC, upper panel), and 200× (neutrophil IHC, lower panel). Scale bars represent 50 μm in all micrographs. (C) G-1 reduced the

microvessel area ratio in the intratumoral stromal region but not in the tumor capsule. Microvessel area ratio is calculated as the ratio of the microvessel area to the intratumoral stromal area or the capsule area. (D) Ki67 and cleaved caspase-3 staining of tumor cells was used to determine proliferation and apoptosis respectively. (E) G-1 did not induce toxicity in castrated mice as determined by the absence of changes in body weight (left panel) and in serum assays of organ damage marker enzymes (right panel). Error bars represent mean ± S.E.M., $n=6-8/\text{group}$, * $P<0.05$; NS, not significant; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

pathway identified in this specific set of G-1-associated genes is 'communication between innate and adaptive immune cells' ([Supplementary Table S3](#), see section on [supplementary data](#) given at the end of this article).

To focus on identifying molecular mediators of G-1-induced inflammation/neutrophil infiltration, we selected a set of genes from the 99-gene panel for confirmation based on a literature search showing their relatedness to cell-mediated immune responses. Quantitative real-time PCR analyses ($n=6$ per group) validated the upregulation of the expression of these genes in G-1-treated CR tumors but not in G-1-treated AS tumors when compared with their respective vehicle-treated controls. These include

four chemokine genes *CP*, *IL8* (*CXCL8*), *CCL2*, and *CXCL12*; three interferon-induced antiviral genes *IFIT2*, *IFIT3*, *IFIT4*; and *SOD2*, an important oxidative stress response gene ([Fig. 3B](#)). As human interleukin 8 (*IL8*) is a strong chemo-attractant for both human and mouse neutrophils ([Geiser et al. 1993](#), [Schneider et al. 2003](#)), we analyzed murine neutrophil-related cytokine genes using quantitative real-time PCR. Expression of murine genes involved in neutrophil movement, accumulation, adhesion, activation, and phagocytic respiratory burst, including *Il1b*, *Il6*, *Il18*, *Tnfa* (*Tnf*), *Cxcl12*, *Cxcl1*, *Cxcl3*, *S100a8*, *S100a9*, and *Cd14* ([Cacalano et al. 1994](#), [Leung et al. 2001](#), [Ryckman et al. 2003](#), [Harokopakis &](#)

Figure 3

G-1 induced unique changes in gene expression in castrated animals. (A) Heat map of hierarchically clustered differential gene expression in intact or castrated animals treated with a vehicle or G-1 blue, down-regulated; yellow, upregulated; $n=4$ per group. A scheme for gene selection for Ingenuity Pathway Analysis is shown. (B) Quantitative real-time PCR analyses of the G-1-induced human and mouse genes in

intact and castrated animals. Data were normalized to the levels of housekeeping genes: human-specific *GAPDH* (for human genes) or *ActB* (for mouse genes). Error bars represent mean $\pm$ S.E.M., $n=6$. * $P<0.05$ compared with intact-vehicle treatment and * $P<0.05$ compared with castrated-vehicle treatment. NS, not significant.

Hajishengallis 2005, Eash *et al.* 2010), was elevated by 1.8- to 50.9-fold in G-1-treated vs vehicle-treated CR tumors (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, the expression of human *IL1B* was not altered in CR tumors with G-1 treatment.

Androgen represses *GPR30* expression via AR, and castration increases *GPR30* expression

In an attempt to explain why G-1 inhibited growth only in an androgen-deprived environment, we determined the effect of androgen on *GPR30* expression. Androgen is the principal hormone regulating prostate function. Treatment of LNCaP cell cultures with R1881 (a synthetic androgen) or DHT (the physiologically active androgen) reduced the expression of *GPR30* mRNA; the effects of these androgens were abolished by cotreatment with bicalutamide, an AR antagonist, or by transduction of a siRNA against AR (Fig. 4A and B, upper panels). These responses were not observed in the AR-negative PC-3 cells (Fig. 4A and B, bottom panels). These results indicate that androgen represses *GPR30* expression via mechanisms involving the AR. Furthermore, ChIP-sequencing analyses of LNCaP cells revealed a strong AR-binding site approximately 3.5 kb downstream of the 3' end of the *GPR30* (*GPER1*) gene after androgen stimulation (Supplementary Figure S2, see section on supplementary data given at the

end of this article, upper panel). This AR-binding site on *GPR30* was further validated by an independent site-specific ChIP-qPCR analysis (Supplementary Figure S2, lower panel).

In the LNCaP xenograft model, expression of *GPR30* mRNA was significantly higher (approximately eightfold) in CR tumors grown in castrated mice than in AS tumors grown in intact mice (Fig. 4C). Expression of AR mRNA in CR tumors was increased by 1.8- to 4.6-fold when compared with that in AS tumors (data not shown). These results are in concordance with those from cell-based studies, indicating that *GPR30* expression is repressed by androgen via AR-mediated signaling.

GPR30 expression is higher in metastatic CRPC than in primary PC

We reasoned that *GPR30* in CRPC metastases needs to be expressed at significant levels before we can consider it as a new therapeutic target for CRPC. Hence, we used IHC to assess the level of *GPR30* expression in specimens obtained from two cohorts of patients. The first cohort included only primary cancers from specimens obtained at prostatectomy ($n=232$) and the second comprised CRPC metastases ($n=123$). We found that 80% of the metastatic CRPC specimens expressed high levels of *GPR30*, with an

Figure 4

Androgen suppressed GPR30 expression via AR. (A) Androgen (white bars, 0.1 and 1 nM) suppressed GPR30 expression, and suppression was reversed by bicalutamide (black bars) in AR-positive LNCaP cells but not in AR-negative PC-3 cells. Cells were treated with androgen in the presence or absence of bicalutamide for 4 days. Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) was a positive control for the androgen-stimulated AR response gene. (B) siAR abolished the androgen-suppressed GPR30 expression in LNCaP cells.

H-score of 100 or more when compared with 54% of primary PC specimens with an H-score of 100 or more ($P=0.001$) (Fig. 5).

GPR30 staining in PC was not correlated with age, the Gleason score of primary cancer, final PSA level, type of androgen deprivation therapy (ADT), or duration of ADT (Supplementary Table S4, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Interestingly, no difference in the H-scores of GPR30 was observed among the 75 bone metastases obtained from different locations (H-score approximately 162–165; pelvis/sternum/ischium/ilium/sacrum versus rib/limb versus spine; Supplementary Table S5).

Discussion

In this study, we determined that G-1, a GPR30 agonist, inhibited the growth of CR tumors but not during their

Error bars represent mean $\pm$ s.d. of three independent experiments, $**P<0.01$. (C) Castration upregulated GPR30 expression *in vivo*. RNA was extracted, and GPR30 expression of the LNCaP xenograft in intact mice (AS tumor, $n=9$) was compared with that after castration of mice (CR tumor, $n=9$). Relative mRNA expression was compared with that of intact mouse no. 1. AR, androgen receptor; D, dihydroxytestosterone; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; R, R1881; siNT, siRNA-non-targeting; siAR, siRNA-AR.

preceding AS growth phase, with no detectable toxicity to the host. The G-1-induced growth inhibitory response was manifested as massive necrosis attended by marked neutrophil infiltration in the affected tumors, associated with the activation of gene pathways involved in innate antitumor immunity. We also demonstrated that androgen suppressed GPR30 expression in an AR-dependent manner and that castration markedly upregulated its expression. Clinically, we observed an elevated prevalence of high levels of GPR30 in CRPC metastases when compared with that in primary PC. Taken together, these findings provide evidence for the effective preclinical targeting of GPR30 with G-1 for CRPC.

In this study, we aimed to examine the activation of GPR30 by G-1 in both an androgen-supported (intact) and an androgen-deprived (castrated) environment *in vivo*. We had previously demonstrated that G-1 inhibited growth in cell culture experiments and a hormone-independent PC-3

Figure 5

GPR30 staining in primary PC and CRPC metastases. A high level of GPR30 was detected in a larger proportion of metastatic CRPC specimens when compared with primary PC specimens.

xenograft in castrated hosts (Chan et al. 2010). This study further demonstrated the efficacy of G-1 in the LNCaP xenograft model, which recapitulates the natural history of PC progression from AS to CR. We found that, in the LNCaP

xenograft model, G-1 inhibited the growth of CR tumors but not AS tumors, indicating that the androgen deprivation may favor the anti-tumor action of G-1.

Histological examinations have indicated that G-1 induced massive tumor necrosis in the castrated mice and invasion of the viable region of G1-treated tumors by numerous tumor-infiltrating neutrophils (TINs). At the molecular level, upregulation of chemokine and inflammatory response genes, including *CP*, *IL8*, *CCL2*, *CXCL12*, and *IFITs*, were uncovered by transcriptome profiling and confirmed by qPCR. Thus, one hypothesis is that chemokines secreted by viable CRPC cells and/or additional tumor tissue remodeling factors stimulated by G-1 may direct the migration of neutrophils (illustrated in Fig. 6). Neutrophils have been implicated in tumor progression and antitumor response. Mild infiltration of neutrophils stimulates proliferation and metastasis in cancer (Gregory & Houghton 2011). However, high levels of TINs induce a destructive oncolytic response (Fu et al. 2011) and are associated with cytotoxicity and tumor regression (Di Carlo et al. 2001). Neutrophils produce cytotoxic mediators, including reactive oxygen species, proteases, membrane-perforating agents, and soluble cell-kill mediators (Di Carlo et al. 2001). Moderate or extensive

Figure 6

A schematic diagram showing G-1-induced innate antitumor response in castration-resistant LNCaP prostate cancer *in vivo*. For LNCaP xenografts in vehicle- or G-1-treated intact animals or vehicle-treated castrated animals, focal ischemic necrosis was detected in the tumor. However, in G-1-treated castrated animals, massive necrosis and neutrophil infiltration were detected in the necrotic area as well as within the viable area of the tumor.

(Box) In human xenografts, the levels of expression of human-specific chemokine and inflammatory response genes were increased; in the mouse stroma, the levels of expression of a panel of murine-specific neutrophil-related cytokine genes were elevated. In both intact and castrated animals, macrophages resided in the tumor capsule and B cells localized to the intratumoral stroma of the LNCaP xenograft.

levels of TINs are associated with reduced mortality in gastric cancer (Caruso *et al.* 2002), indicating that neutrophils are active in immunosurveillance against cancer. Key TIN-associated cell-kill mediators, including IL1 β and tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF α) (Di Carlo *et al.* 2001), were detected in the G-1-induced tumor necrosis. Previous results have indicated that transgenic expression of IL8 and TNF α in tumor cells elicited prominent neutrophil-mediated antitumor activity (Hirose *et al.* 1995, Musiani *et al.* 1996). In addition, ceruloplasmin (CP) produced by the CR tumor cells attracts neutrophils and enhances phagocytosis of neutrophils (Saenko *et al.* 1994). In contrast to the systemic upregulation of cytokines, which may pose a health hazard to immunocompromised patients with cancer, local and specific recruitment of neutrophils may provide a new approach to the targeted treatment of cancer (Hirose *et al.* 1995, Fu *et al.* 2011).

GPR30 expression has been reported to be upregulated by various growth factors, HIF1 α , and progesterone (Ahola *et al.* 2002, Albanito *et al.* 2008, Recchia *et al.* 2011, De Marco *et al.* 2013). However, only one report described a decrease in GPR30 expression after estrogen treatment in the human internal mammary artery (Haas *et al.* 2007). This estrogen-induced suppression of GPR30 was not detected in neurons (Jacobi *et al.* 2007), indicating that the regulation of GPR30 expression is cell-context-specific. In this study, we demonstrated for the first time that androgen, the principal hormone in the prostate, inhibited GPR30 expression that was dependent on AR. Interest has started to focus on the crosstalk between AR and ER signaling in PC (Yang *et al.* 2012, Claessens & Tilley 2014, Nelson *et al.* 2014). The goal of current treatments of CRPC is to maximally suppress androgen signaling, which may in turn remove the androgen suppression of GPR30 expression, resulting in a high level of GPR30 in late-stage CRPC. In this study, we provided convincing evidence that, in both a preclinical model and in human specimens, reduced androgen levels in CRPC enhanced GPR30 expression when compared with hormone-naïve PC. The wide expression and high levels of GPR30 may highlight an unprecedented opportunity to target this protein in clinical metastases of CRPC.

Existing therapies for CRPC offer limited gains in survival and trigger adverse effects; thus, attention has begun to focus on the sequence of application of these treatments (Higano & Crawford 2011). The current LNCaP model represents a subtype of CRPC in which G-1 induced intra-tumoral neutrophil infiltration associated with

tumor necrosis. Similarly, we reasoned that a subset of patients harboring CRPC may benefit from G-1 therapy if it is delivered before the patients receive chemotherapy, which can compromise neutrophil production. In light of the most recent CRPC that failed second-generation ADT (i.e. abiraterone acetate and MDV3100), whether or not the expression of GPR30 or the population of patients expressing high levels of GPR30 is increased upon resistance is a clinically interesting question with respect to the further exploration of GPR30 as a novel targeted therapy for late-stage CRPC. Importantly, in all the animal studies reported to date, G-1 did not induce adverse effects (Blasko *et al.* 2009, Dennis *et al.* 2009, Chan *et al.* 2010, Gao *et al.* 2011). G-1 toxicity to the functions of vital organs including heart and liver has been further proven to be undetectable in this study. One major concern regarding estrogen-related treatment in PC is the increase in the risk of venous thromboembolism (reviewed in Cox & Crawford (1995)). Although G-1 is a specific GPR30 agonist that has been shown not to bind ER α at a concentration up to 10 μ M (Bologa *et al.* 2006), definitive evidence for the absence of estrogen-mediated coagulopathy *in vivo* is required.

Our findings, taken together, indicated that G-1 effectively inhibited preclinical CRPC growth with a low risk of toxicity; underscoring that G-1 or other GPR30-specific agonists might serve as novel anticancer agents for CRPC that expresses GPR30. The upregulation of GPR30 expression after androgen ablation and the recruitment of neutrophils to the CR tumors are both indicative of a potentially important therapeutic window for G-1/GPR30-targeted therapy preferably under the conditions of a low or ultra-low androgen levels in CRPC before chemotherapy.

Supplementary data

This is linked to the online version of the paper at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/ERC-14-0402>.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

This work was supported by Veteran Affairs (Merit Award I01BX000675 to S-M Ho), the National Institutes of Health (grant numbers P30ES006096, U01ES019480, and U01ES020988 to S-M Ho and P50CA097186 Pacific Northwest SPORE Career Development Award to H-M Lam), and the Prostate Cancer Foundation (Young Investigator Award to H-M Lam).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Genomics, Epigenomics and Sequencing Core at the University of Cincinnati for Affymetrix microarray experiments, Ms Dan Song for her support during the immunohistochemistry study, Dr Yuet-Kin Leung for critical reading of the manuscript, and Ms Nancy K Voynow for her professional editing of this manuscript.

References

- Ahola TM, Purmonen S, Pennanen P, Zhuang YH, Tuohimaa P & Ylikomi T 2002 Progesterone upregulates G-protein-coupled receptor 30 in breast cancer cells. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **269** 2485–2490. (doi:10.1046/j.1432-1033.2002.02912.x)
- Albanito L, Madeo A, Lappano R, Vivacqua A, Rago V, Carpino A, Oprea TI, Prossnitz ER, Musti AM, Ando S et al. 2007 G protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30) mediates gene expression changes and growth response to 17 β -estradiol and selective GPR30 ligand G-1 in ovarian cancer cells. *Cancer Research* **67** 1859–1866. (doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2909)
- Albanito L, Sisci D, Aquila S, Brunelli E, Vivacqua A, Madeo A, Lappano R, Pandey DP, Picard D, Mauro L et al. 2008 Epidermal growth factor induces G protein-coupled receptor 30 expression in estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer cells. *Endocrinology* **149** 3799–3808. (doi:10.1210/en.2008-0117)
- Blasko E, Haskell CA, Leung S, Gualtieri G, Halks-Miller M, Mahmoudi M, Dennis MK, Prossnitz ER, Karpus WJ & Horuk R 2009 Beneficial role of the GPR30 agonist G-1 in an animal model of multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Neuroimmunology* **214** 67–77. (doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2009.06.023)
- Bologa CG, Revankar CM, Young SM, Edwards BS, Arterburn JB, Kiselyov AS, Parker MA, Tkachenko SE, Savchuck NP, Sklar LA et al. 2006 Virtual and biomolecular screening converge on a selective agonist for GPR30. *Nature Chemical Biology* **2** 207–212. (doi:10.1038/nchembio775)
- de Bono JS, Oudard S, Ozguroglu M, Hansen S, Machiels JP, Kocak I, Gravis G, Bodrogi I, Mackenzie MJ, Shen L et al. 2010 Prednisone plus cabazitaxel or mitoxantrone for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer progressing after docetaxel treatment: a randomised open-label trial. *Lancet* **376** 1147–1154. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61389-X)
- de Bono JS, Logothetis CJ, Molina A, Fizazi K, North S, Chu L, Chi KN, Jones RJ, Goodman OB Jr, Saad F et al. 2011 Abiraterone and increased survival in metastatic prostate cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* **364** 1995–2005. (doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1014618)
- Cacalano G, Lee J, Kikly K, Ryan AM, Pitts-Meek S, Hultgren B, Wood WI & Moore MW 1994 Neutrophil and B cell expansion in mice that lack the murine IL-8 receptor homolog. *Science* **265** 682–684. (doi:10.1126/science.8036519)
- Caruso RA, Bellocco R, Pagano M, Bertoli G, Rigoli L & Inferrera C 2002 Prognostic value of intratumoral neutrophils in advanced gastric carcinoma in a high-risk area in northern Italy. *Modern Pathology* **15** 831–837. (doi:10.1097/01.MP.0000020391.98998.6B)
- Chan QK, Lam HM, Ng CF, Lee AY, Chan ES, Ng HK, Ho SM & Lau KM 2010 Activation of GPR30 inhibits the growth of prostate cancer cells through sustained activation of Erk1/2, c-jun/c-fos-dependent upregulation of p21, and induction of G₂ cell-cycle arrest. *Cell Death and Differentiation* **17** 1511–1523. (doi:10.1038/cdd.2010.20)
- Cheng SB, Graeber CT, Quinn JA & Filardo EJ 2011 Retrograde transport of the transmembrane estrogen receptor, G-protein-coupled-receptor-30 (GPR30/GPER) from the plasma membrane towards the nucleus. *Steroids* **76** 892–896. (doi:10.1016/j.steroids.2011.02.018)
- Claessens F & Tilley W 2014 Androgen signaling and steroid receptor crosstalk in endocrine cancers. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **21** E3–E5. (doi:10.1530/ERC-14-0274)
- Cox RL & Crawford ED 1995 Estrogens in the treatment of prostate cancer. *Journal of Urology* **154** 1991–1998. (doi:10.1016/S0022-5347(01)66670-9)
- Decker KF, Zheng D, He Y, Bowman T, Edwards JR & Jia L 2012 Persistent androgen receptor-mediated transcription in castration-resistant prostate cancer under androgen-deprived conditions. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40** 10765–10779. (doi:10.1093/nar/gks888)
- De Marco P, Bartella V, Vivacqua A, Lappano R, Santolla MF, Morcavallo A, Pezzi V, Belfiore A & Maggiolini M 2013 Insulin-like growth factor-I regulates GPER expression and function in cancer cells. *Oncogene* **32** 678–688. (doi:10.1038/onc.2012.97)
- Dennis MK, Burai R, Ramesh C, Petrie WK, Alcon SN, Nayak TK, Bologa CG, Leitao A, Brailoiu E, Deliu E et al. 2009 *In vivo* effects of a GPR30 antagonist. *Nature Chemical Biology* **5** 421–427. (doi:10.1038/nchembio.168)
- Di Carlo E, Forni G, Lollini P, Colombo MP, Modesti A & Musiani P 2001 The intriguing role of polymorphonuclear neutrophils in antitumor reactions. *Blood* **97** 339–345. (doi:10.1182/blood.V97.2.339)
- Eash KJ, Greenbaum AM, Gopalan PK & Link DC 2010 CXCR2 and CXCR4 antagonistically regulate neutrophil trafficking from murine bone marrow. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **120** 2423–2431. (doi:10.1172/JCI41649)
- Filardo EJ, Quinn JA, Bland KI & Frackelton AR Jr 2000 Estrogen-induced activation of Erk-1 and Erk-2 requires the G protein-coupled receptor homolog, GPR30, and occurs via *trans*-activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor through release of HB-EGF. *Molecular Endocrinology* **14** 1649–1660. (doi:10.1210/mend.14.10.0532)
- Fu X, Tao L, Rivera A, Xu H & Zhang X 2011 Virotherapy induces massive infiltration of neutrophils in a subset of tumors defined by a strong endogenous interferon response activity. *Cancer Gene Therapy* **18** 785–794. (doi:10.1038/cgt.2011.46)
- Funakoshi T, Yanai A, Shinoda K, Kawano MM & Mizukami Y 2006 G protein-coupled receptor 30 is an estrogen receptor in the plasma membrane. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **346** 904–910. (doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.05.191)
- Gao F, Ma X, Ostmann AB & Das SK 2011 GPR30 activation opposes estrogen-dependent uterine growth via inhibition of stromal ERK1/2 and estrogen receptor alpha (ER α) phosphorylation signals. *Endocrinology* **152** 1434–1447. (doi:10.1210/en.2010-1368)
- Geiser T, Dewald B, Ehrengreuer MU, Clark-Lewis I & Baggiolini M 1993 The interleukin-8-related chemotactic cytokines GRO α , GRO β , and GRO γ activate human neutrophil and basophil leukocytes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **268** 15419–15424.
- Gregory AD & Houghton AM 2011 Tumor-associated neutrophils: new targets for cancer therapy. *Cancer Research* **71** 2411–2416. (doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-2583)
- Haas E, Meyer MR, Schurr U, Bhattacharya I, Minotti R, Nguyen HH, Heigl A, Lachat M, Genoni M & Barton M 2007 Differential effects of 17 β -estradiol on function and expression of estrogen receptor α , estrogen receptor β , and GPR30 in arteries and veins of patients with atherosclerosis. *Hypertension* **49** 1358–1363. (doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.107.089995)
- Harokopakis E & Hajishengallis G 2005 Integrin activation by bacterial fimbriae through a pathway involving CD14, Toll-like receptor 2, and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase. *European Journal of Immunology* **35** 1201–1210. (doi:10.1002/eji.200425883)
- Higano CS & Crawford ED 2011 New and emerging agents for the treatment of castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Urologic Oncology* **29** S1–S8. (doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2011.08.013)
- Higano CS, Schellhammer PF, Small EJ, Burch PA, Nemunaitis J, Yuh L, Provost N & Frohlich MW 2009 Integrated data from 2 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trials of active cellular immunotherapy with sipuleucel-T in advanced prostate cancer. *Cancer* **115** 3670–3679. (doi:10.1002/cncr.24429)
- Hirose K, Hakozaki M, Nyunoya Y, Kobayashi Y, Matsushita K, Takenouchi T, Mikata A, Mukaida N & Matsushima K 1995 Chemokine gene transfection into tumour cells reduced tumorigenicity in nude mice in association with neutrophilic infiltration. *British Journal of Cancer* **72** 708–714. (doi:10.1038/bjc.1995.398)

- Ho SM, Lee MT, Lam HM & Leung YK 2011 Estrogens and prostate cancer: etiology, mediators, prevention, and management. *Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics of North America* **40** 591–614. (doi:10.1016/j.ecl.2011.05.002)
- Huang HJ, Neven P, Drijkoningen M, Paridaens R, Wildiers H, Van Limbergen E, Berteloot P, Amant F, Vergote I & Christiaens MR 2005 Association between tumour characteristics and HER-2/neu by immunohistochemistry in 1362 women with primary operable breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Pathology* **58** 611–616. (doi:10.1136/jcp.2004.022772)
- Huggins C & Hodges CV 2002 Studies on prostatic cancer: I. The effect of castration, of estrogen and of androgen injection on serum phosphatases in metastatic carcinoma of the prostate. 1941. *Journal of Urology* **168** 9–12. (doi:10.1016/S0022-5347(05)64820-3)
- Jacobi JS, Martin C, Nava G, Jeziorski MC, Clapp C & Martinez de la EG 2007 17- β -estradiol directly regulates the expression of adrenergic receptors and kisspeptin/GPR54 system in GT1-7 GnRH neurons. *Neuroendocrinology* **86** 260–269. (doi:10.1159/000107770)
- Kantoff PW, Higano CS, Shore ND, Berger ER, Small EJ, Penson DF, Redfern CH, Ferrari AC, Dreicer R, Sims RB et al. 2010 Sipuleucel-T immunotherapy for castration-resistant prostate cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* **363** 411–422. (doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1001294)
- Langley RE, Cafferty FH, Alhasso AA, Rosen SD, Sundaram SK, Freeman SC, Pollock P, Jinks RC, Godsland IF, Kockelbergh R et al. 2013 Cardiovascular outcomes in patients with locally advanced and metastatic prostate cancer treated with luteinising-hormone-releasing-hormone agonists or transdermal oestrogen: the randomised, phase 2 MRC PATCH trial (PR09). *Lancet Oncology* **14** 306–316. (doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70025-1)
- Leav I, Lau KM, Adams JY, McNeal JE, Taplin ME, Wang J, Singh H & Ho SM 2001 Comparative studies of the estrogen receptors β and α and the androgen receptor in normal human prostate glands, dysplasia, and in primary and metastatic carcinoma. *American Journal of Pathology* **159** 79–92. (doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)61676-8)
- Leung BP, Culshaw S, Gracie JA, Hunter D, Canetti CA, Campbell C, Cunha F, Liew FY & McInnes IB 2001 A role for IL-18 in neutrophil activation. *Journal of Immunology* **167** 2879–2886. (doi:10.4049/jimmunol.167.5.2879)
- Leung YK, Lam HM, Wu S, Song D, Levin L, Cheng L, Wu CL & Ho SM 2010 Estrogen receptor β 2 and β 5 are associated with poor prognosis in prostate cancer, and promote cancer cell migration and invasion. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **17** 675–689. (doi:10.1677/ERC-09-0294)
- Madeo A & Maggiolini M 2010 Nuclear alternate estrogen receptor GPR30 mediates 17 β -estradiol-induced gene expression and migration in breast cancer-associated fibroblasts. *Cancer Research* **70** 6036–6046. (doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-0408)
- Maggiolini M & Picard D 2010 The unfolding stories of GPR30, a new membrane-bound estrogen receptor. *Journal of Endocrinology* **204** 105–114. (doi:10.1677/JOE-09-0242)
- Musiani P, Allione A, Modica A, Lollini PL, Giovarelli M, Cavallo F, Belardelli F, Forni G & Modesti A 1996 Role of neutrophils and lymphocytes in inhibition of a mouse mammary adenocarcinoma engineered to release IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, IL-10, IFN- α , IFN- γ , and TNF- α . *Laboratory Investigation* **74** 146–157.
- Nelson AW, Tilley WD, Neal DE & Carroll JS 2014 Estrogen receptor beta in prostate cancer: friend or foe? *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **21** T219–T234. (doi:10.1530/ERC-13-0508)
- Norman G, Dean ME, Langley RE, Hodges ZC, Ritchie G, Parmar MK, Sydes MR, Abel P & Eastwood AJ 2008 Parenteral oestrogen in the treatment of prostate cancer: a systematic review. *British Journal of Cancer* **98** 697–707. (doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604230)
- Oh WK 2002 The evolving role of estrogen therapy in prostate cancer. *Clinical Prostate Cancer* **1** 81–89. (doi:10.3816/CGC.2002.n.009)
- Otto C, Rohde-Schulz B, Schwarz G, Fuchs I, Klewer M, Brittain D, Langer G, Bader B, Prella K, Nubbemeyer R et al. 2008 G protein-coupled receptor 30 localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum and is not activated by estradiol. *Endocrinology* **149** 4846–4856. (doi:10.1210/en.2008-0269)
- Pandey DP, Lappano R, Albanito L, Madeo A, Maggiolini M & Picard D 2009 Estrogenic GPR30 signalling induces proliferation and migration of breast cancer cells through CTGF. *EMBO Journal* **28** 523–532. (doi:10.1038/emboj.2008.304)
- Pelletier M & Montplaisir S 1975 The nude mouse: a model of deficient T-cell function. *Methods and Achievements in Experimental Pathology* **7** 149–166.
- Prossnitz ER & Barton M 2011 The G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor GPER in health and disease. *Nature Reviews. Endocrinology* **7** 715–726. (doi:10.1038/nrendo.2011.122)
- Prossnitz ER, Arterburn JB & Sklar LA 2007 GPR30: a G protein-coupled receptor for estrogen. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **265** 138–142. (doi:10.1016/j.mce.2006.12.010)
- Recchia AG, De Francesco EM, Vivacqua A, Sisci D, Panno ML, Ando S & Maggiolini M 2011 The G protein-coupled receptor 30 is up-regulated by hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α (HIF-1 α) in breast cancer cells and cardiomyocytes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **286** 10773–10782. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.172247)
- Ryckman C, Vandal K, Rouleau P, Talbot M & Tessier PA 2003 Proinflammatory activities of S100: proteins S100A8, S100A9, and S100A8/A9 induce neutrophil chemotaxis and adhesion. *Journal of Immunology* **170** 3233–3242. (doi:10.4049/jimmunol.170.6.3233)
- Saenko EL, Skorobogat'ko OV, Tarasenko P, Romashko V, Zhuravetz L, Zadorozhnaya L, Senjuk OF & Yaropolov AI 1994 Modulatory effects of ceruloplasmin on lymphocytes, neutrophils and monocytes of patients with altered immune status. *Immunological Investigations* **23** 99–114. (doi:10.3109/08820139409087792)
- Schaider H, Oka M, Bogenrieder T, Nesbit M, Satyamoorthy K, Berking C, Matsushima K & Herlyn M 2003 Differential response of primary and metastatic melanomas to neutrophils attracted by IL-8. *International Journal of Cancer* **103** 335–343. (doi:10.1002/ijc.10775)
- Schellhammer P 2012 Life after failure of traditional androgen deprivation therapy. *Urologic Oncology* **30** S10–S14. (doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2012.01.009)
- Tannock IF, de Wit R, Berry WR, Horti J, Pluzanska A, Chi KN, Oudard S, Theodore C, James ND, Turesson I et al. 2004 Docetaxel plus prednisone or mitoxantrone plus prednisone for advanced prostate cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* **351** 1502–1512. (doi:10.1056/NEJMoa040720)
- Thomas P, Pang Y, Filardo EJ & Dong J 2005 Identity of an estrogen membrane receptor coupled to a G protein in human breast cancer cells. *Endocrinology* **146** 624–632. (doi:10.1210/en.2004-1064)
- Vivacqua A, Bonfiglio D, Recchia AG, Musti AM, Picard D, Ando S & Maggiolini M 2006 The G protein-coupled receptor GPR30 mediates the proliferative effects induced by 17 β -estradiol and hydroxytamoxifen in endometrial cancer cells. *Molecular Endocrinology* **20** 631–646. (doi:10.1210/me.2005-0280)
- Yang L, Ravindranathan P, Ramanan M, Kapur P, Hammes SR, Hsieh JT & Raj GV 2012 Central role for PELP1 in nonandrogenic activation of the androgen receptor in prostate cancer. *Molecular Endocrinology* **26** 550–561. (doi:10.1210/me.2011-1101)
- Zheng D, Decker KF, Zhou T, Chen J, Qi Z, Jacobs K, Weillbaeher KN, Corey E, Long F & Jia L 2013 Role of WNT7B-induced noncanonical pathway in advanced prostate cancer. *Molecular Cancer Research* **11** 482–493. (doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-12-0520)

Received in final form 30 September 2014

Accepted 6 October 2014

Made available online as an Accepted Preprint
6 October 2014