Is IGSF1 involved in human pituitary tumor formation?
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Abstract

IGSF1 is a membrane glycoprotein highly expressed in the anterior pituitary. Pathogenic mutations in the IGSF1 gene (on Xq26.2) are associated with X-linked central hypothyroidism and testicular enlargement in males. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that IGSF1 is involved in the development of pituitary tumors, especially those that produce growth hormone (GH). IGSF1 was sequenced in 21 patients with gigantism or acromegaly and 92 healthy individuals. Expression studies with a candidate pathogenic IGSF1 variant were carried out in transfected cells and immunohistochemistry for IGSF1 was performed in the sections of GH-producing adenomas, familial somatomammotroph hyperplasia, and in normal pituitary. We identified the sequence variant p.N604T, which in silico analysis suggested could affect IGSF1 function, in two male patients and one female with somatomammotroph hyperplasia from the same family. Of 60 female controls, two carried the same variant and seven were heterozygous for other variants. Immunohistochemistry showed increased IGSF1 staining in the GH-producing tumor from the patient with the IGSF1 p.N604T variant compared with a GH-producing adenoma from a patient negative for any IGSF1 variants and with normal control pituitary tissue. The IGSF1 gene appears polymorphic in the general population. A potentially pathogenic variant identified in the germline of three patients with gigantism from the same family (regressing with the disease) was also detected in two healthy female controls.
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Variations in IGSF1 expression in pituitary tissue in patients with or without IGSF1 germline mutations point to the need for further studies of IGSF1 action in pituitary adenoma formation.

Introduction

Gigantism, a rare condition that causes abnormal growth in children, often has a genetic etiology. Indeed, cases running in a family were first reported in the late nineteenth century (de Herder 2012). Growth hormone (GH)-secreting pituitary adenoma and/or hyperplasia are the main causes of gigantism in childhood. These lesions are much more common in adults and have an annual incidence of ~3/1,000,000 and a prevalence of about 60/1,000,000 (Ezzat et al. 2004).

IGSF1 is a plasma membrane glycoprotein encoded by the ‘Ig superfamily, member 1’ (IGSF1) gene, located on Xq26.2. This gene is conserved in mammals and is expressed with transcripts of different lengths in many tissues, including muscle, heart, brain, testis, and pancreas (Frattini et al. 1998). A previous study by Sun et al. (2012) demonstrated that IGSF1 is highly expressed in the Rathke’s pouch and the adult anterior pituitary in humans. IGSF1 deficiency has been linked to congenital central hypothyroidism (CCH), hypoprolactinemia, delayed puberty, testicular enlargement, increased body weight, and GH deficiency (Sun et al. 2012, Joustra et al. 2013, Nakamura et al. 2013, Tajima et al. 2013), which is mainly observed in males, as expected from an X-linked genetic defect. In Igsf1-knockout mice, a decrease in pituitary and circulating thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) was observed, most probably secondary to impaired thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) receptor expression and signaling (Sun et al. 2012).

Based on the recent work from Sun et al. (2012), we have investigated IGSF1 germline variations in patients with gigantism and/or familial acromegaly from the NIH data registry and in healthy controls. We also tested the expression of IGSF1 in GH-producing adenomas. Although our data do not prove a definitive link between pituitary tumor formation and IGSF1, the variation in its pituitary expression and the high number of polymorphisms suggests that IGSF1 should be studied further as a possible modifier of somatomammotropinoma formation and/or their clinical expression.

Materials and methods

Subjects & protocol

The IGSF1 gene was screened for germline mutations in 21 patients (seven females and 14 males; one female and two males from the same family) with gigantism or acromegaly and in 92 previously described controls (100% white Americans, 60 females, and 32 males) with a negative family history of endocrine disorders (Horvath et al. 2009). All patients were previously reported (Stratakis et al. 2010, Glasker et al. 2011). Gigantism or acromegaly were diagnosed based on established criteria (Cook et al. 2004): high IGF1 levels according to age and sex and serum GH concentration >1 ng/ml after a 2-h 75 g oral glucose tolerance test in an appropriate clinical context, and pituitary macro- (>10 mm) or micro- (<10 mm) adenomas or pituitary hyperplasia in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) imaging. Leukocyte DNA was isolated from each patient. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the participating institutions.

IGSF1 sequencing analysis

DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leucocytes according to manufacturer’s protocols (Qiagen). For all patients and controls, the complete IGSF1-coding and flanking intronic sequence was analyzed, as described previously (Faucz et al. 2011) using the primers and conditions described in Supplementary Table 1 (see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article).

In silico analyses

The Sorting Intolerant from Tolerant (SIFT) (http://sift.bii.a-star.edu.sg/), the Align-GVGD (Grantham Variation Grantham Deviation) (http://agvgd.iarc.fr/), and PolyPhen-2 (Polymorphism Phenotyping v2) (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/) software packages were used to predict the pathogenic potential of the identified missense variants in IGSF1.
**Cell cultures**

GH3 cells (rat somatotroph pituitary cell line) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with high glucose (4500 mg/l), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin (100 IU/ml), and streptomycin (100 mg/ml) in a humidified atmosphere at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. HEK293 cells were cultured as described previously (Sun et al. 2012).

**Transfection and ELISA**

The p.N604T (c.1811A>C, rs146462069, NM_001170961.1) IGSF1 mutation was introduced by overlapping PCR in a PCMV6 IGSF1 gene open-reading frame plasmid (ORIGENE, Rockville, MD, USA; cat#209621) or by site-directed mutagenesis as described in Sun et al. (2012). Approximately, 1×10⁵ GH3 cells were plated per well in 12-well cluster dishes overnight (37 °C), washed, and replenished with Opti-MEM. GH3 cell lines were transiently transfected by electroporation using the Basic Nucleofector Kit for Primary Mammalian Endothelial Cells (Lanza, Basel, Switzerland; cat#VCA-1001) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The cells were transfected with plasmid DNA (6 μg), expressing either the WT or the variant form of IGSF1 and harvested 48 h after the transfection. The supernatant from GH3 transfected cells was analyzed for GH expression levels using the rat/mouse GH ELISA Kit (cat# EZRGMH-45K; Millipore, St Charles, MO, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Pulse-chase analysis (metabolic labeling)**

Metabolic labeling studies were performed as described by Rejon et al. (2013). Briefly, 1×10⁶ HEK293 cells were seeded in 35 mm dishes and transiently transfected the following day with 2 μg HA-tagged WT or p.N604T variant IGSF1 expression vector using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer’s instructions. The cells were cultured in methionine and cysteine-free DMEM (supplemented with 4 mM glutamine) for 3 h at 37 °C. The culture medium was then additionally supplemented with 198 μCi [³⁵S] methionine/[³⁵S] cysteine per ml for 15 min. The cells were washed twice with warm PBS and then incubated in DMEM/10% FBS supplemented with 2 mM methionine, 2 mM cysteine, and 4 mM glutamine. Following 2, 4, 8, or 24 h at 37 °C cells were lysed for 15 min, on ice, in 200 μl lysis buffer (PBS with 0.5% deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and Complete Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablets, Roche). At the various time points cells were lysed after 15 min incubation with [³⁵S] methionine/[³⁵S] cysteine. Protein extracts were centrifuged for 15 min at 16 000 g to remove insoluble material. Ten microliter of supernatant was saved to assess the effectiveness of the labeling (‘total’) and the remaining immunoprecipitate (IP) with EZ-view Red anti-HA affinity gel, according to the instructions of the manufacturer (Sigma). The gel-bound proteins were eluted by adding 16 μl of 2× loading buffer containing β-mercaptoethanol and boiling for 5 min at 95 °C minimum. The proteins were resolved by SDS–PAGE (8% Tris–Glycine) and visualized by autoradiography.

**Membrane trafficking analyses**

Membrane transport of WT and p.N604T variant forms of IGSF1 was assessed by immunofluorescence or cell-surface biotinylation of transiently transfected HEK293 cells, as described previously (Nakamura et al. 2013, Sun et al. 2012).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Human pituitary tissue used for immunostaining was obtained during surgery, formalin-fixed, and paraffin-embedded, and sections (5 μm) were mounted onto 3-aminopropyl-triethoxylsilane coated slides (Sigma Chemical, Co.). Routine staining with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E, Histoserv, Inc, Germantown, MD, USA) was performed on several sections across each sample. Unstained slides were used for immunostaining. The procedure is outlined as follows: the sections were deparaffinized with Histoclear (National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA, USA) and rehydrated with a graded series of ethanol (absolute, 95, 70, and 50% ethanol and distilled water), followed by antigen retrieval, which was performed by boiling the tissue sections in the Antigen Unmasking Solution (pH 6; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) for 20 min in a pressure cooker; the sections were then allowed to cool to room temperature (20 min). The sections were then allowed to cool to room temperature (20 min). The sections were immunostained using the antibody against IGSF1 (rabbit anti-human diluted 1:1000, Abcam ab66509, Cambridge, MA, USA). Immunostaining was identified by colorimetric staining using the ImmPRESS Polymer Detection Kit (Rabbit; Vector Laboratories) and counterstained with hematoxylin. Briefly, once sections had cooled to room temperature following antigen retrieval, sections were blocked in 0.3% (v/v) H₂O₂ (Sigma) made in methanol for 30 min. After a wash with Tris-buffered Saline (TBS, pH 7.4; diluted...
to 1 x from a 10 x stock, Quality Biologicals, Inc., Gaithesburg, MD) containing 0.01% Tween 20 (Life Technologies) (TBS-T; 1 x 15 min) sections were blocked for 1 h at room temperature using 2.5% normal horse serum (provided with the ImmPRESS Polymer Detection Kit). The tissue sections were then incubated with primary antibody made in blocking serum, in a humidified chamber overnight at 4 °C. They were subsequently washed with TBS-T (3 x 5 min) and incubated for 30 min at room temperature with the ImmPRESS reagent, followed by another washing step in TBS-T (3 x 5 min). They were incubated with counterstain ImmPACT DAB peroxidase substrate (Vector Laboratories), as per manufacturer’s instructions. The sections were then counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin, mounted, and coverslips applied with VectaMount AQ Aqueous Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories), or mounted without counterstaining.

Homology model

Homology models of the 6th Ig loop in IGSF1 with Asn or Thr at position 604 were generated using Phyre2 (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/) and Quark (http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/QUARK/). Figures of the resulting models were generated using PyMol (http://pymol.org/).

Statistical analyses

Data are described as frequencies and percentages, mean ± standard deviation or median (inter-quartile range), as appropriate, and were analyzed using SAS v9.1 (SAS, Inc, Cary, NC, USA). All experiments were repeated at least three times. Categorical data were compared using the Fisher’s exact test. A P value ≤ 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

We identified a nonsynonymous genetic IGSF1 variant (p.N604T, c.1811A > C, rs146462069) in two males and one female (from the same family – a mother and two sons) (Glasker et al. 2011). All three patients showed evidence of central hypothyroidism; however, they had either pituitary somatomammotroph tumors and/or hyperplasia or were seen in our hospital after they had their first operation. Eighteen additional unrelated patients were negative for the variant. All patients have been described previously (Stratakis et al. 2010, Glasker et al. 2011). The minor allele frequency of this variant is 0.01 (http://www.1000genomes.org/). In silico analysis predicted this variant as possibly damaging. Of the 60 healthy female controls, two carried this variant and seven carried various other nonsynonymous variants (one nonsense, one frameshift, and six missense, Table 1). The variant was not seen in any of the 32 healthy males studied.

To investigate whether the p.N604T variant could be related to gigantism in our patients, we examined its impact on IGSF1 protein function. Transfection of GH3 cells with the p.N604T IGSF1 variant did not significantly affect GH production compared with cells transfected with WT IGSF1 (P = 0.1561, data not shown). Metabolic studies showed that both the WT and mutant proteins exhibit the same pattern of maturation and stability when expressed in heterologous cells (see Supplementary Figure 1, see section Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome X position (in hg19)</th>
<th>DNA change</th>
<th>Protein change</th>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>SNP id</th>
<th>Domains</th>
<th>SIFT</th>
<th>Polyphen</th>
<th>Align-GVGD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130,420,579</td>
<td>c.70C&gt;T</td>
<td>p.R24W</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>COSM1465661</td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Tolerated</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Probably damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130,419,882</td>
<td>c.238A&gt;G</td>
<td>p.I80V</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td>NTD</td>
<td>Tolerated</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Benign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130,419,793</td>
<td>c.327G&gt;T</td>
<td>p.W109C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td>NTD</td>
<td>Tolerated</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Probably damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130,417,192</td>
<td>c.714G&gt;A</td>
<td>p.M238I</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td>NTD</td>
<td>Tolerated</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130,412,078</td>
<td>c.2091dupC</td>
<td>p.Thr698Hisfs*24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Tolerated</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Probably damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130,408,107</td>
<td>c.3844delC</td>
<td>p.V1282X</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Tolerated</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Probably damaging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTD, C-terminal domain; GVGD, grantham variation/grantham deviation; NTD, N-terminal domain.

*All DNA changes were found in females in heterozygosity.
on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Both proteins were detected in the plasma membrane by immunofluorescence (Figure 1A) or cell surface biotinylation (Fig. 1B). By western blotting analysis, the WT and p.N604T proteins were indistinguishable (Fig. 1B, lower panels, lanes 2 and 3).

Asn604 maps to Ig loop 6 (of a total of 12) in the IGSF1 protein. IGSF1 is co-translationally cleaved into N-terminal domains (NTD) and C-terminal domains (CTD) respectively. Ig loop 6 is at the N-terminus of the CTD. We generated a homology model of Ig loop 6 and mapped Asn604 to a solvent-exposed surface (Fig. 2). Although no IGSF1 interacting partners have yet been identified, it is possible that the Asn 604 Thr substitution could affect a protein–protein interaction surface.

Finally, immunohistochemical analysis of our patient’s pituitary tumors carrying the IGSF1 variant showed increased IGSF1 staining compared with normal pituitary control or a GH-producing adenoma from a patient without the IGSF1 variant (Fig. 3). Three additional GH-producing adenomas from patients with acromegaly and no IGSF1 variants showed a similar pattern to that of the tumor shown in Figure 3 (data not shown).

**Discussion**

We identified a hemizygous/heterozygous IGSF1 variant (p.N604T) segregating with gigantism in three patients in a family. Mutations in this gene were recently linked with CCH both in humans and mice (Sun et al. 2012, Nakamura et al. 2013, Tajima et al. 2013), perhaps secondary to loss of...
TRH receptor expression and signaling in the pituitary (Sun et al. 2012). Considering its involvement in pituitary function and particularly in abnormal TSH secretion, as well as its demonstrated expression in murine somatotrope cells, we examined whether IGSF1 plays a causative role in our cohort of patients with gigantism or early-onset acromegaly.

Sequencing analysis of our 21 patients revealed an IGSF1-sequencing variant that we also observed in two female heterozygous controls. To date, 14 pathogenic mutations have been described in the IGSF1 gene (Sun et al. 2012, Nakamura et al. 2013, Tajima et al. 2013), all impair either protein maturation or membrane trafficking. Among these mutations, two are complete gene deletions and the remaining 12 are located in the extracellular portion of the CTD, an indication that this may represent an important functional domain of the protein.

Eight different variants (one nonsense, one frameshift, and six missense) were identified in our control group; all in females. We would expect that if the nonsense and frameshift mutations were carried by males, they might lead to some IGSF1 deficiency phenotypes, as CCH and several of the other clinical features of IGSF1 deficiency syndrome. Among the missense variants, two (p.W109C and p.N604T) were predicted as damaging by two programs and the remaining four (p.R24W, p.I80V, p.M238I and p.G716E) were predicted as benign by at least two programs. At a minimum, these observations reveal considerable polymorphism in this gene, including variants that could impair protein function.

A difference in the expression of IGSF1 in human pituitary was also noted; IGSF1 was intensely expressed in the tumor from one of the hemizygous (male) patients carrying the IGSF1 variant compared with patients with GH-producing adenomas without an IGSF1 variant or with a normal pituitary tissue. However, we did not observe differences in GH secretion from GH3 cells engineered to express the p.N604T variant versus WT IGSF1, indicating that the variant is not sufficient to directly affect GH production and secretion.

Previously described pathogenic IGSF1 mutations affect the maturation and plasma membrane trafficking of the protein’s CTD. However, we failed to detect any effect of the p.N604T IGSF1 variant on protein expression, maturation, stability, or membrane trafficking in heterologous cells. Therefore, if this variant alters IGSF1 function, it is likely through its extracellular activities. Given the prediction that the modified residue is solvent exposed and modifies the surface charge in the 6th Ig loop (N-terminus of the CTD), it is tempting to speculate that the variant might alter IGSF1’s interaction with an extracellular partner. However, this possibility must await the identification of extracellular ligands for the protein.

Although the sequence variant detected in our patients does not appear to affect GH levels, it may be acting as a modifier in GH and prolactin production and secretion. This is supported by the observation that the same variant was also found in a Finnish family with apparent X-linked delayed puberty, the index male case showing tall stature (197 cm) and hyperprolactinemia with normal brain MRI (Joustra et al. 2014).

In conclusion, the IGSF1 gene is polymorphic in the general population. The variant identified in three of our patients with gigantism or early-onset acromegaly may impair IGSF1 function and contribute to the clinical phenotype. Further studies are needed to elucidate the functional consequences of this and other IGSF1 mutations in pituitary biology and disease.
patients with gigantism was also detected in apparently healthy female controls. It is also possible that the variant-bearing allele is in linkage disequilibrium with the actual genetic cause of the phenotype in our family (Trivellin et al. 2014). Indeed, the apparent increase in pituitary IGSF1 expression in patients with an IGSF1 germline variant may indicate that IGSF1, while not playing a causative role in pituitary tumor development, could act synergistically in tumor development. Note that following the acceptance of this paper, our laboratory identified the genetic defect cosegregating with one of the described IGSF1 variants (c.1811A>C) (Trivellin et al. 2014). As this paper indicates, we believe that the phenotype of the family carrying the defect identified by Trivellin et al. (2014) and first described by Glasker et al. (2011) is indeed modified by this potentially functional IGSF1 variant.
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