Networks regulating ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like proteins promise new therapeutic targets

Deborah J Marsh

Hormones and Cancer Group, Kolling Institute of Medical Research, Royal North Shore Hospital, University of Sydney, St Leonards, Sydney, New South Wales 2065, Australia

Correspondence should be addressed to D J Marsh

Email
deborah.marsh@sydney.edu.au

The 2004 Nobel Prize in Chemistry jointly awarded to Aaron Ciechanover, Avram Hershko and Irwin Rose celebrated the significance of their discovery that proteins were 'labelled for destruction' through recognition of a controlled molecular 'kiss of death', the addition of a chain of the 8.5 kDa (76 amino acid) protein ubiquitin. Degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway involves polyubiquitination of substrate proteins followed by proteolytic degradation by the macromolecular 26S proteasome complex. Key cancer-associated proteins whose levels are tightly controlled by the ubiquitin-proteasome include p53, p27, cyclins and BCL2 family members. The enzymes involved in conjugation and deconjugation of ubiquitin to protein substrates include an activating ATP-dependent ubiquitin enzyme (E1), a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2), ubiquitin-protein ligases (E3s) that often form multi-component complexes key for substrate recognition, and deubiquitinases (DUBs) that cleave ubiquitin from protein substrates. In humans, there are just a few E1 enzymes, around 40 E2 enzymes, over 500 E3 ligases (most commonly RING and HECT domain E3s) and around 100 DUBs, the majority belonging to the ubiquitin-specific protease (USP) sub-family (Lipkowitz & Weissman 2011, Budhidarmo *et al.* 2012, Jacq *et al.* 2013). These enzymes have major regulatory roles in normal cellular processes, both within and independently of the ubiquitin-proteasome, including DNA repair, maintaining genomic stability and transcription. Aberrant expression of a number of DUBs and E3s has been linked to cancer (Lipkowitz & Weissman 2011, Clague *et al.* 2013). As a consequence, many of these enzymes are generating extensive interest as targets for the treatment of cancer.

In his review in this special issue of *Endocrine-Related Cancer* on Ubiquitination and Cancer, Johnson 2015 describes how aberrant expression of oncogenes and/or tumour suppressors can disrupt normal cellular processes such as cell cycle progression or apoptosis. Inhibiting proteasomal degradation of proteins that may help to kill cancer cells is a strategy that has led to the development and use of first and now second generation proteasome inhibitors. Bortezomib (Velcade) was, in 2008, the first proteasome inhibitor approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of multiple myeloma, with the second generation inhibitor Carfilzomib (Kyprolis) approved in 2012 for treatment of the same malignancy (reviewed in Shen *et al.* (2013)). FDA approval has also been given to use these drugs for the treatment of mantle cell lymphoma. Thus far, these drugs have shown considerable clinical benefit, although not without significant adverse toxicity and issues of acquired resistance. With lessons learnt from the treatment of haematological malignancies, proteasome inhibitors are now being explored for the treatment of solid tumours. Johnson 2015 describes emerging clinical studies of a number of proteasome inhibitors using mono- or combinatorial strategies (Bortezomib, Carfilzomib, MLN9708, Delanzomib, Marizomib and Oprozomib) to treat solid tumours including breast, colorectal, pancreatic, head and neck, hepatocellular and prostate cancers, as well as melanoma, small and non-small cell lung carcinomas and renal cell cancers.

An alternative strategy to inhibiting the proteasome is to move upstream to target the regulatory network of enzymatic reactions directing conjugation and deconjugation of ubiquitin to protein substrates. This approach

offers the opportunity of achieving greater specificity with the hope of less adverse toxicity. Both Cole *et al.* (2015) and Pfoh *et al.* (2015), published in this issue, discuss a number of cancer-associated E3s and DUBs. Inhibiting the DUB USP7 is garnering extensive interest, largely due to its effect on p53. USP7 deubiquitinates the p53 E3 ligase HDM2, preventing its degradation via the proteasome, thus facilitating polyubiquitination of p53 leading to proteasomal degradation of this tumour suppressor. Restoration of functional WT p53 could therefore conceivably be achieved through inhibition of USP7. Pre-clinical studies of USP7 inhibitors, including the small molecule inhibitor P5091, have shown promise in models of multiple myeloma where this inhibitor has been shown to overcome bortezomib resistance (Chauhan *et al.* 2012). Other USP7 substrates include PTEN, FOXO4 and PRC1/INK4a (Nicholson & Suresh Kumar 2011). The effect of USP7 inhibition in the context of mutant TP53, including *bona fide* gain-of-function mutations that stabilise the p53 protein, remains to be determined. Numerous other DUBs have been associated with cancer, including USP22 that is part of an 11-gene 'Death-from-Cancer' signature that predicts metastatic tumour behaviour, poor response to therapy and rapid recurrence across multiple different solid tumours (Glinsky *et al.* 2005). As proteases, DUBs are realistic drug targets and are predicted to generate extensive opportunities for future development of novel cancer therapeutics.

While the importance of protein ubiquitination was discovered in the context of polyubiquitination, the addition of a single ubiquitin to specific protein substrates, known as monoubiquitination, has also been shown to have critical cellular functions. An example of this is monoubiquitination of the Fanconi Anemia protein FANCD2 in response to DNA damage that promotes homologous recombination repair of DNA double strand breaks (Nakanishi *et al.* 2005). The review by Cole *et al.* focusses on another significant example of monoubiquitination, specifically monoubiquitination of histone H2B at lysine 120 (H2Bub1), referred to as a master switch for mammalian gene regulation. Post-translational histone modifications (methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination etc.) are fundamental in shaping the chromatin landscape, with key E3s referred to as chromatin writers and DUBs as chromatin erasers, all influencing the accessibility of chromatin for the purposes of transcription and DNA repair (Braun & Madhani 2012). H2Bub1 has significant roles in transcription, DNA damage response and stem cell differentiation. Nine DUBs, including USP7 and USP22, have to date been

shown to regulate deubiquitination of H2Bub1, with the main E3 ligase being the ring finger complex RNF20/RNF40. The BRCA1/BARD1 complex has also been shown to function as an E3 ligase for H2Bub1. Loss of H2Bub1 leads to closed chromatin, inhibiting access by transcription factors and DNA repair proteins. Global loss of H2Bub1 has been reported in advanced malignancies, including breast, colorectal, lung and parathyroid cancers. The ubiquitin ligation machinery regulating H2Bub1 levels in malignancy is being considered for the development of targeted therapies.

Ubiquitin-like proteins, such as NEDD8-reviewed in this issue by Abidi & Xirodimas (2015), show high homology to ubiquitin and use similar sets of enzymatic processes. Targets of NEDDylation include the family of cullin proteins that are scaffold proteins with roles in regulation of the cell cycle, DNA repair, cytoskeletal dynamics and the hypoxic response. NEDD8 inhibitors are currently being developed and the first-in-class small molecule inhibitor of NEDD8 activating enzyme (NAE) MLN4924 has entered clinical trials for the treatment of adults with non-haematological malignancies. Issues of acquired resistance are being monitored, as well as consideration of combinatorial strategies, including radiation and chemotherapies.

As therapies targeting ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like pathways for solid tumours begin to move into the clinic, they are benefitting from strong past and ongoing discoveries that are elucidating these complex networks and the proteins they regulate, both as part of, and independently from, the proteasome. These discoveries will be key to overcoming the development of resistance and minimising adverse side effects of these new targeted cancer therapies.

Declaration of interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of this editorial.

Funding

D J Marsh is an Australian Research Council Future Fellow (FT100100489) and National Health and Medical Research Council Senior Research Fellowship (APP1004799).

References

- Abidi N & Xirodimas DP 2015 Regulation of cancer-related pathways by protein NEDDylation and strategies for the use of NEDD8 inhibitors in the clinic. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **22** T55–T70. (doi:10.1530/ERC-14-0315)

- Braun S & Madhani HD 2012 Shaping the landscape: mechanistic consequences of ubiquitin modification of chromatin. *EMBO Reports* **13** 619–630. (doi:10.1038/embor.2012.78)
- Budhidarmo R, Nakatani Y & Day CL 2012 RINGs hold the key to ubiquitin transfer. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **37** 58–65. (doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2011.11.001)
- Chauhan D, Tian Z, Nicholson B, Kumar KG, Zhou B, Carrasco R, McDermott JL, Leach CA, Fulciniti M, Kodrasov MP *et al.* 2012 A small molecule inhibitor of ubiquitin-specific protease-7 induces apoptosis in multiple myeloma cells and overcomes bortezomib resistance. *Cancer Cell* **22** 345–358. (doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2012.08.007)
- Clague MJ, Barsukov I, Coulson JM, Liu H, Rigden DJ & Urbe S 2013 Deubiquitylases from genes to organism. *Physiological Reviews* **93** 1289–1315. (doi:10.1152/physrev.00002.2013)
- Cole AJ, Clifton-Bligh R & Marsh DJ 2015 Histone H2B monoubiquitination: roles to play in human malignancy. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **22** T19–T33. (doi:10.1530/ERC-14-0185)
- Glinsky GV, Berezovska O & Glinskii AB 2005 Microarray analysis identifies a death-from-cancer signature predicting therapy failure in patients with multiple types of cancer. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **115** 1503–1521. (doi:10.1172/JCI23412)
- Jacq X, Kemp M, Martin NM & Jackson SP 2013 Deubiquitylating enzymes and DNA damage response pathways. *Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics* **67** 25–43. (doi:10.1007/s12013-013-9635-3)
- Johnson DE 2015 The ubiquitin–proteasome system: opportunities for therapeutic intervention in solid tumors. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **22** T1–T17. (doi:10.1530/ERC-14-0005)
- Lipkowitz S & Weissman AM 2011 RINGs of good and evil: RING finger ubiquitin ligases at the crossroads of tumour suppression and oncogenesis. *Nature Reviews. Cancer* **11** 629–643. (doi:10.1038/nrc3120)
- Nakanishi K, Yang YG, Pierce AJ, Taniguchi T, Digweed M, D'Andrea AD, Wang ZQ & Jasin M 2005 Human Fanconi anemia monoubiquitination pathway promotes homologous DNA repair. *PNAS* **102** 1110–1115. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0407796102)
- Nicholson B & Suresh Kumar KG 2011 The multifaceted roles of USP7: new therapeutic opportunities. *Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics* **60** 61–68. (doi:10.1007/s12013-011-9185-5)
- Pfoh R, Lacdao IK & Saridakis V 2015 Deubiquitinases and the new therapeutic opportunities offered to cancer. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* **22** T35–T54. (doi:10.1530/ERC-14-0516)
- Shen M, Schmitt S, Buac D & Dou QP 2013 Targeting the ubiquitin–proteasome system for cancer therapy. *Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Targets* **17** 1091–1108. (doi:10.1517/14728222.2013.815728)

Received in final form 23 December 2014

Accepted 23 December 2014