Hyperglycaemia-induced resistance to Docetaxel is negated by metformin: a role for IGFBP-2
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Abstract

The incidence of many common cancers varies between different populations and appears to be affected by a Western lifestyle. Highly proliferative malignant cells require sufficient levels of nutrients for their anabolic activity. Therefore, targeting genes and pathways involved in metabolic pathways could yield future therapeutics. A common pathway implicated in energetic and nutritional requirements of a cell is the LKB1/AMPK pathway. Metformin is a widely studied anti-diabetic drug, which improves glycaemia in patients with type 2 diabetes by targeting this pathway. We investigated the effect of metformin on prostate cancer cell lines and evaluated its mechanism of action using DU145, LNCaP, PC3 and VCaP prostate cancer cell lines. Trypan blue dye-exclusion assay was used to assess levels of cell death. Western immunoblotting was used to determine the abundance of proteins. Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-2 (IGFBP-2) and AMPK genes were silenced using siRNA. Effects on cell morphology were visualised using microscopy. IGFBP-2 gene expression was assessed using real-time RT-PCR. With DU145 and LNCaP cells metformin alone induced cell death, but this was reduced in hyperglycaemic conditions. Hyperglycaemia also reduced the sensitivity to Docetaxel, but this was countered by co-treatment with metformin. LKB1 was required for the activation of AMPK but was not essential to mediate the induction of cell death. An alternative pathway by which metformin exerted its action was through downregulation of IGFBP-2 in DU145 and LNCaP cells, independently of AMPK. This finding could have important implications in relation to therapeutic strategies in prostate cancer patients presenting with diabetes.

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common non-cutaneous cancer in men, and it accounts for the second highest cause of mortality among men in the US after lung cancer (Siegel et al. 2012).

The global obesity and associated diabetes epidemics have been linked with an increase in the development and/or progression of a number of cancers. In general, epidemiology has revealed an inverse correlation
between diabetes and risk of developing PCA considered to potentially be due to the insulin resistance occurring in diabetes being accompanied by reduced levels of testosterone that is one of the main drivers for PCA progression. Men with diabetes are however at a greater risk of mortality from prostate cancer (Bonovas et al. 2004, Kasper & Giovannucci 2006). Therefore, new ways are needed to improve response to current treatment and to decrease mortality associated with diabetes and PCa. There is currently a lot of interest in metformin, a drug commonly used to treat diabetes mellitus, that became a standard treatment after other members of the biguanides family, phenformin and buformin, which were discontinued due to increased risk of lactic acidosis (Kolata 1979, Bailey & Turner 1996, Witters 2001).

Beneficial effects of metformin have been described with an apparent decrease in the incidence of several cancers. Patients with diabetes had a significantly lower risk of developing colon cancer with metformin use (Tseng 2012). Metformin use has also been associated with reduced prevalence of breast (Libby et al. 2009) and pancreatic cancers (Currie et al. 2009). Studies of men with localised prostate cancer showed that the use of metformin was associated with an improvement in cancer-specific survival and a decrease in the transition from androgen-sensitive to castration-resistant PCa (CRPC) (Spratt et al. 2013). Other studies have also suggested a beneficial effect of metformin use in patients with diabetes in relation to cancer incidence (Murtola et al. 2008, Wright & Stanford 2009) and improved survival in prostate cancer patients (He et al. 2011).

The anticancer effects of metformin could be mediated by a canonical pathway involving the activation of AMPK-activated protein kinase (AMPK). In response to low energy levels or cellular stress, a conformational change is induced in the AMPKα catalytic subunit to phosphorylation of threonine residue (Thr-172) by liver kinase B1 (LKB1/STK11) (Shackelford & Shaw 2009). Activated AMPK can then control cell growth and proliferation by regulating the activity of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) (Wullschleger et al. 2006). This results in an inhibition of protein synthesis and proliferation. Whether the presence of LKB1 is required for the actions of metformin are however not clear. In some studies, metformin was unable to inhibit cell growth in LKB1-negative HeLa cells but did reduce growth in LKB1-positive breast cancer (MCF7) and normal breast epithelial cells (MCF10a) or in prostate cancer cells (PC3) (Zakikhani et al. 2006). In contrast to this study, Algire and coworkers showed that metformin was able to reduce tumour growth induced by a high-fat diet and high glucose regardless of LKB1 expression in vivo (Algire et al. 2011).

In our study, we present data showing that metformin can act in an LKB1-AMPK-independent way to induce prostate cancer cell death and counter hyperglycaemia-induced chemoresistance and that these effects involve the regulation of IGFBP-2.

Materials and methods
Reagents
All chemicals, unless otherwise stated, were purchased from Millipore. All siRNAs were purchased from Qiagen and the transfection reagent (SR-1003-04) from Synvolux Therapeutics (Groningen, The Netherlands). Foetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Invitrogen, DMEM-25 mM glucose (BF-709) and DMEM-5 mM glucose (BF-708), RPMI-1640, penicillin–streptomycin solution and l-glutamine were bought from Lonza (Basel, Switzerland). Human, recombinant non-glycosylated IGFBP-2 was obtained from GroPep (Thebarton, Australia).

Cell culture and imaging
Prostate cancer cell lines: androgen-independent DU145, PC3 and androgen-dependent LNCaP and VCaP were purchased from ATCC. DU145, PC3 and VCaP cells were grown in DMEM growth media supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin–streptomycin (50IU/mL) and 1% l-glutamine solution (2 mM), and LNCaP cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 growth media supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics. Cells were maintained in a humidified 5% carbon dioxide atmosphere at 37°C. For all experiments, cell lines were seeded in 5 mM glucose growth media (GM) for 24 h and next transferred to serum-free media (SFM) containing either 5 mM or 25 mM glucose, supplemented with sodium bicarbonate (1 mg/mL), bovine serum albumin (0.2 mg/mL) and transferrin (0.01 mg/mL). After a further 24 h, the cells were dosed with Docetaxel (0–60 nM), Metformin (0–10 mM) and Compound C (2 μM) according to the respective figure legends. Dead cells were assessed using trypan blue cell counting as described previously (Thomas et al. 2010). Images were taken with a 10x objective on Zeiss Axiovert microscope using Moticam 5.0MP camera (Hong Kong, China).
Silencing AMPKα and IGFBP-2 using siRNA technology

Cells were seeded in 24-well or 6-well plates with cell a density of 0.04 × 10⁶ cells/well and 0.3 × 10⁶ cells/well respectively, in 5 mM glucose GM in the presence or absence of AMPKα1 siRNA (target sequence 5′-CCGAAGTCAGACCAACCGTA-3′), AMPKα2 siRNA (target sequence 5′-CCCCAGATATTCTGACACAA-3′) and IGFBP-2 siRNA (target sequence CCCCGAGCA GGTTCGACAA) at a concentration of 75 nM for AMPK and 25 nM for IGFBP-2 or with a random sequence negative control siRNA (NSsiRNA). The next day GM was switched to SFM for a further 24 h before dosing with drugs of interest for another 24 h. Cell death was assessed as described in Thomas et al. (2010), and IGFBP-2 and AMPK abundance were monitored using Western immunoblotting.

Western immunoblotting

Equal amount of protein lysates estimated by BCA protein assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 23225) or equal volume of concentrated cell conditioned media were separated on a 8–12% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to Hybond N+ nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham, RPN119B). Non-specific binding sites on the membranes were blocked for a minimum of 2 h with 5% w/v milk or 3% w/v bovine serum albumin (BSA) for non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated proteins respectively in Tris-buffered saline (TBS)/2% v/v Tween (TBS/T) before overnight (4°C) probing with antiserum against phospho-AMPKα (Thr172) (1:1000: Cell Signalling 2535), LKB1 (1:1000 Santa Cruz sc-32245), IGFBP-2 (1:1000: Santa Cruz sc-6001), GAPDH (1:5000 Millipore MAB 374), tubulin (1:5000: Thermo Fisher Scientific, MZ05829) or β-actin (1:10,000 Sigma-Aldrich A5441). Secondary anti-goat antibody was used at 1:2000 dilutions for IGFBP-2, anti-rabbit for Phospho-AMPKα at 1:2000 dilution or anti-mouse antibody (1:5000) for LKB1, tubulin, β-actin or GAPDH. Peroxidase binding was visualised by enhanced chemiluminescence and detected using ChemiDoc XRS+ System and analysed using Image Lab Software (BioRad, 170-8265). Western immunoblots were quantified using BioRad Quantity One 4.6.5 1-D Analysis Software.

Quantitative RT PCR

Total RNA from cells seeded at 1 × 10⁶ cells and cultured in T25 flasks were extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 2 μg of total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis with random hexamers. Real-time PCR was carried out using Green JumpStart SYBR (Sigma, H5041) and an ABI StepOne Plus Realtime PCR System (Applied Biosystems, 4376600). Reactions were run in duplicate in three independent experiments. Expression data were normalised to the geometric mean of a housekeeping gene (18S) to control the variability in expression levels and were analysed using the 2−△△CT method. PCR primers were designed using OligoPerfect online software from Qiagen under consideration of the special design criteria for real-time RT-PCR primers, spanning the junction between exons. IGFBP-2 primers for PCR were used with the following sequences: forward 5′-CCTCAAGTCGGGTATGAAGG-3′ and reverse 5′-ACCTGGTCCAGTTCCTGTTG-3′ (primer size 162 bp). 18S primers with the following sequences were used for normalization: forward 5′-GATGAGTGTTGGGACCCCA-3′ and reverse 5′-TGAGATAACACTTCAAGCATAACTAAAGGT-3′ (primer size 140 bp) (both purchased from Thermo Scientific). Melt curves were performed for each RT-PCR analysis to ensure that no non-specific amplification was occurring (data not shown).

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed with SPSS 13.0 for Windows using one-way ANOVA followed by least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test. A statistically significant difference was considered to be present at P<0.05.

Results

Effects of metformin on cell death of PCa cells

The effect of metformin (1–10 mM) was examined in DU145, LNCaP, PC3 and VCaP PCa cells cultured in either euglycaemic (5 mM) or hyperglycaemic (25 mM) conditions (Fig. 1). With DU145, we found that metformin was able to induce a dose-dependent increase in cell death under euglycaemic conditions compared with hyperglycaemic conditions where cell death was not significantly affected by any dose of metformin. A statistically significant difference between glucose conditions was observed at 5 mM metformin: cell death was only increased by 4.2% (1.8-fold) in high glucose, compared with a 22.8% (4.8-fold) increase under euglycaemic conditions (Fig. 1A). A similar effect of metformin was seen in LNCaP cells, where we also observed an inability of metformin to induce cell death under hyperglycaemic conditions,
whereas a dose-dependent increase in cell death could be seen under euglycaemic conditions. A 5 mM dose of metformin was able to induce a 20% (2.6 fold) increase of cell death in 5 mM glucose, compared with a 5.5% (1.4-fold) increase in hyperglycaemic conditions (Fig. 1B).

In contrast to the increased cell death observed in response to metformin treatment under euglycaemic conditions with DU145 and LNCaP cells, we found a moderate effect on cell death in PC3 and VCaP cells in response to metformin under either normal or high levels of glucose (Fig. 1C, D). Differences in the response to metformin in normal or high glucose conditions were observed at a dose of 5 mM with DU145 and LNCaP cells, but no significant differences in response to metformin were observed in either PC3 or VCaP cells.

Figure 2A shows that treatment with metformin (2.5–7.5 mM) induced a significant reduction in DU145 cell number with cells becoming rounded and detached from the surface of the culture dish when cultured in 5 mM glucose conditions, but no such effect was observed in 25 mM glucose media. LNCaP cells responded similarly to increased concentrations of metformin, and a dose-dependent reduction in cell growth in normal glucose conditions was observed but not in high glucose-containing media (Fig. 2B). With VCaP cells, this pattern was observed only in the presence of 7.5 mM metformin in 5 mM glucose but not in 25 mM glucose (Fig. 2C). With PC3 cells, no differences in morphology were observed consistent with no effect of metformin on the induction of cell death (Fig. 2D).

We have published previously that hyperglycaemia confers survival against Docetaxel-induced cell death in DU145 and LNCaP but not PC3 PCa cell lines (Biernacka et al. 2013). Epidemiology studies have reported that patients taking metformin have decreased cancer incidence and/or improved cancer prognosis (Murtola et al. 2008, Wright & Stanford 2009, He et al. 2011). We then assessed the effect of metformin treatment.
in addition to Docetaxel. With DU145, we confirmed that hyperglycaemia conferred survival in response to Docetaxel: 4.2% (2.8-fold) increase in cell death in 5 mM vs 1.8% (1.8-fold) increase in 25 mM glucose conditions and to metformin alone: 5.9% (3.6-fold) in normal glucose in comparison to 1.9% (1.8-fold) increase in high glucose, but in combination, high glucose could no longer confer a protective effect against Docetaxel, and there was a synergistic increase in cell death in both levels of glucose. Docetaxel plus metformin treatment was effective in both glucose conditions and induced a 15.7% (7.6-fold) and 14.4% (7.3-fold) increase in cell death in 5 and 25 mM glucose respectively (Fig. 3A). A similar pattern was observed with LNCaP cells (Fig. 3B) where Docetaxel elevated the levels of dead cells by 20% (2.8-fold) in 5 mM glucose and only by 3.7% (1.3-fold) in 25 mM glucose and metformin alone induced a 38% (4.5-fold) increase in cell death in normal glucose compared with 11% (1.3-fold) in high glucose, whereas both drugs resulted in an additive effect of 44% (5-fold) and 37% (3.9-fold) elevated level of dead cells in 5 mM and 25 mM glucose conditions respectively. As described previously, there was no difference in response of PC3 and VCaP cells to a single treatment with Docetaxel or metformin with either normal or high levels of glucose. The combination treatment as anticipated did not induce any additional effect in relation to cell death (Fig. 3C and D).

Having observed the beneficial effect of metformin on chemotherapy-induced cell death negating the effect of high glucose, we investigated the mechanism underlying these responses. It has previously been reported that metformin downregulates the levels of IGF-IR in prostate
cancer cells (Malaguarnera et al. 2014, Kato et al. 2015), but we assessed the abundance of IGF-IR by Western blotting and measured the levels of IGF-I and IGF-II by radioimmunoassay, and none of these variables were altered in the DU145 or LNCaP cells in these experiments (data not shown). Metformin activates AMPK, a central energy sensor of the cell, and this can be mediated by a separate kinase LKB1 (Zakikhani et al. 2006). We screened DU145, LNCaP, PC3 and VCaP PCa cell lines for LKB1 abundance in cell lysates. We could detect LKB1 protein in all cell lines except DU145 cells (Fig. 4A). Having identified the presence/absence of LKB1, we investigated the impact of metformin on the phosphorylation of its direct target – AMPK (Fig. 4B). As anticipated, with DU145, we did not observe the activation of AMPK in the presence of metformin due to the lack of LKB1. Interestingly, there was even a decrease in P-AMPK (Fig. 4B) in 5 mM glucose compared with no effect in 25 mM glucose conditions. We then examined PC3, LNCaP and VCaP cells, which are LKB1 positive and found that metformin could dose dependently activate AMPK in each of these cell lines in both glucose conditions (Fig. 4C, D and E respectively).

We previously published that the protective effect of hyperglycaemia in response to Docetaxel was mediated by IGFBP-2 (Biernacka et al. 2013). As we had observed a similar protective effect of high glucose against
metformin-induced cell death in DU145 and LNCaP cells, we then examined the influence of metformin on IGFBP-2. With both DU145 and LNCaP PCa cell lines, metformin was able to decrease mRNA levels of the IGFBP-2 gene (Fig. 5A respectively). This decrease in gene expression resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in IGFBP-2 protein from whole cell lysates (Fig. 5B) as well as secreted into the conditioned media (Fig. 5C). The densitometry graphs show a statistically significant reduction in IGFBP-2 adjusted to loading control with a set dose of metformin (5 mM) compared with control in either euglycaemic or hyperglycaemic conditions (Fig. 5B and C inserts). As previously stated, in PC3 and VCaP cells, we did not observe any additional benefit of metformin in combination with chemotherapy. Levels of IGFBP-2 in PC3 cells are almost undetectable (Fig. 5D) and therefore we used VCaP cells as a negative control in investigating the associations between IGFBP-2 and metformin.
We confirmed no change in mRNA level of the IGFBP-2 gene in either 5 or 25 mM glucose conditions (Fig. 5E), no change in IGFBP-2 protein from whole cell lysates (Fig. 5F) or conditioned media (Fig. 5G). Having observed the beneficial effect of metformin in relation to elevated levels of cell death and its impact on IGFBP-2 protein and gene expression, we then assessed the effect of adding exogenous IGFBP-2, to counter the metformin-induced decrease, on metformin-induced cell death. Addition of exogenous IGFBP-2 significantly inhibited metformin (5 mM)-induced cell death of DU145 cells by 31.5% and 20.7% with 5 and 7.5 mM metformin respectively (Fig. 6). Having implicated IGFBP-2 in the effects of metformin on DU145 cells that lack LKB1, we then investigated the effect of metformin on LNCaP cells that unlike DU145 cells express LKB1. With LNCaP cells, metformin had
the ability to phosphorylate AMPK (Fig. 4D) and was able to decrease IGFBP-2 gene and protein expression (Fig. 5). We then inhibited AMPK using Compound C (CC) but still observed a significant reduction in IGFBP-2 gene expression in LNCaP cells treated with metformin in both glucose conditions (Fig. 7A) indicating that, as in the DU145 cells, the effect of metformin on IGFBP-2 expression was independent of AMPK. Successful inhibition of phosphorylation of AMPK with CC was confirmed by Western immunoblotting (Fig. 7A, insert). Furthermore, silencing both catalytic subunits AMPK α1 and α2 with siRNA did not abrogate metformin-induced inhibition of IGFBP-2 gene expression (Fig. 7B). Metformin could still reduce IGFBP-2 gene expression despite silencing AMPK suggesting an AMPK-independent mechanism of metformin action. Successful knockdown of AMPK was illustrated by Western immunoblotting (Fig. 7B, insert). Consistent with this metformin could still reduce IGFBP-2 levels even in the presence of the AMPK inhibitor, Compound C (Supplementary Fig. 1A, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article).

Having established that the impact of metformin on IGFBP-2 occurred independently of AMPK, we then examined whether the survival effect of high glucose was still present in LNCaP cells treated with Docetaxel, despite having silenced AMPK (Fig. 7C). With LNCaP, hyperglycaemia conferred resistance to Docetaxel in the presence of AMPK (12.4% difference between normal and high glucose level media) or with AMPK silenced (difference reduced to 6.4%, which was still significant).

In contrast, silencing IGFBP-2 negated high glucose-mediated survival of LNCaP cells treated with Docetaxel or metformin alone. In high glucose conditions, when IGFBP-2 expression was enhanced neither Docetaxel nor metformin could induce cell death, but with IGFBP-2 expression silenced, both could induce cell death, but there was no additive effect with the combination of metformin and Docetaxel (Fig. 7D).

Furthermore, the presence of the AMPK inhibitor, Compound C did not impact on the survival effect of high glucose in LNCaP cells treated with Docetaxel or metformin suggesting that AMPK is not a predominant pathway of metformin action (Supplementary Fig. 1B). Western blot shows that despite the small effect of CC in increasing the abundance of IGFBP-2, the opposite effect of metformin on IGFBP-2 protein was more robust (Supplementary Fig. 1A).

Discussion

During recent years, there has been an increasing amount of evidence suggesting that diabetes is associated with increased incidence and/or progression of cancer and correlates with poor prognosis and survival for patients suffering from pancreatic (Everhart & Wright 1995, Huxley et al. 2005), colon (Larsson et al. 2005), kidney (Larsson & Wolk 2011) or breast cancer (Larsson et al. 2007). Meta-analysis of population-based studies, however, implied an opposite finding with prostate cancer, with diabetes acting as a protective factor against the risk of developing PCas (Kasper & Giovannucci 2006). A positive association between testosterone and prostate cancer was previously observed (Gann et al. 1996). The fact that diabetes and insulin-resistance decreases the levels of testosterone may relate this a negative association of PCa and T2D (Meyer et al. 2010). Diabetes is often accompanied with co-morbid conditions like obesity. Observational studies confirmed that obesity contributes to an increased aggressiveness of prostate cancer (Rodriguez et al. 2007, Su et al. 2011, Capitanio et al. 2012).

These data clearly suggest a negative impact of impaired metabolic status on prostate cancer progression. Our previous study evaluated the effects of elevated glucose levels on the ability of prostate cancer cell lines to respond to chemotherapy. Hyperglycaemia inhibited the efficacy of Docetaxel in inducing apoptosis of DU145 and LNCaP but not PC3 cell lines (Biernacka et al. 2013).

A common drug used to improve insulin resistance-associated glycaemic disturbances is metformin. This study investigated the effect of metformin on chemotherapy-induced cell death of prostate cancer cell lines and suggests a novel underlying mechanism. With DU145 and LNCaP
PCa cells, we observed increased cell death in response to metformin alone in euglycaemic conditions, and the effect was reduced in hyperglycaemic conditions. In contrast, metformin has less of an effect on PC3 or VCaP cells, and hyperglycaemia was also without effect on the response of these cells. These data are consistent with other in vitro studies where metformin dose dependently (1 mM and 5 mM) decreased the ability LNCaP and DU145 cells to form colonies in soft agar (Ben Sahra et al. 2008). The same study indicated that LNCaP xenografts in diabetic mice treated with metformin had significantly reduced tumour growth (Ben Sahra et al. 2008). Colquhoun and coworkers used PC3 cells and with similar doses of metformin and found that metformin did not inhibit colony survival: these results are in keeping with our data showing that PC3 cells are much less sensitive to metformin treatment under euglycaemic and hyperglycaemic conditions (Colquhoun et al. 2012). Metformin absorption in human hepatocytes is facilitated by an organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) (Shu et al. 2007). However, PC3 cells have abundant levels of OCT1 (Robles et al. 2002); therefore, this reduced sensitivity to metformin was clearly not...
limited by the uptake of metformin into PC3 cells. On examining the impact of metformin on the response of PCa cells to chemotherapy, we found that with DU145 cells, the hyperglycaemia-induced reduction in response to Docetaxel or metformin alone was abolished in the presence of both drugs and a similar pattern was observed in LNCaP cells. Interestingly, the combined treatment also stimulated an increase in cell death in both 5 mM and 25 mM glucose conditions. This suggests a beneficial effect of metformin usage for patients with normal or disturbed metabolic conditions such as diabetes. Epidemiological data indicate that prostate cancer patients who also suffer from diabetes have better outcomes when their diabetes is being treated with metformin (Wright & Stanford 2009, Morden et al. 2011). This indicates that Docetaxel and metformin together could markedly benefit PCa patients with or without co-existing diabetes. Interestingly, a study of 1734 men after radical prostatectomy or radiotherapy for localised PCa showed that out of ‘ever’ metformin users (n=366), 143 (21%) patients taking metformin before and after diagnosis had decreased risk of prostate cancer biochemical recurrence (HR 0.55, 95% CI 0.31–0.96). In addition, when examining prostate needle biopsies from a subgroup, the use of metformin was associated with higher cytoplasmic staining of IGF-1R in men with PCa (Winters et al. 2015). This suggested that use of metformin could modify the IGF signalling pathways and potentially influence the development of PCa.

There is an increasing interest in understanding how metformin exerts its antineoplastic activity. It was most commonly thought that metformin acted via activation of AMPK, which is a central energy-sensing system of the cell, and that it did this via a separate kinase, LKB1 (Zhuang & Miskimins 2008). Once activated, AMPK inactivates a number of anabolic enzymes (such as fatty acid synthase; FASN) involved in ATP-consuming events such as fatty acid and protein synthesis and activates ATP-generating pathways like fatty acid oxidation (Foretz et al. 1998, Algire et al. 2010). Activated AMPK can control cell growth and proliferation by inhibiting mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) activity (Wullschleger et al. 2006). This results in an inhibition of proteins synthesis and proliferation.

We investigated the mechanism underlying the ability of metformin to induce cell death of prostate cancer cells. When screening PCa cell lines for LKB1 protein abundance, we found that among DU145, PC3, LNCaP and VCaP cells, only DU145 cells were LKB1 negative. We then examined the impact of metformin on AMPK activation. As anticipated, with DU145 we did not observe an increased phosphorylation of AMPK, which is in keeping with an involvement of LKB1 in AMPK activation. However, with LNCaP cells, there was a metformin-induced AMPK activation at threonine 172, which is thought to be phosphorylated by LKB1. Our findings show that metformin could induce cell death in both DU145 and LNCaP cells, suggesting that metformin can act in a LKB1–AMPK-independent way to induce cell death. This is not in agreement with studies that imply that LKB1 is essential for metformin-induced cell growth inhibition. Cell growth was not inhibited in LKB1-negative HeLa cells or MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells in contrast to the inhibition observed with MC7, MCF10a and PC3 prostate cancer cells (Zakikhani et al. 2006). Others, however, have reported that metformin was able to reduce tumour growth mediated by high-fat diet and high glucose regardless of LKB1 expression in vivo (Algire et al. 2011). In addition, specific silencing of the AMPK pathway using siRNA to both catalytic subunits of AMPKa did not prevent the antiproliferative effect of metformin on PCa cell lines as well as reduce tumour growth in vivo in mouse LNCaP xenografts (Ben Sahra et al. 2008). These findings are in keeping with our results and show that LKB1 and activation of AMPK are not necessary for metformin to exert its anti-tumourigenic activity.

Our previous paper showed that the survival effect of hyperglycaemia was mediated by increased IGFBP-2 that can act in both, an IGF-IR-dependent manner in PC3 cells and intrinsically (independent of IGF interaction) in DU145 and LNCaP cells (Uzoh et al. 2011). IGFBP-2 is an established mitogen and survival factor for PCa (Chatterjee et al. 2004, Uzoh et al. 2011). Based on these findings, we have investigated a role of IGFBP-2 in relation to metformin actions. Metformin induced a decrease in IGFBP-2 mRNA levels in DU145 and LNCaP, but not in VCaP cells. This was observed in both 5 mM and 25 mM glucose concentrations. The metformin-induced decrease in IGFBP-2 gene expression in DU145 and LNCaP cells was accompanied with a reduction of IGFBP-2 protein from cell lysates as well as IGFBP-2 secreted into the media. There was no effect of metformin on IGFBP-2 protein and mRNA expression in VCaP cells. The pro-apoptotic effect of metformin was diminished when the reduced expression of IGFBP-2 was replaced by the addition of exogenous IGFBP-2 in DU145 cells. Bearing in mind that DU145 cells do not express the LKB1 protein and that AMPK was not activated with metformin, we suggest that the LKB1-AMPK-independent action of metformin...
was, at least in part, via downregulation of the survival factor IGFBP-2. We published previously that high glucose induced the upregulation of IGFBP-2 that was associated with an increase in the levels of acetylated histones H4 and H3 associated with the IGFBP-2 gene in DU145 and LNCaP cells (Biernacka et al. 2013). Our study indicates that metformin downregulates IGFBP-2 to negate the chemoresistance induced by high glucose: it will be interesting to determine if epigenetic modification of IGFBP-2 is a mechanism by which metformin is able to regulate IGFBP-2 levels.

In addition to the ability of metformin to phosphorylate AMPK in LKB1-positive LNCaP cells, we have also observed that metformin was also able to reduce gene and protein expression of IGFBP-2. Investigation at the mRNA level of IGFBP-2 showed that metformin was still able to downregulate IGFBP-2 gene after silencing AMPK using siRNA or inhibiting AMPK with Compound C. In addition, silencing AMPK did not alter the protective effect of high glucose in reducing Docetaxel-mediated cell death, suggesting that inhibition of AMPK did not influence the ability of IGFBP-2 to act as a survival factor in LNCaP cells. Furthermore, after knockdown of IGFBP-2, exposure to 25 mM glucose was no longer protective against Docetaxel or metformin treatment in relation to apoptosis suggesting that these protective effects were mediated by IGFBP-2. In addition to that, metformin was also able to exert its action in high and low glucose media even in the presence of Compound C again indicating this effect was not dependent on the activation of AMPK.

These findings suggest that metformin could induce cell death independently of the presence of LKB1 and without the involvement of AMPK but facilitated by the reduction of IGFBP-2 (a survival factor for PCa cells). This suggests that a novel potential mechanism of the anti-cancer effects of metformin may be by the regulation of IGFBP-2.

As members of the kinesin family have previously been shown to play a role in mediating taxane resistance in prostate cancer (Tan et al. 2012), we investigated if the kinesins most implicated in prostate cancer (Eg5 and KIF3a) were involved in our model of hyperglycaemia-induced chemoresistance. Our preliminary investigations did not provide any evidence that either Eg5 or KIF3a played a role; however, further work would be required to definitively eliminate the possible involvement of these and other members of this large family of kinesins.

Recently, there has been debate as to how data from in vitro studies of metformin translate into in vivo activity in animal models and clinical trials, as the majority of work in vitro has been performed using concentrations varying from 1 to 100 mM, predominantly between 1 and 20 mM (Dowling et al. 2007, Ben Sahra et al. 2008, Zakikhani et al. 2008, Hwang & Jeong 2010, Colquhoun et al. 2012, Lau et al. 2014). Such concentrations exceed levels measured in the blood of diabetic patients (Frid et al. 2010), although many organs like liver, kidney or small intestine are exposed to much higher concentration of metformin compared with levels measured in blood (Owen et al. 2000). Importantly, plasma membrane monoamine transporter (PMAT) or equilibrative nucleoside transporter ENT-4 facilitates metformin absorption from the lumen (Zhou et al. 2007). Other studies show the importance in expression of the OCT family to build up intracellular levels of metformin (Wilcock & Bailey 1994, Shu et al. 2007), and blocking OCT-1, -2 and -3 inhibited OCT-mediated transport of metformin (Nies et al. 2011). There is little evidence for the actual physiological concentrations of metformin achieved in normal and prostate cancer tissues although clearly metformin could accumulate over time.

The effect of metformin alone on the induction of cell death was much greater in normal glucose conditions and diminished in hyperglycaemia, but co-treatment with metformin and Docetaxel resulted in synergistic or additive effects and negated the hyperglycaemia-mediated reduction in sensitivity to Docetaxel. Investigating potential mechanisms through which metformin can act, we found that the presence of LKB1 and activation of AMPK were not required. In cells where metformin acted and potentiated the response to Docetaxel, this was associated with a reduction in the expression of IGFBP-2 and a loss of its prosurvival actions.
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