Molecular imaging in the investigation of hypoglycaemic syndromes and their management
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Abstract

There has been recent progress in molecular imaging using a variety of cellular targets for the investigation of adult non-diabetic hypoglycaemic syndromes and its integration into patient management. These targets include peptide receptors (somatostatin receptors (SSTRs) and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (GLP-1R)) the amine precursor uptake and decarboxylation system utilising the dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) analogue 6-[18F]-l-fluoro-l-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine ([18F]-FDOPA), and glycolytic metabolism with 2-[18F]fluoro-2-deoxy-d-glucose (FDG). Accurate preoperative localisation and staging is critical to enable directed surgical excision or enucleation with minimal morbidity and preservation of residual pancreatic function. Benign insulinoma has near ubiquitous dense GLP-1R expression enabling accurate localisation with radiolabelled-exendin-4 compounds (e.g. [68Ga-NOTA-exendin-4 PET/CT), whilst the rarer and more difficult to manage metastatic insulinoma typically express SSTR and is preferably imaged with radiolabelled-SSTR analogues such as [68Ga-DOTA-octreotate (DOTATATE) PET/CT for staging and assessment of suitability for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT). Similar to other metastatic neuroendocrine tumours, FDG PET/CT is used in the setting of higher-grade metastatic insulinoma to provide important prognostic information that can guide treatment and determine suitability for PRRT. Interestingly, these three tracers appear to represent a spectrum of differentiation, which we conceptually describe as the ‘triple-flop’ phenomenon, with GLP-1R > SSTR > FDG in benign insulinoma and the opposite in higher-grade disease. This paper will review the clinical syndromes of adult hypoglycaemia (including a practical overview of the differential diagnoses to be considered), comparison of techniques for insulinoma localisation with emphasis on molecular imaging before discussing its implications for management of metastatic insulinoma.

Introduction

There have been significant developments in the investigation and management of adult non-diabetic hypoglycaemic syndromes over the last decade. Although selective arterial calcium stimulation testing (SACST) has been the gold standard investigation for many years, the rapid development and evaluation of molecular imaging
techniques now provide the opportunity for non-invasive localisation and characterisation of insulinoma using a variety of cellular targets. These targets include peptide receptors, such as the somatostatin receptor (SSTR) and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (GLP-1R), the amine precursor uptake and decarboxylation (APUD) system utilising the diphenylaminophenylalanine (DOPA) analogue 6-[18F]-1-fluoro-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine ([18F-FDOPA]), and glycolytic metabolism with 2-[18F]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG). Accurate preoperative localisation and staging is critical to enable directed surgical excision or enucleation with minimal morbidity and preservation of residual pancreatic function. Furthermore, the insights learnt from the more prevalent benign insulinoma are critical to direct personalised therapy for rarer, but considerably more difficult to manage metastatic insulinoma. This paper will review the clinical syndromes of adult hypoglycaemia (including a practical overview of the differential diagnoses to be considered), comparison of techniques for insulinoma localisation with an emphasis on molecular imaging before a discussion of the implications for management of metastatic insulinoma.

**Clinical hypoglycaemia syndromes**

Although localisation of insulinoma is the primary role of molecular imaging in the investigation of adult hypoglycaemic disorders, it is important to recognise that the diagnosis of non-diabetic adult hypoglycaemia requires consideration of a broader range of differential diagnoses, which are listed in **Table 1**: The US Endocrine Society guideline for evaluation of adult hypoglycaemic disorders (Cryer et al. 2009) considers the ‘seemingly well’ individual presenting with hypoglycaemia to also be at risk of adult nesidioblastosis (including non-insulinoma pancreatogenous hypoglycaemia syndrome (NIPHS) and post-gastric bypass hypoglycaemia (PGBH)), insulin autoimmune hypoglycaemia and factitious hypoglycaemia (including accidental, surreptitious or malicious hypoglycaemia) due to administration of insulin or insulin secretagogues such as sulphonylurea. ‘Ill or medicated individuals’ may also develop non-diabetic hypoglycaemia due to various drugs, critical illnesses (including sepsis and hepato-renal failure), hormonal deficiencies (cortisol) or non-islet cell tumour hypoglycaemia (typically due to large mesenchymal tumoural secretion of insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF-2) or its high-molecular-weight precursor (big IGF-2)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differential diagnosis of hypoglycaemia in adults.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data from Cryer et al. (2009).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appropriately well individual</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous hyperinsulinaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Insulinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Nesidioblastosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noninsulinoma pancreatogenous hypoglycaemia syndrome (NIPHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Post gastric bypass hypoglycaemia (PGBH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Insulin autoimmune hypoglycaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Insulin secretagogue (e.g. sulphonylurea)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Accidental, surreptitious or malicious hypoglycaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwell or medicated individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Insulin, alcohol, others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical illnesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hepatic, renal or cardiac failure; sepsis; inanition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cortisol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-islet cell tumour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many of these conditions are diagnosed biochemically in the appropriate clinical context (e.g. abnormal IGF-2:IGF-1 ratio, cortisol deficiency or hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia with undetectable C-peptide suggesting insulin administration) and will not be discussed in further detail in this review. The role of molecular imaging in adult hypoglycaemia is primarily focused upon localising the source of endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia, usually due to either insulinoma or NIPHS, with different management implications. However, in the event of negative localisation studies (including advanced molecular imaging techniques) it is important to consider other differential diagnoses that may biochemically masquerade as endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia (Paiva et al. 2006). Insulin autoimmune syndrome (IAS) (Uchigata & Hirata 1999) is most commonly identified in Asian populations (particularly Japan) and is usually associated with post-prandial hypoglycaemia. It is characterised by markedly elevated insulin levels and may be associated with other autoimmune diseases. The diagnosis can be confirmed with assistance of laboratory testing to identify heterophilic interference and insulin and/or insulin-receptor antibodies. In these circumstances, one must also consider the possibility of mistaken (or surreptitious) administration of insulin secretagogues (e.g. sulphonylureas), recognising that many routine serum screening techniques for the first-generation sulphonylureas do not detect meglitinides or second-generation sulphonylureas, including glipepiride (Yates et al. 2009).
Insulinoma

Insulinoma is a rare neuroendocrine tumour (NET) characterised by autonomous insulin secretion with an incidence of approximately 0.4/100,000 person years. It is more commonly seen in the fifth decade (median age 47 years, range 8–82 years) and there is a slight predominance (~60%) in females (Service et al. 1991). Approximately 90% are solitary, benign and <2 cm in diameter (frequently <1 cm), and therefore present a challenge to localise (Klöppel et al. 2004). Approximately 5–10% are associated with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (MEN1) syndrome and <2% are extra-pancreatic in location (Oberg & Eriksson 2005). They typically present with adrenergic (palpitations, diaphoresis, hunger, tremor, and anxiety) and neuroglycaemic (confusion, visual disturbance, behavioural change, seizure, and coma) symptoms of hypoglycaemia in the fasting state. Post-prandial hypoglycaemia is more commonly associated with nesidioblastosis, but a very small proportion (6%) of insulinomas may also present with symptoms solely occurring several hours after eating (Placzkowski et al. 2009). Diagnosis of insulinoma is confirmed by inappropriately elevated insulin, C-peptide and pro-insulin levels at the time of hypoglycaemia, with resolution of symptoms after glucose administration, satisfying the diagnostic criteria known as the Whipple triad (Whipple & Frantz 1935, Cryer et al. 2009, Guettier et al. 2013). These blood samples may be taken opportunistically at the time of symptoms, or induced by prolonged supervised fasting or mixed-meal stimulation testing. The management of insulinoma is surgical excision and is often associated with long-term cure. Conventional imaging modalities have variable success in localisation of insulinoma, with reported accuracy from a contemporary study demonstrating sensitivity of abdominal CT (64%) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (75%) (Druce et al. 2010). Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) (65–94%) and SACST (63–94%) are highly operator dependent and the latter is particularly invasive and is only able to identify the pancreatic region of the tumour (McLean 2004, Guettier et al. 2009, Druce et al. 2010, Nockel et al. 2017). The opportunity for biopsy confirmation of insulinoma is an advantage of EUS. However, its scope is limited to evaluation of the primary tumour within the pancreas and is generally unable to assess for distant metastatic disease, which establishes the diagnosis of malignant insulinoma.

Non-insulinoma pancreatogenous hypoglycaemia syndrome (NIPHS)

NIPHS is characterised by episodes of neuroglycaemia due to endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia typically, but not always, occurring after a meal. Conventional radiological localisation procedures are always negative in this setting. The diagnosis is usually made on the basis of clinical presentation, endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia and positive SACST, although ultimately pathological confirmation is necessary for definitive diagnosis given substantial overlap in presentation with insulinoma. SACST with hepatic venous sampling is an interventional radiological technique to localise or regionalise the occult insulinoma, or regionalise the most hyperfunctioning pancreatic tissue. A recent analysis (Thompson et al. 2015) of 116 patients with biochemical evidence of hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia and inconclusive imaging compared the results of SACST with histopathology (42 insulinoma, 74 nesidioblastosis). They found the maximum hepatic venous insulin concentration and the relative-fold increase in hepatic venous insulin concentration after calcium stimulation were significantly higher in the insulinoma group. This is important preoperative information, as nesidioblastosis is preferably managed conservatively with or without pharmacologic therapy.

Post-gastric bypass hypoglycaemia (PGBH)

PGBH occurs exclusively post-prandially, is also characterised by endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia and typically begins 6 months or later following surgery. The mechanisms underlying PGBH are incompletely understood but are likely multifactorial and potentially include inappropriate secretion of insulin and incretin hormones such as GLP-1, increased glucose effectiveness and insulin response to oral stimuli, dysfunction of counter-regulatory hormones such as glucagon, reduced rate of insulin clearance and rapid improvement in insulin sensitivity following weight loss (Patti et al. 2005, 2015, Goldfine et al. 2007, Salehi et al. 2014). Epidemiologically, the 5-year incidence of PGBH among non-diabetic individuals is 13.3% and the risk is increased with lower preoperative body mass index, lower preoperative HbA1c, and higher 6-month percent of excess body weight loss (Lee et al. 2016). In most cases, PGBH is effectively managed with carbohydrate restricted diet, consisting of 6 meals per day with up to maximum
of 30 g carbohydrate each (Van Meijeren et al. 2017). Additional medication is needed in approximately one-third of patients, which can include the alpha-glucosidase inhibitor acarbose to slow the carbohydrate absorption and thus reduce post-prandial insulin secretion, and diazoxide to reduce insulin secretion. Surgical procedures such as partial pancreatectomy or reversal of gastric bypass are not always successful (Patti et al. 2005). However, the co-existence of insulinoma in the setting of NIPHS (Bright et al. 2008, Sowa-Staszczak et al. 2013) and PGBH (Zagury et al. 2004, Service et al. 2005) has been reported in rare cases and must be considered particularly in the setting of late, severe or persistent hypoglycaemia following bariatric surgery. The diagnosis is challenging due to an overlap in clinical presentation and biochemical evidence of endogenous hyperinsulinaemia. A confident diagnosis is critical before subjecting a patient to further high-risk surgery, however localisation by conventional radiological techniques is limited, EUS is not practical in patients with a small, remnant gastric pouch and results of SACST are likely to be affected by prior surgery.

Pathophysiology of hypoglycaemia syndromes

Approximately 5–10% of insulinoma cases are associated with MEN1. MEN1 encodes a nuclear protein menin, a tumour suppressor that acts as an adapter and interacts with partner proteins involved in crucial activities including transcriptional regulation, cell division, proliferation, and genome stability. Adult NIPHS may be sporadic, occur in the post-gastric bypass setting (Service et al. 2005) or be associated with underlying germline mutation (e.g. activating mutation of glucokinase gene (Ajala et al. 2016)) indicating overlap in selected cases with congenital hyperinsulinism. Histopathologically, insulinoma is characterised by an abundance of insulin secreting cells with large nucleoli and very little pleomorphism arranged in a trabecular pattern or as sheets of uniform spindle-shaped cells, well-circumscribed with fibrous septa separating it from normal tissue with an absence of exocrine pancreatic components. In contrast, focal congenital hyperinsulinism demonstrates nodular hyperplasia of islet-like cell clusters, with hypertrophied islet cells and large pleomorphic nucleoli without a clear margin of demarcation from adjacent acinar components (Sempoux et al. 2003). Diffuse nesidioblastosis is characterised by islet cell hyperplasia, with enlarged and/or more numerous islets, some of them adjacent to and budding from ducts (ductuloinsular complexes) indicating active formation of endocrine cells by multipotent cells in basal layer of the ducts (Solcia et al. 1997). Interestingly, pathological features of nesidioblastosis such as increased islet number have not been consistently observed in the few pathological specimens of PGBH available for examination (Meier et al. 2006). This suggests that the hypoglycaemia observed in this setting may be due to the dysregulation of islet cell function rather than solely an increase in the mass (Patti & Goldfine 2014).

These pathological differences have implications on the ability of molecular imaging to characterise these diseases. It may be argued that the foundation for the current approach to molecular imaging of insulinoma was established by Reubi et al. using in vitro autoradiography to assess the incidence and density of expression of various peptide receptors on tissue samples from a series of 27 insulinomas (Reubi et al. 2003). Thirteen peptide receptors were tested, including two vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) receptors, SSTR subtypes 1–5, three bombesin receptor subtypes, cholecystokinin (CCK) subtype 1 and 2, and GLP-1R. SSTR2 was expressed in insulinoma less frequently in 18/26 (69%) and intensely (mean density 3807 dpm/mg tissue) than in other gaseroenteropancreatic (GEP) NETs in the study. Conversely, nearly all cases demonstrated very intense GLP-1R expression at a mean density of 8133 dpm/mg tissue in 25/27 (93%) insulinomas. Notably, the 2/27 cases in this series lacking GLP-1R expression demonstrated intense staining (up to 6477 dpm/mg tissue) for SSTR2, suggesting a ‘flip-flop’ phenomenon. In contrast, the same group (Reubi et al. 2010) demonstrated normal GLP-1R expression in seven samples of pancreatic tissue from patients with PGBH (mean density 1483 dpm/mg tissue) compared to 10 normal controls (1563 dpm/mg tissue), and much less than that seen in six cases of benign insulinoma (mean density 8302 dpm/mg tissue). This provided the rationale for GLP-1R imaging in the localisation of insulinoma but indicates that it is less likely to be useful in the evaluation of PGBH.

Molecular imaging modalities

The major molecular imaging targets for localisation of hypoglycaemic syndromes are demonstrated on Fig. 1 including somatostatin receptors (usually 111In- or 68Ga-labelled somatostatin agonists), large amino acid transporter type 2 (18F-DOPA) and the GLP-1R (68Ga-exendin-4). In the less common population of
malignant insulinoma, uptake of FDG via membrane glucose transporters is utilised to assess prognosis and exclude SSTR –ve/FDG +ve sites of metastatic disease in patients deemed otherwise suitable for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT).

An understanding of the evolution in molecular imaging technology over the last three decades, in addition to details of the new imaging tracers, has important implications for interpretation of the apparently conflicting hypoglycaemia molecular imaging literature. Planar scintigraphy, providing basic low resolution two-dimensional images of whole tracer distribution, has changed little since the invention of the Anger gamma camera in the 1960s. Single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging, often overlaid with low dose computed tomography (CT) images for anatomic localisation, has been developed over the last two decades and is now near universally available. However, there is substantial difference in the imaging quality and resolution of these modalities, which must be considered when comparing studies utilising $^{111}$In-octreotide scintigraphy over this timeframe. Development of positron emission tomography (PET) has enabled dramatic improvement in spatial resolution with reduced radiation exposure, and greater patient convenience with shorter imaging times (Hofman et al. 2012). A recent case report neatly demonstrates this principle, whereby a patient with a 2.1 cm insulinoma was not identified on endoscopic US or $^{111}$In-pentetreotide scan but clearly localised on $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT (Sadowski et al. 2014). Thus, it is critically important to consider the type of imaging technology used when comparing the reported accuracy of studies because the spatial resolution of ‘somatostatin receptor imaging’ or ‘radiolabelled octreotide scanning’ (Druce et al. 2010) may vary between several centimetres for $^{111}$In-octreotide planar scintigraphy, 1–2 cm for $^{111}$In-octreotide SPECT/CT to <5 mm for $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT.

Molecular imaging provides confident localisation due to in vivo disease characterisation such as focal intense SSTR2 or GLP-1R expression, which is required to proceed with invasive and potentially morbid

Figure 1
Molecular targets currently utilised for imaging and radionuclide therapy of insulinoma. Adapted from Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism, Vol 16 issue 2, Ilias I, Shulkin B & Pacak K, New functional imaging modalities for chromaffin tumors, neuroblastomas and ganglioneuromas, Pages 66–72, Copyright (2005), adapted with permission from Elsevier.

Figure 2
A 60-year-old man with 25-year history of endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia referred for $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-exendin-4 PET/CT with prior unsuccessful partial pancreatectomy/splenectomy for localisation of presumed insulinoma. Axial PET (A) and fused axial PET/CT images (B) demonstrate focal intense uptake associated with a sub-cm nodule in the residual neck of pancreas with surrounding fat density evident on co-registered low dose CT (C). Red arrows on PET maximum intensity projections (D, left coronal & right sagittal) corresponds to focal lesion.
pancreatic surgery such as Whipple’s procedure. Figure 2 demonstrates the confident localisation using $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-exendin-4 of a suspected insulinoma in the remnant neck of pancreas in a patient with a 25-year history of endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia treated with prior partial pancreatectomy/splenectomy. The site of disease remained occult despite multiple CT studies, SACST, MRI, EUS and $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT and had been maintained on high dose diazoxide and diuretic therapy. Given the potential morbidity associated with further surgery in this extreme case example, confident localisation was necessary before planning any further intervention.

**Somatostatin receptor imaging**

There are five known somatostatin receptor subtypes, which are commonly expressed to a varying degree on neuroendocrine tumour cells. The radiolabelled somatostatin analogue $^{111}$In-DTPA-octreotide was developed at the Erasmus Medical Centre in the Netherlands for imaging NETs in 1989 (Lamberts et al. 1990). More recently, radiolabelling of octreotide with the gamma emitter $^{68}$Ga labelled to octreotate, which provides the advantages of the greater spatial resolution of PET technology, lower radiation dose, greater patient convenience, and overall lower cost. Three compounds are now in use including $^{68}$Ga-DOTA$_3$-Tyr$_3$octreotate (DOTATE), $^{68}$Ga-DOTA$_6$-Tyr$_3$octreotide (DOTA-TOC), and $^{68}$Ga-DOTA$_5$-Nal$_3$octreotate (DOTA-NOC). These have varying SSTR subtype specificity with highest affinity for SSTR2, SSTR5, and SSTR3/5, respectively. $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE is the preferred tracer in our institution given SSTR2 is the predominant subtype overexpressed in insulinoma (and other GEP-NETS). Indications for somatostatin imaging in hypoglycaemic syndromes include localisation and staging of insulinoma in addition to its role as a theranostic investigation to determine suitability for PRRT of metastatic insulinoma. DOTATATE (NETSPOT) has recently been approved by the FDA for use in the USA, whereas DOTA-TOC has been approved by the EMA for use in Europe.

$^{111}$In-DTPA-octreotide The reported sensitivity of $^{111}$In-octreotide scintigraphy for insulinoma localisation is variably between 20 and 60% in several series (Krenning et al. 1993, De Herder et al. 2005, Vezossi et al. 2005). The low sensitivity demonstrated for octreotide scintigraphy in the study by Vezossi et al. (4/17 cases positive, 24%) may reflect the poor imaging resolution of this technique. However, the limited expression of SSTR2a receptors in 7/17 cases (41%) of this series suggests a physical threshold for the utility of SSTR-based insulinoma imaging. However, SPECT imaging performed at 4h has been shown to incrementally improve the localisation of insulinoma compared with planar scintigraphy at 4 and 24h (Schillaci et al. 2000).

$^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT No prospective study has been performed specifically to evaluate $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT for the localisation of insulinoma. However, a recent retrospective review of pathologically confirmed insulinoma demonstrated successful localisation in 9/10 cases using this modality (Nockel et al. 2017). Another retrospective review of 13 patients with histopathological correlation of hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia (eight benign insulinoma, two malignant insulinoma and three nesidioblastosis) demonstrated positive SSTR PET/CT ($^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE or $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-TOC) in 11/13 patients (Prasad et al. 2016, 8). In this series, 8/10 (80%) specimens stained strongly to moderately positive for SSTR2 immunohistochemistry. Figure 3 is a case example of confident localisation of multifocal residual disease

**Figure 3**
A 48-year-old woman with persistent hypoglycaemia referred for $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-octreotate PET/CT for the assessment of residual disease or distant metastases following enucleation of a presumed solitary insulinoma. Axial PET (A) and fused axial PET/CT images (B) demonstrate focal very intense uptake (SUVmax 40) in the body of pancreas without evident abnormality on co-registered low dose CT (C) at this site. Red arrow on PET maximum intensity projection (D) identifies additional focus of intense uptake (SUVmax 22) corresponding to a lesion in the pancreatic tail.
within the body and tail of pancreas in the setting of persistent hypoglycaemia following enucleation of an apparently solitary insulinoma. As discussed later (see ‘Future hypoglycaemia imaging research’ section), both these studies are potentially subject to verification bias. It would be important to also understand the total number of cases investigated for hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia with $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE in confirming these apparently high sensitivity results. $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE also appears accurate in patients with MEN1, prospectively demonstrating very high uptake (median SUVmax 72.8), significantly greater sensitivity and management impact than either CT or $^{111}$In-pentetreotide SPECT/CT in a cohort of 26 patients with MEN1, including three with insulinomas (Sadowski et al. 2015).

The majority of metastatic insulinoma cases included in reported series demonstrate significant avidity on somatostatin receptor imaging (Schillaci et al. 2000, van Schaik et al. 2011, Costa et al. 2013, Prasad et al. 2016). In contrast with the results from studies of benign insulinomas, a molecular imaging study of 11 patients with metastatic insulinoma targeted SSTR2 ($^{68}$Ga DOTATATE PET/CT) and GLP-1 receptors ($^{11}$In-exendin-4 SPECT/CT) demonstrated relatively low GLP-1R targeting in only 4/11 metastatic cases, and higher than expected SSTR2 targeting in 8/11 cases (Wild et al. 2011). The two receptor types were both positive in only one case of metastatic insulinoma suggesting a ‘flip-flop’ relationship between expression of these two receptor types. This supports our experience with metastatic insulinoma with the vast majority of cases having high uptake on $^{68}$Ga DOTATATE PET/CT. Further evidence of an association between SSTR expression and malignancy in insulinomas was identified in a quantitative PCR analysis of SSTR5 expression in a series of 16 primary insulinomas (four of which were plurihormonal) and two insulinoma metastases (de Sa et al. 2006). Interestingly, SSTR5 mRNA was positively correlated with histological features of tumour aggressiveness, including large tumour diameter, proportion of cells with nuclear atypia, and plurihormonal secretion. Recently, plurihormonal secretion (particularly with secondary insulin secretion) has been associated with increased mortality in a large cohort of metastatic pancreatic NETs (Crona et al. 2016). Given the inhibitory effects of activated SSTR5 on the cell cycle, it is postulated that increased SSTR5 expression in more biologically aggressive tumours may represent an adaptive compensatory cellular response to increased proliferation. A ‘flip-flop’ phenomenon is well recognised in metastatic NET representing a spectrum between well-differentiated predominantly SSTR avid lesions to poorly differentiated predominantly FDG avid disease (Hofman & Hicks 2012). This additional inverse relationship between GLP-1R and SSTR expression leads to what may be described as a ‘triple-flop’ phenomenon in insulinoma, with increasing malignancy during progression from GLP-1R avid (benign) vs SSTR avid (malignant well-differentiated) vs FDG avid (malignant poorly differentiated). This apparent spectrum of molecular imaging phenotype is evident in a case of metastatic insulinoma described in Fig. 4. Furthermore, a theoretical explanation for the relatively low GLP-1R expression in bulky metastatic insulinoma vs intense expression in small benign insulinoma may reflect the pathophysiological role of the GLP-1R in hypoglycaemia due to insulinoma, such that tumours with greater GLP-1R density are more likely to manifest severe symptoms of hypoglycaemia at a much smaller size, when lesions are more likely to be benign.

$^{18}$F-FDOPA

18F-FDOPA is taken up by neuroendocrine and islet cells via the large amino acid transporter (LAT1/4F2hc) and, like DOPA, it is subsequently decarboxylated by cytosolic L-aromatic amino acid decarboxylase and stored in vesicles (Santhanam & Taieb 2014). The LAT1/4F2hc transporter is coupled with the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signalling pathway, which plays a critical role in cell growth and cell cycle progression. Notably, there is typically slightly higher uptake in the pancreatic head relative to the rest of the pancreas, potentially limiting the detection of lesions in this region. In addition, there can be prominent activity in the gallbladder and biliary tract. 18F-FDOPA is excreted via the kidneys and there is also low-grade uptake in the heart, liver, and basal ganglia (Meintjes et al. 2013).

18F-FDOPA has an established role for the differentiation between focal and diffuse forms of congenital hyperinsulinism (Otonkoski et al. 2006, Barthien et al. 2008), but its role in the investigation of adult hypoglycaemia is more controversial. An original series reportedly correctly localised pancreatic lesions in 9/10 cases, including one case with hepatic metastases and two cases of B-cell hyperplasia (Kauhanen et al. 2007). However, these favourable results were not reproduced in a subsequent study (Tessonier et al. 2010), which identified only 1/6 histopathologically proven lesions due to diffuse background physiologic pancreatic activity. Notably, the single true-positive localised insulinoma was in an 8-year-old boy with MEN1. More recently,
the same group have published their findings using carbidopa premedication to inhibit peripheral aromatic amino acid decarboxylase (AADC) in background physiologic pancreatic tissue, with successful localisation in 8/11 cases of histopathological proven cases (73%), including one metastatic case (Imperiale et al. 2015). Consequently, although carbidopa premedication is not required in infants with congenital hyperinsulinism due to low expression of AADC in immature acinar cells, this study suggests that it is necessary in adults undergoing investigation of hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia with $^{18}$F-FDOPA to optimise the pancreatic tumour:background ratio.

**Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (GLP-1R) imaging**

GLP-1 plays a diverse role in glucose homeostasis including the incretin effect, whereby an oral glucose load produces a greater insulin response than that of an isoglycaemic intravenous glucose solution. GLP-1Rs are widespread within the gastrointestinal tract, including the exocrine and endocrine pancreas, and are overexpressed in various NETs, particularly benign insulinomas (Korner et al. 2007). The biological role of GLP-1 on tumour cells remains uncertain, although it has been shown to stimulate proliferation and inhibit apoptosis of insulinoma cells *in vitro*. Exendin-4, originally isolated from the saliva of the Gila monster, is a long-acting GLP-1 analogue used for treatment of type 2 diabetes. This precursor has been successfully radiolabelled with $^{111}$In as $[\text{Lys40(Ahx-6-aminohexanoic acid-DOTA-111In)NH2}]-\text{exendin-4}$ ($^{111}$In-DOTA-exendin-4) and $^{99m}$Tc- as $[\text{Lys40(Ahx-HYNIC-99mTc/EDDA)NH2}]-\text{exendin-4}$ ($^{99m}$Tc-HYNIC-exendin-4) for imaging on a SPECT/CT gamma camera (Wild et al. 2010). $^{99m}$Tc is cheaper, more widely available, and provides lower radiation dose but $^{111}$In...
provides the opportunity for delayed imaging out to 3 days to enable renal clearance of radiotracer. The two most extensively investigated $^{68}$Ga-exendin-4 PET tracers are [Lys40(Ahx-DOTA-$^{68}$Ga)NH2]-exendin-4 ($^{68}$Ga-DOTA-exendin-4) (Wild et al. 2010) and $^{68}$Ga-NOTA-MAL-cys40-exendin-4 ($^{68}$Ga-NOTA-exendin-4) (Luo et al. 2015). Both compounds demonstrate very intense uptake within the kidneys due to urinary excretion, with very low-grade physiologic uptake in other organs including the pancreas and duodenum. The widespread availability, low cost, and short physical half-life have driven the popularity of these $^{68}$Ga-labelled tracers. A metastatic insulinoma case imaged with $^{68}$GaGa-DOTA-VS-Cys40-Exendin-4 has also been reported (Erikkson 2014), but high uptake in background pancreas was noted. In a comparative study, $^{68}$Ga-labelled exendin-4 compounds ligands were shown to have approximately 10-fold lower mean effective dose than the same ligand labelled with $^{64}$Cu (Mikkola et al. 2014) and the majority of $^{18}$F-labelled compounds have demonstrated relatively high background activity in the liver and intestines (Kiesewetter et al. 2012).

It is important to note that transient exacerbation of hypoglycaemia is a common occurrence after radiolabelled-exendin-4 imaging. The median reduction in the blood glucose level was 1.3 mmol/L (range 0.8–2.1 mmol/L) with a nadir occurring approximately 40 min after injection in a large series of patients imaged for insulinoma localisation with $^{111}$In-exendin-4 (Christ et al. 2013). Twenty of 30 patients in this study required exogenous glucose infusion for hypoglycaemia, whereas more recent studies (Antwi et al. 2015, Luo et al. 2016) routinely co-administer glucose infusion in all patients to minimise the risk of hypoglycaemia. It has been observed that hypoglycaemia does not occur in patients undergoing radiolabelled-exendin-4 imaging for other indications (e.g. medullary thyroid carcinoma) (Sowa-Staszczak et al. 2016), or in healthy volunteers treated with the GLP-1 analogue therapy (Leche et al. 2009), but that hypoglycaemia has occurred in a patient receiving the GLP-1 analogue therapy for diabetes with occult insulinoma (Ruby et al. 2014), and, in our experience, regularly occurs during radiolabelled-exendin-4 imaging for insulinoma. This phenomenon suggests that use of GLP-1 stimulation as a diagnostic test for insulinoma warrants prospective evaluation.

Following early favourable results in small series using $^{111}$In-DTPA-exendin-4 (Wild et al. 2008, Christ et al. 2009), it was used in a prospective multicentre imaging study performed at centres in Germany, Switzerland, and UK evaluating the accuracy of $^{111}$In-DTPA-exendin-4 with SPECT/CT imaging for localisation of insulinoma (Christ et al. 2013, 111). Of 23 assessable patients imaged with $^{111}$In-DTPA-exendin-4 SPECT/CT had a higher sensitivity (95%) than CT/MRI (47%). Insulinomas in seven patients were only localised using $^{111}$In-DTPA-exendin-4, with resultant high management impact. However, there were four false positive results (two adult nesidioblastosis and two uncharacterised lesions) resulting in a positive predictive value of 83%. The single confirmed false negative case was a metastatic insulinoma, again the concept of a loss of this receptor in parallel with malignant transformation. For 20 of 25 patients, images demonstrated intense focal uptake at 4 h after injection. In the remaining five patients, only late scans at or after 3 days showed conclusive results after clearance of background renal activity. SACST correctly localised the vascular territory in 5/7 (71%) patients. Of 11 patients imaged with EUS, 7/8 insulinomas were localised (sensitivity 88%), and one was false positive.

A large recent prospective study from Poland (Sowa-Staszczak et al. 2016) assessed $^{99m}$Tc-HYNIC-exendin-4 in 40 patients with hypoglycaemia and increased or confusing levels of serum insulin and C-peptide and negative or inconclusive results of prior imaging (including CT, MRI, EUS, and somatostatin receptor scintigraphy). Twenty-eight focal lesions were identified of which 18 cases proceeded to surgery and had pathologically confirmed insulinoma. Of the 10 focal cases that did not proceed to surgery, four were ineligible for surgery and six were lost to follow-up. It is important to highlight that this is a diverse (but realistic) study population evaluating both challenging cases of hypoglycaemia and inconclusive imaging studies. Consequently, it is expected that a significant proportion of cases would not necessarily represent insulinoma, and indeed of the remaining 12 patients with negative scans, two cases of factitious hypoglycaemia due to Munchausen syndrome were subsequently identified. In our experience, cases of clinically suspected nesidioblastosis or PGBH are often referred for GLP-1R imaging to exclude a potentially treatable focal insulinoma (given the limitations of available investigations), rather than localisation of definite insulinoma. As discussed earlier, insulinoma may potentially co-exist with nesidioblastosis and in one previously reported case of suspected nesidioblastosis, a synchronous insulinoma was clearly identified with GLP-1R molecular imaging with high management impact (Sowa-Staszczak et al. 2013). Confident localisation of co-existent insulinoma in this setting is an important
potential role of molecular imaging in the evaluation of clinically suspected nesidioblastosis and PGBH.

Despite these favourable results, a small randomised cross-over pilot study in four non-localised cases of suspected insulinoma despite CT/MRI underwent imaging with both $^{111}$In-DTPA-exendin-4 SPECT/CT and $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-exendin-4 PET/CT; an insulinoma was successfully localised in all four cases with PET/CT but only 2/4 cases were localised with SPECT/CT. There are also case reports of patients with extensive prior investigation including either negative $^{111}$In-DTPA-exendin-4 or $^{99m}$Tc-HYNIC-exendin-4 SPECT/CT with subsequent localisation on $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-exendin-4 (Cuthbertson et al. 2016) and $^{68}$Ga-NOTA-exendin-4 (Luo et al. 2015) respectively, highlighting the clinical importance of the superior spatial resolution PET compared to SPECT. Other advantages include lower radiation dose, faster acquisition time, and greater patient convenience due to imaging at 1 h post injection with the $^{68}$Ga-exendin-4 PET tracers.

A large prospective cohort study was recently published (Luo et al. 2016) evaluating imaging with $^{68}$Ga-NOTA-exendin-4 in 52 patients with endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia. Forty-two of 43 histopathologically confirmed insulinomas included in the analysis were correctly localised with $^{68}$Ga-NOTA-exendin-4, resulting in a sensitivity of 97.7%. The sensitivities of CT, MRI, EUS, and $^{99m}$Tc-HYNIC-TOC (somatostatin receptor scintigraphy) were 74.4% (32/43), 56.0% (14/25), 84.0% (21/25) and 19.5% (8/41), respectively. Notably $^{68}$Ga-NOTA-exendin-4 successfully localised multiple (five) small insulinomas in two patients with confirmed diagnosis of MEN1. The one false negative case was a 46 mm G2 tumour in the pancreatic tail that was photopaenic on $^{68}$Ga-NOTA-exendin-4, but consistent with previous studies it was intensely SSTR avid using $^{99m}$Tc-HYNIC-TOC SPECT/CT, clearly identified on CT and MRI and also mildly FDG avid (SUVmax 2.6) consistent with a more aggressive phenotype. Of the nine patients that did not undergo surgical intervention, three had focal lesions consistent with insulinoma but declined surgery, two had longstanding hypoglycaemia and glucokinase mutations consistent with congenital hyperinsulism, two had clinical diagnosis of nesidioblastosis (one with NIPHS and another post-gastric bypass surgery) and a definite diagnosis was not established in the remaining two.

The high background renal uptake may limit the ability to detect small insulinoma in the adjacent distal pancreas. However, delayed scans were acquired 2-3 h after injection in 12 patients with favourable results; in two patients this showed demarcation between the tail of the pancreas and left kidney only on late scans, whereas in another two patients the average and maximum SUVs of the tumour increased by over 100% on the late scans. Another similar case was described by Luo et al. in 2015. As the effective half-life of exendin-4 is longer in tumours than in kidneys (Christ et al. 2009), it is recommended that patients who have negative results undergo further imaging 2–3 h after injection. A case with focal uptake contiguous with the adjacent kidney is discussed in Fig. 5. Quantitative renal uptake reduction studies in a Rip1Tag2 mouse have demonstrated significant reduction in renal activity using infusion of l-polyglutamic acid or gelofusine, with best results seen after a combination of both (Wild et al. 2010). Delayed imaging is likely satisfactory for diagnostic studies. However co-administration of renoprotective agents would be important to limit renal dose for the GLP-1R-targeted radionuclide therapy although the likelihood of significant GLP-1R expression is reduced in this setting and the potential for causing profound reactive hypoglycaemia must be considered.

Several series have described imaging findings consistent with nesidioblastosis (Christ et al. 2013,
Luo et al. 2016, Sowa-Staszczak et al. 2016), and a case report described increased diffuse uptake from the tail from the pancreas to the pancreatic corpus (SUVmax 6.9) corresponding to a threefold increase in GLP-1Rs associated with nesidioblastosis at this site on autoradiography. However, molecular imaging diagnostic criteria such as a reference range for GLP-1R uptake or typical patterns have not been described. Furthermore, prospective studies assessing the management impact from GLP-1R-directed pancreatic resection for nesidioblastosis have not yet been performed despite this approach having theoretical merit. Consequently, the value of GLP-1R molecular imaging beyond excluding an insulinoma or, conversely, finding an unexpected insulinoma in the setting of clinically suspected nesidioblastosis has not yet been clearly defined. Figure 6 demonstrates diffusely increased uptake in the pancreatic tail in a case of suspected nesidioblastosis following gastric surgery (SUVmax 8.7). This is considered an abnormal distribution of radiotracer given the expected relative reduction in the density of beta-cells in the pancreatic tail compared to the head.

FDG
The enhanced uptake of glucose (and its analogue FDG) by cancer cells due to inefficient aerobic glycolysis, termed the Warburg effect (Warburg 1956), is the hallmark of in vivo cancer imaging with FDG PET/CT. Use of FDG PET/CT for the investigation and management of hypoglycaemic syndromes is limited to the subset of patients with metastatic insulinoma, where its use has expanded beyond a simple diagnostic/staging investigation to recognition of its powerful prognostic

Figure 6
A 60-year-old man with refractory post-prandial hypoglycaemia following previous gastric surgery referred for 68Ga-DOTA-exendin-4 PET/CT to exclude insulinoma. Axial PET images (A) demonstrate diffuse uptake in the pancreatic tail (SUVmax 8.7), without focal anatomic correlate in this region on fused PET/CT (B) and low dose CT (C) images. This diffuse uptake is also evident (red arrows) on the coronal PET maximum intensity projection (D). Dietary modification and conservative medical management was continued.

Figure 7
A 62-year-old woman with persistent hypoglycaemia referred for (A) 68Ga-DOTATATE and (B) FDG PET/CT for staging and assessment of suitability for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy. Upper images demonstrate fused axial PET/CT with intense multifocal 68Ga-DOTATATE (left) and concordant mildly FDG (right) avid liver lesions. Note that FDG PET maximum intensity projection (lower right) demonstrates intense cardiac uptake, but no significant skeletal muscle activity despite presence of hyperinsulinism.
ability to guide treatment and determine suitability for PRRT (Pattison & Hofman 2015). At our institution, the indications for FDG PET/CT in context of metastatic NET are listed below:

- Patients with Ki-67 greater than or equal to 5%.
- Patients with clinical or imaging findings of progressive disease within a period of 6 months.
- Patients with sites of disease identified on CT, which do not have uptake on SSTR PET/CT and are concerning for sites of poorly differentiated disease.

Diffusely increased skeletal muscle FDG uptake has been previously reported in the setting of insulinoma (Kamaleshwaran et al. 2010) presumably due to insulin stimulated overexpression of GLUT4 and hexokinase II in skeletal muscle. However, in our experience this complication does not occur as commonly as expected, potentially due a saturated intracellular glycogen pool resulting from chronic hyperinsulinaemia. However, case reports still describe clinically relevant findings on FDG PET/CT in this context and it should not be viewed as a contraindication (Kamaleshwaran et al. 2010, Belissant Benesty et al. 2016). Figure 7 demonstrates concordant SSTR +/FDG + uptake in a patient with metastatic insulinoma (Ki-67 20%) with multifocal hepatic metastases. Of greater concern is the challenge of patient fasting while at a risk of hypoglycaemia, and it is recommended that patients are monitored carefully during the fasting period.

**Management of insulinoma**

Initial management of insulinoma involves symptomatic therapy, including oral carbohydrate supplementation, or intravenous/nasogastric glucose infusion depending upon the severity and duration of hypoglycaemia. Medical treatments are available for patients either waiting for surgery or not suitable for, failed or have refused surgical management. These include diazoxide, which inhibits insulin release by opening the ATP-dependent potassium channel in pancreatic beta-cells, somatostatin analogues to inhibit insulin secretion, and glucocorticoids in cases of refractory hypoglycaemia to inhibit insulin release and increase peripheral insulin resistance. It is notable that somatostatin analogues (particularly long-acting formulations) may cause paradoxical worsening of hypoglycaemia due to the suppression of counter-regulatory hormones such as glucagon and growth hormone (Healy et al. 2007, Abell et al. 2015).

**Surgery**

Confident preoperative localisation is necessary for successful surgical resection, the preferred treatment for benign insulinoma with recurrence rates of approximately 10% after follow-up for 10 years (Nikfarjam et al. 2008). Tumour enucleation using either an open or laparoscopic approach is favoured in sporadic cases, however patients with MEN1 are at greater risk of relapse due to risk of malignancy and multiplicity (Crippa et al. 2012). Consequently, the choice of optimal surgical approach in patients with MEN1 needs to balance the potential for long-term cure with partial/total pancreatectomy, or enucleation of all insulinomas due to the lower morbidity.

**Local regional ablative therapy**

Alternative local regional treatments are increasingly available for patients at high surgical risk or those refusing surgery. These include ultrasound-guided ethanol ablation, selective chemoembolisation or transcutaneous/laparoscopic radiofrequency ablation (Davi et al. 2017). Although favourable outcomes have been reported in case reports or small series, the follow-up of these patients is typically <1 year, with longer term outcomes needed to validate these novel approaches.

**Tyrosine kinase inhibitors**

There are limited treatment options for metastatic insulinoma with intractable hypoglycaemia in which the cause of death is often hypoglycaemia rather than oncologic disease burden. Most evidence regarding treatment options of this rare tumour is extrapolated from literature for metastatic pancreatic NETs. Randomised placebo-controlled trials have demonstrated modest prolongation of progression-free survival (PFS) of 4.6 vs 11.0 months with everolimus (Yao et al. 2011) and 5.5 vs 11.4 months on sunitinib (Raymond et al. 2011) in patients with a range of well-differentiated pancreatic NET, albeit with some toxicity. Importantly, hyperglycaemia is a common side effect of everolimus, which makes it uniquely suitable for treatment of patients with hypoglycaemia secondary to metastatic insulinoma. A series of four patients requiring aggressive management of hypoglycaemia had substantial improvement in glycemic control after treatment with everolimus (Kulke et al. 2009). The mechanism of improved glycaemic control in this setting is uncertain, but direct antitumour effect of
the drug, suppression of insulin production and release
due to mTOR inhibition downstream of insulin receptors,
and increased peripheral insulin resistance by impairment
of AKT activation and signalling through the insulin-
receptor substrate pathway likely play a role.

Chemotherapy

It is also worth mentioning the diabetogenic alkylating agent
streptozocin, which has a well-established role combined
with infusional 5-fluorouracil for treatment of advanced
pancreatic NETs (Moertel et al. 1980) and numerous case
reports have also demonstrated favourable results in patients
with metastatic insulinoma (Gefel et al. 1975).

Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy

There is increasing evidence supporting the efficacy
of PRRT using radiolabelled somatostatin agonists for
the management of gastroenteropancreatic NETs. The
recently published results of the NETTER-1 study, a phase
III randomised controlled trial comparing octreotide LAR
30 mg + $^{177}$Lu-DOTATATE PRRT vs octreotide LAR 60mg
in patients with progressive metastatic midgut NETs, did
not reach a median PFS after >30-months follow-up in
the PRRT arm compared to only 8.4 months in the control
arm (Strosberg et al. 2017). The evidence base for PRRT in
metastatic pancreatic NETs is limited to numerous large
consecutive series. Ezzidin et al. analysed 68 patients with
Grade 1 or 2 progressive advanced pancreatic NETs treated
with $^{177}$Lu-DOTATATE resulting in PFS of 34 months,
with reversible Grade 3 or more haematotoxicity in
5.9% and no significant nephrotoxicity (Ezzidin et al.
2014). A series of 52 patients with metastatic FDG
avid (predominantly Grade 2) GEP NET from our
institution treated with $^{177}$Lu-DOTATATE combined
with radiosensitising 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy
demonstrated PFS of 48 months with negligible Grade
3 or 4 toxicities (Kashyap et al. 2015). Our practice has
favoured treatment with PRRT for suitable patients given
the markedly greater PFS compared to other available
therapies and its minimal toxicity. One small case
series (van Schaik et al. 2011) and several case reports
(Costa et al. 2013, Abell et al. 2015) have also confirmed
excellent symptomatic response with resolution of
hypoglycaemia in patients with metastatic insulinoma.
However, the risk of transient worsening of hormonal
symptoms after treatment of functional NETs with PRRT
is well recognised. In a series of 479 patients treated
with PRRT, 1% required prolonged hospitalisation for
exacerbation of severe associated hormonal symptoms.
Hypoglycaemia symptomatic therapies are required as
described above, often in combination and for prolonged
periods with appropriate supportive care given the often
favourable delayed symptomatic response from PRRT.

Patients at our institution are selected for treatment
with PRRT according to the suggested criteria in Table 2.
In particular, treatment is based upon demonstration of
SSTR-tracer uptake greater than the liver uptake at all
sites of disease that are not below the spatial resolution
of the imaging technique used and therefore subject to
partial volume effects. It is fortunate from a theranostics
perspective that the majority of cases with metastatic
insulinoma express SSTR to facilitate PRRT (Wild et al.
2011) although disease heterogeneity with the presence
of FDG +/SSTR – and FDG −/SSTR + sites of disease may
occur (Belissant Benesty et al. 2016) such as described in
Fig. 8. Confirmed progressive disease within 12 months or
refractory hormonal symptoms (such as hypoglycaemia)
despite maximal medical therapy is a requirement for
treatment at our institution. Such clarification of the
therapeutic goal is important because PRRT may still
provide effective control of hypoglycaemia cases with
disease heterogeneity by targeting all sites of SSTR avid
well-differentiated insulin-producing disease.

Table 2 PRRT treatment criteria and contraindications at Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment criteria</th>
<th>Contraindications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Unresectable locally advanced or metastatic neuroendocrine tumor (NET); and</td>
<td>- Hypoalbuminaemia (&lt;25 g/L), GFR &lt;30 mL/min, platelet count &lt;50,000 or pancytopaenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Somatostatin receptor (SSTR) scan uptake &gt; liver (i.e. Krenning score 3 or 4); and</td>
<td>- ECOG performance score &gt;4 or expected survival &lt;3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No evidence of macroscopic SSTR –ve/ FDG +ve disease; and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although combination therapy with everolimus and PRRT is an attractive option (Claringbold & Turner 2015), there have been conflicting results from this combination in preclinical studies, including a worse outcome with dual-therapy than PRRT alone (Johnbeck et al. 2012, Bison et al. 2014). These results suggest that a cautious approach is prudent until these findings are better understood.

**Figure 8**
A 46-year-old woman with refractory hypoglycaemia associated with metastatic insulinoma was referred for (A) FDG and (B) ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT to assess suitability for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT). Fused axial PET/CT through the upper liver (upper images) demonstrates predominantly ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE avid hepatic lesions whilst fused axial PET/CT images through the pancreas (lower images) demonstrate a bulky, discordantly FDG-avid primary site. Whilst PRRT may be effective to control symptoms of hypoglycaemia, this molecular imaging phenotype demonstrates that additional therapy is required to treat the extensive discordantly FDG-avid sites of disease.

**Figure 9**
Suggested approach to molecular imaging of endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia. The role of this diagnostic pathway includes localisation of potentially resectable insulinoma, assessment of suitability for treatment with PRRT in cases with unresectable metastatic disease and exclusion of insulinoma in cases of suspected nesidioblastosis and post-gastric bypass hypoglycaemia.
Approach to molecular imaging for hypoglycaemia

It is fortunate that the biological and molecular imaging characteristics of insulinoma are uniquely aligned with the available treatment options, allowing a rational diagnostic approach to insulinoma localisation and therapeutic planning outlined in Fig. 9. Following initial clinical and biochemical diagnosis of endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia, a triple phase contrast enhanced CT of the abdomen (with particular attention to the arterial phase fine slice images of the pancreas) or MRI is recommended. If no obvious lesion is identified at this point, further assessment for localisation of presumed benign insulinoma is recommended. Exclusion of benign insulinoma should also be considered in the setting of post-prandial hypoglycaemia due to suspected nesidioblastosis or PGBH. Given that benign insulinoma has near ubiquitous expression of the GLP-1R, imaging with radiolabelled-exendin-4 (particularly 68Ga-NOTA-exendin-4) is recommended to provide confident localisation. However, it must be recognised that this test is not commercially available in kit form and requires on-site radiopharmaceutical synthesis. Consequently, there is currently limited access to this tracer outside of research institutions in Europe, Australia, and China. No commercial product is currently available to ascertain indicative costs. Depending upon radiotracer availability or local expertise, other appropriate localisation techniques at this point include EUS, 68Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT (widely available at academic centres in Europe and Australia, with improving access within the United States) or 18F-FDOPA PET/CT with carbidopa premedication. In particular, if GLP-1R imaging is negative (including delayed imaging at 2–3h) then imaging with 68Ga-DOTATATE is recommended as, to date, nearly all reported insulinomas have been localised with one or other of these tests. Finally, more invasive SACST is recommended only if there is ongoing clinical suspicion and non-localisation. However, if the initial CT scan identifies an obvious insulinoma or concern regarding metastatic disease, further evaluation with 68Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT (or other somatostatin receptor imaging) is recommended for accurate preoperative staging and to assess suitability for PRRT in the presence of inoperable metastatic disease. There are several indications for FDG PET/CT in the setting of metastatic disease described in FDG section.

Future hypoglycaemia imaging research

Study design is an important consideration when considering the evidence base for novel molecular imaging tracers described in this review. Prospective study designs are clearly preferable, ensuring rigorous data collection and minimisation of selection bias, yet are rarely performed for rare tumours such as insulinoma. Retrospective reviews are susceptible to selection bias, in this context particularly the referral of challenging cases not localised by conventional means or with a predominance of metastatic cases that may have different molecular imaging characteristics to the most common benign tumours. Of greatest concern is the impact of partial verification bias when histopathology is used as the gold standard, as is the case with most reported studies. In these circumstances, the result of the index test (localisation study) is the basis for verification by surgical excision and histopathologic evaluation. Depending on the institutional referral patterns and available investigations, this may lead to a significant overestimation of investigation sensitivity, because all non-localised cases will be excluded from analysis. There are various study designs to tackle this issue, including prospective registration of all cases through case registries, utilisation of additional localisation strategies, use of a composite gold standard that includes durable follow-up of patient outcome and also reporting of all non-localised cases. Owing to the rarity of this condition, multicentre trials are likely to be required to determine the most cost-efficient diagnostic paradigm. We believe that a randomised cross-over design would provide robust evidence to guide diagnostic pathways in this regard. In the trial design, patients with likely insulinoma based on laboratory testing would be randomised to either a conventional paradigm of EUS and SACST or molecular imaging to test the independent utility of each approach. If disease location remained occult after the first-line investigation, patients would cross-over to the alternative imaging to test the independent utility of each approach. Study design is an important consideration when considering the evidence base for novel molecular imaging tracers described in this review. Prospective study designs are clearly preferable, ensuring rigorous data collection and minimisation of selection bias, yet are rarely performed for rare tumours such as insulinoma. Retrospective reviews are susceptible to selection bias, in this context particularly the referral of challenging cases not localised by conventional means or with a predominance of metastatic cases that may have different molecular imaging characteristics to the most common benign tumours. Of greatest concern is the impact of partial verification bias when histopathology is used as the gold standard, as is the case with most reported studies. In these circumstances, the result of the index test (localisation study) is the basis for verification by surgical excision and histopathologic evaluation. Depending on the institutional referral patterns and available investigations, this may lead to a significant overestimation of investigation sensitivity, because all non-localised cases will be excluded from analysis. There are various study designs to tackle this issue, including prospective registration of all cases through case registries, utilisation of additional localisation strategies, use of a composite gold standard that includes durable follow-up of patient outcome and also reporting of all non-localised cases. Owing to the rarity of this condition, multicentre trials are likely to be required to determine the most cost-efficient diagnostic paradigm. We believe that a randomised cross-over design would provide robust evidence to guide diagnostic pathways in this regard. In the trial design, patients with likely insulinoma based on laboratory testing would be randomised to either a conventional paradigm of EUS and SACST or molecular imaging to test the independent utility of each approach. If disease location remained occult after the first-line investigation, patients would cross-over to the alternative diagnostic approach to assess the incremental value of the second-line investigations. This design can also include health economic analyses, safety and patient preference evaluations.

Conclusion

There has been significant recent progress in molecular imaging for the investigation of adult non-
diabetic hypoglycaemic syndromes, targeting GLP-1R (68Ga-exendin-4), SSTR (68Ga-DOTATATE), the APUD system (18F-FDOPA), and glycolytic metabolism (18F-FDG) for localisation and in vivo disease characterisation. Although currently limited to use in research centres in Europe, Australia and China, 68Ga-exendin-4 enables accurate localisation of benign insulinoma in cases of endogenous hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia, and has an emerging role excluding insulinoma in cases of suspected neoplasia such as an insulinoma or a PGBH. Although metastatic insulinoma is a rare component of what is itself a rare disease, it poses significant diagnostic and management challenges. An understanding of the causes of hypoglycaemia, its investigation and management provides a context for those involved in the care of endocrine-related cancers to manage this entity for which there is currently a rather limited evidence base. Although everolimus may help to control symptoms and delay disease progression by several months on average, further evaluation of the role of PRRT in both controlling excess hormonal activity and disease progression is warranted. Since most malignant insulinomas seems to express SSTR2, well-established agents including 177Lu-DOTATATE, are a logical starting point for prospective evaluation but will likely require cooperation between a number of centres seeing a high volume of neuroendocrine tumours. It remains to be seen if PRRT using GLP-1R ligands will be a feasible option for treating unresectable insulinoma that lack SSTR2 expression but have adequate uptake of diagnostic tracers targeting this receptor.
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