Detection of a novel, primate-specific ‘kill switch’ tumor suppression mechanism that may fundamentally control cancer risk in humans: an unexpected twist in the basic biology of TP53
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Abstract

The activation of TP53 is well known to exert tumor suppressive effects. We have detected a primate-specific adrenal androgen-mediated tumor suppression system in which circulating DHEAS is converted to DHEA specifically in cells in which TP53 has been inactivated. DHEA is an uncompetitive inhibitor of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD), an enzyme indispensable for maintaining reactive oxygen species within limits survivable by the cell. Uncompetitive inhibition is otherwise unknown in natural systems because it becomes irreversible in the presence of high concentrations of substrate and inhibitor. In addition to primate-specific circulating DHEAS, a unique, primate-specific sequence motif that disables an activating regulatory site in the glucose-6-phosphatase (G6PC) promoter was also required to enable function of this previously unrecognized tumor suppression system. In human somatic cells, loss of TP53 thus triggers activation of DHEAS transport proteins and steroid sulfatase, which converts circulating DHEAS into intracellular DHEA, and hexokinase which increases glucose-6-phosphate substrate concentration. The triggering of these enzymes in the TP53-affected cell combines with the primate-specific G6PC promoter sequence motif that enables G6P substrate accumulation, driving uncompetitive inhibition of G6PD to irreversibility and ROS-mediated cell death. By this catastrophic ‘kill switch’ mechanism, TP53 mutations are effectively prevented from initiating tumorigenesis in the somatic cells of humans, the primate with the highest peak levels of circulating DHEAS. TP53 mutations in human tumors therefore represent fossils of kill switch failure resulting from an age-related decline in circulating DHEAS, a potentially reversible artifact of hominid evolution.

Introduction

At 38.4%, the human lifetime risk of developing malignant cancer (Ahmad et al. 2015; https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/understanding/statistics) is one of the highest in the animal kingdom. However, cancer risk is not spread out in a uniform manner over the entire human life span. Rather, cancer risk is extremely low in young humans and increases exponentially as we age. This age-associated increase in cancer risk observed in our species has been
thought to reflect the inescapable accumulation of DNA damage experienced over the human life span. A closer examination of the record indicates that in most species, cancer risk remains low and relatively flat throughout their life spans, even in animals such as elephants that have lifespans as long as ours (Buffenstein 2008, Fang et al. 2014, Abegglenn et al. 2015, Sulak et al. 2016). For example, a study of animals dying at the San Diego Zoological Gardens demonstrated that neoplasia was present at necropsy in 2.75% of 3127 mammals, 1.89% of 5957 birds, and 2.19% of 1233 reptiles (Effron et al. 1977). A report from the Royal Zoological Gardens of Amsterdam described a tumor incidence of zero for fifty autopsied primates, and 5.7% for 35 autopsied carnivores (Borst et al. 1972). An older study of 5365 necropsies of mammals and birds at the Philadelphia Zoological Gardens demonstrated an overall incidence of neoplasia of about 2% (Ratcliffe 1933). Lombard & Witte (1959), also using data acquired at the Philadelphia Zoological Gardens, reported a tumor incidence of 1.59% in 754 circopithecidaen primates, and in a study at the Yerkes Primate Center, only six of 1066 primates subjected to thorough postmortem autopsy demonstrated malignant cancer (McClure 1973). A recent large, multi-institutional study confirmed these earlier works in large measure, demonstrating that cancer risk in most long-lived animals is low (2–6%) and independent of life span (Abegglenn et al. 2015). Cancer risk as a function of increasing age in elephants, wildebeest, moose and most other long-lived animals is thus linear, with little increase in slope with advancing age. This is in sharp contrast to cancer risk in humans, which increases in conformance with a logistic curve with a 30-year lag phase followed by steep exponential kinetics until very late in the life span. Taken together, these observations suggest that tumor suppression mechanisms in non-human species are generally of a type that does not substantially diminish over their lifespan, whereas those in humans do diminish with increasing age. The much lower cancer rate in other long-lived species also indicates that, when tumor suppression systems function throughout life, while most kinds of genomic damage may accumulate, that subclass of damage that would initiate tumorigenesis is efficiently extinguished.

The p53 tumor suppressor is an ancient protein found in organisms ranging from Caenorhabditis elegans to Homo sapiens (Derry et al. 2001). Because of its intimate role in countering neoplastic transformation in multicellular animals, p53 has been dubbed ‘the guardian of the genome’. Over the past four decades, a paradigm has evolved in which p53 is thought to function in a very similar manner across widely disparate species. According to this paradigm, DNA damage activates the transcription factor properties of p53, such that DNA replication is halted until the damaged DNA can be repaired. If the damage is too great, p53 induces apoptosis by activation of an alternative pathway (for reviews, Schumacher et al. 2001, Kastenhuber & Lowe 2017, Yue et al. 2017). More than half of all human tumors have been found to have mutations in TP53 (the human version of p53), and TP53 appears to be inactivated by other means in the remaining tumors where such mutations are absent (Hollstein et al. 1991, Petitjean et al. 2007, Olivier et al. 2010, Merkel et al. 2017). Mutations in the p53 gene are also prevalent in spontaneous tumors of dogs and cattle, species in which monitoring of neoplasia is routine, and p53 mutations in these species occur in the same ‘hot spots’ as in human tumors (Zhuang et al. 1997, Loukopoulos et al. 2003). Further support for the paradigm of a universal mechanism of action for p53 in mammalian cancer came from the finding that humans with germline mutations in TP53 experience an inordinately high risk of a wide array of tumor types before the age of 30 years (Varley 2003, Guha & Malkin 2017) and that inactivation of p53 in the so-called p53-knockout mouse duplicates this high risk of a wide array of tumor types occurring at an early age (Lozano & Liu 1998, Kenzelmann Broz & Attardi 2010). These findings have encouraged an exceptional degree of confidence among workers in the field that mouse models of tumor suppression offer reasonable approximations of mechanisms of tumor suppression in humans. Thus, for the past several decades, the guiding paradigm with respect to the p53 tumor suppressor has been that it functions in a more or less similar manner across species at least as diverse as man and mouse, and probably across species even more diverse than that. It is our belief, however, that the establishment of this paradigm has come at the expense of ignoring more fundamental paradigms associated with mechanisms of speciation. In this commentary, we discuss our deep reservations with the prevailing p53 paradigm, point out important ways in which it may have misled the endeavor of cancer research, both basic and clinical, and offer an alternative viewpoint based upon new discoveries in species-specific mechanisms of tumor suppression.
Species-specific mechanisms of tumor suppression challenge the prevailing p53 paradigm

The concept of species-specific mechanisms of tumor suppression is gaining increasing support (Contente et al. 2003, Wang et al. 2007, Leroi et al. 2013, Heyne et al. 2015, Tollis et al. 2017, Zhou et al. 2017). Recent evidence in the elephant (Abegglen et al. 2015, Sulak et al. 2016), the naked mole rat (Buffenstein 2008, Fang et al. 2014), the blind mole rat (Avivi et al. 2007, Shams et al. 2013) and canines (Nyce 2017), all support the concept that species-specific mechanisms of tumor suppression may in fact be relatively common. This should not be too surprising, since every species’ evolution through spacetime is unique. The very concept of species entails variations on the themes of body size, lifespan, metabolic rate, reproductive rate, environmental niche and physical and biochemical adaptations to exploit that environmental niche, each of which can be expected to influence risk of neoplastic transformation. By presuming a universal mechanism of action for p53, the prevailing paradigm ignores the fact that all enabling elements of a species’ forward movement through spacetime represent variables that are under integrated selection to maximize exploitation of environmental resources and to simultaneously minimize opposing forces, such as neoplastic transformation. It thus stands to reason that mechanisms of tumor suppression may evolve that incorporate features unique to a particular species, particularly in longer-lived and larger animals. The current paradigm of universal mechanisms of tumor suppression that are independent of species therefore appears to be incorrect and may have led us quite far down an unproductive path. For example, Mus musculus and Rattus norvegicus were selected as model systems for the study of human cancer precisely because they were small, had short, accelerated life spans and had a high reproductive rate – exactly the features that, in hind sight, would be expected to make them species-specific models of murine, not human, cancer. To put this in the sharpest possible relief, murine species use small size and short lifespan as mechanisms to maximize exploitation of their environment while simultaneously minimizing neoplastic transformation. Small size minimizes the number of stem cells at risk for neoplastic transformation, and short lifespan resets accrued mutations to near zero at very short intervals in successive generations, spreading risk across time. This murine strategy is very efficient in that it requires only the canonical p53 repertoire already so well analyzed using p53-knockout mice. The prevailing p53 paradigm thus appears to provide accurate descriptions of this minimalist approach to tumor suppression taken by small, short-lived animals such as mice. As we shall discuss, it is a completely different tumor suppression strategy than those that evolved in larger, longer-lived species such as humans, elephants and whales – the strategies of which will clearly be as different from each other as they are from mice because of the different environments they exploit, and the species-specific mechanisms that have evolved to enable exploitation of those environments. Such species could only evolve large bodies and long lifespans by augmenting the canonical p53 repertoire in ways that are frequently specific to their lineage and sometimes specific to their species. Such considerations, and our identification of a primate-specific adrenal androgen-mediated tumor suppression system dependent upon circulating DHEAS – which does not occur in murine species – quite strongly suggest that data provided by mouse and rat models are applicable only to those species and are completely incapable of meaningful translation to human cancer. We are not the first to make this observation:

"The history of cancer research has been a history of curing cancer in the mouse. We have cured mice of cancer for decades – and it simply didn't work in humans."

– Dr Richard Klausner
Former Director of the National Cancer Institute (Cimons et al. 1998)

Identification of an Anthropoid primate-specific kill switch tumor suppression system

Exposure to significant cellular stress is well known to activate the p53 tumor suppressor to induce apoptosis by both transcription-dependent and transcription-independent mechanisms (Speidel 2010, Le Pen et al. 2016, Castrogiovanni et al. 2017). We have recently reported our detection in canines of a rudimentary form of an otherwise primate-specific adrenal androgen-mediated ‘kill switch’ in which cell death is triggered by the inactivation of p53 (Nyce 2017). By analogy with other long-lived animals such as the elephant, this adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch mechanism may represent the primary means of defense used by our species to prevent transformation caused by genotoxins. It has been hiding in plain sight within the p53 repertoire and may have kept so well hidden because
it depends on the unique, primate-specific evolution of extraordinarily high post-natal levels of circulating DHEAS. In humans, this begins at about age 6 years with the advent of adrenarche – the development of the adrenal zona reticularis, a tissue the only apparent function of which is to synthesize DHEAS in extremely large amounts and secrete it into the bloodstream (Bird 2012, Rege & Rainey 2012). While both Anthropoid primates (humans, chimpanzees, bonobos, gorillas, etc.) and Strepsirrhine primates (lemurs) have circulating DHEAS, such levels are orders of magnitude higher in Anthropoid as compared to Strepsirrhine primates, and true adrenarche may only occur in the human, chimpanzee and bonobo (Nakamura et al. 2009, Behringer et al. 2012). Nevertheless, dogs have a rudimentary zona reticularis and a homologue of adrenarche has been reported in them (Schiebinger et al. 1981, Perez-Fernandez et al. 1987). Based upon this finding, we formulated the hypothesis that canines might also possess a homologue of the otherwise primate-specific adrenal androgen-mediated tumor suppressor system and that at least some canine tumors might retain sensitivity to triggering of this system. Indeed, certain canine tumors do respond to triggering of the kill switch in a manner that has never, to our knowledge, been observed in murine models (Nyce 2017).

Circulating DHEAS does not occur in common laboratory rats or mice, and the near exclusive use of such rodent models in cancer research over the past 40 years clearly contributed to the delay in the discovery of the primate-specific, adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch tumor suppression system. Additional research impediments have also contributed to the kill switch mechanism remaining occult throughout these decades of p53 research. Thus, it cannot be studied in transformed cells, because these have already escaped succumbing to it because of kill switch failure (see below); following such failure, such transformed cells have also incurred an obfuscating patchwork of follow-on mutations and epigenetic variations. The kill switch tumor suppressor system is also a single cell phenomenon, and single cell analysis techniques have not yet reached the level of sophistication required to detect in real time a unique event occurring in a vast excess of unaffected cells at an approximate rate of $2 \times 10^{-7}$; let alone an event designed to extinguish that cell from existence. Our detection of this kill switch tumor suppression mechanism depended upon a rudimentary form of it occurring in dogs, and the fact that our laboratory works exclusively with dogs with spontaneous cancer (Nyce 2017).

The mechanics of the kill switch

DHEAS and DHEA represent the Dr Jekyll and Mr Hyde of androgen biology. DHEAS can circulate at very high levels without toxicity because, as a hydrophilic anion, it requires active transport into the cell and, as long as it remains in its sulfated form, it exerts no untoward effects upon intermediary metabolism. DHEA, on the other hand, is lipophilic, freely diffuses into cells, and is a potent uncompetitive inhibitor of the critical enzyme glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD). Circulating DHEA must therefore be maintained at very low serum concentrations, orders of magnitude below its inhibition constant for G6PD ($K_i = 18.5 \mu M$; compare DHEAS $K_i = 310 \mu M$ (Gordon et al. 1986); peak serum concentrations of DHEA of $= 30 \text{nM}$, and of DHEAS of $= 11.5 \mu M$ (Labrie et al. 1997)). Because of its extreme rarity, the mechanics of uncompetitive inhibition are frequently ignored. Uncompetitive inhibition requires that the substrate first binds to the enzyme, forming an enzyme:substrate complex (ES) that flexes the enzyme, creating a binding site for the inhibitor. This creates enzyme kinetics in which inhibitor binding uniquely decreases both $K_m$ and $V_{max}$. While all mechanisms of enzyme inhibition increase substrate concentration, only in uncompetitive inhibition does the increase in substrate concentration enhance enzyme inhibition rather than suppress it, by increasing the amount of ES to which the inhibitor can bind. Thus, in the presence of high intracellular concentrations of substrate and inhibitor, uncompetitive inhibition becomes irreversible. This is modeled by the equation:

$$V = \frac{V_{max}^\text{app}[S]}{K_m^\text{app}} + [S]$$

Where $V_{max}^\text{app}$ is the apparent $V_{max}$ given by:

$$V_{max}^\text{app} = \frac{V_{max}}{1 + \frac{[I]}{K_i}}$$

$K_m^\text{app}$ is the apparent $K_m$ given by:

$$K_m^\text{app} = \frac{K_m}{1 + \frac{[I]}{K_i}}$$

As noted by Cornish-Bowden (1986), the potential of uncompetitive inhibitors to induce catastrophic toxicity has made them almost nonexistent in natural systems:
“Cases of uncompetitive inhibition by species that are not involved in the reaction are virtually unknown... Uncompetitive effects may not merely be mechanistically implausible but may be so detrimental to organisms that display them that there has been evolutionary selection against such inhibition by naturally occurring metabolites. It may therefore be worthwhile to point out that any metabolic pathway in which uncompetitive inhibition can occur can potentially respond catastrophically to the presence of inhibitor.”

Among several other critical cellular duties, G6PD supplies the NADPH required to maintain ROS concentrations at levels that are survivable for the cell (Yang et al. 2016, Hq et al. 2017). If the conditions for irreversible uncompetitive inhibition of G6PD are met in a cell, the resulting depletion of intracellular NADPH will lead to a rapid, catastrophic increase in intracellular ROS in that cell. The triggering of such irreversible uncompetitive inhibition of G6PD in cells affected by TP53 inactivation occurs by a series of well-described reactions (Fig. 1). Thus, inactivation of TP53 de-represses Glut 1 and Glut 4 transporters (Schwartzenberg-Bar-Yoseph et al. 2004, Shen et al. 2012), bringing excessive amounts of glucose into the injured cell. Inactivation of TP53 also de-represses hexokinase-1 and -2 by eliminating miR-34a (Kim et al. 2013), increasing intracellular pools of glucose-6-phosphate (G6P). Excess G6P binds to G6PD, creating binding sites for the small amount of intracellular DHEA that originally exists in the cell. G6PD E:S then acts as a sink for DHEA, stimulating OATP2B1, the transport protein responsible for importing DHEAS into the cell. Inactivation of TP53 also hyperactivates NFKB (Weisz et al. 2007, Kawauchi et al. 2008, Cooks et al. 2013), triggering steroid sulfatase (Hattori et al. 2012, Dias & Selcer 2016), which potentiates the importation of DHEAS and its intracellular activation to DHEA. OATP2B1 is also stimulated by the intracellular presence of de-sulfated androgens (Grube et al. 2006), such that as the intracellular concentration of DHEA rises, DHEAS is imported into the p53-affected cell at an ever-accelerating rate. With all limits upon their synthesis eliminated, intracellular concentrations of G6P and DHEA quickly rise, causing irreversible uncompetitive inhibition of G6PD, complete depletion of intracellular NADPH and consequent catastrophic increase in intracellular ROS in the TP53-affected cell. It is important to point out that loss of NADPH eliminates redox control of intracellular ROS both by depletion of redundant required for the function of redox proteins, and by inhibition of the synthesis of those same redox proteins. Thus, HMG CoA reductase is an unusual enzyme in intermediary metabolism in that it requires two moles of NADPH for each mole of mevalonate produced. It is therefore extremely sensitive to NADPH depletion (Schulz & Nyce 1991). We have previously demonstrated that DHEA sufficient to deplete intracellular NADPH and inhibit HMG CoA reductase blocks the isoprenylation of the RAS oncprotein, as well as other mevalonate-dependent pathways (Schulz & Nyce 1991). These additional mevalonate-dependent pathways include the synthesis of selenoproteins such as thioredoxin reductase (TRX) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX), the translation of which require mevalonate-dependent N6-isopentenyladenosine in selenocysteine tRNA [Ser] Sec (Warner et al. 2000). Such inhibition of selenoprotein synthesis in cells in which uncompetitive inhibition of G6PD has become irreversible is likely to constitute a major component of the kill switch mechanism because, of the 25 known human selenoproteins, more than

---

**Figure 1**

Mechanism of action of the adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch tumor suppression system. (A) Cell with normal p53 function. (B) A somatic cell in which mutation of p53 has occurred. Cells with inactivated p53 act as a sink for circulating DHEAS, which is imported into the cell by OATPs (downward arrow). In addition to high circulating levels of DHEAS, an Anthropoid primate-specific sequence motif (GAAT) in the G6PC promoter was also required to enable kill switch function. A full colour version of this figure is available at https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-18-0241.
half are involved in the control of the cellular redox environment (Mangiapane et al. 2014). TRX and GPX are unconditionally essential to redox control, and their function would be particularly degraded because in addition to their physical depletion due to inhibition of their synthesis caused by NADPH cofactor depletion of HMG CoA reductase in the mevalonate pathway, they also require NADPH for regeneration of their reduced states at their site of action.

This kill switch mechanism, which targets cancer at the level of the initial potentially tumorigenic cell – a point in tumorigenesis that we refer to as the singularity – may prevent most TP53 mutation events from initiating tumorigenesis when it is acting at optimal efficiency. The kill switch mechanism offers the first explanation of why there would exist an uncompetitive, potentially irreversible inhibitor of a critical enzyme such as G6PD.

According to this model, human tumors with TP53 mutations represent instances in which this kill switch mechanism had failed to be triggered, for example, because of inadequate amounts of circulating DHEAS in aging modern humans (see below). TP53 mutations in human tumors are thus fossils of such kill switch failure. We have demonstrated that in some spontaneous tumors, appropriate manipulation of the adrenal androgen system can trigger a failed kill switch to fire, resulting in tumor regression (Nyce 2017). This finding provided indirect evidence for the primate-specific nature of the kill switch, as it is only observed in species with circulating DHEAS, which consists almost exclusively of Anthropoid primates, of which humans have by far the highest peak levels. Quite direct evidence for the kill switch mechanism comes from Anthropoid primate-specific genetic modifications that were required to enable kill switch function.

Genetic evidence supporting the species-specific evolution of the adrenal androgen ‘kill switch’

If the DHEAS-mediated kill switch evolved in a species-specific manner – in humans, but not in mice and rats – is there evidence for this species specificity in the genetic record? In addition to primate-specific circulating DHEAS, additional changes in intermediary metabolism were required to enable the kill switch to function in humans but not in mice or rats. For example, irreversible uncompetitive inhibition of G6PD requires glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) substrate to accumulate to high intracellular concentrations. This is not possible if the enzyme glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase) is active, because G6Pase catabolizes G6P to glucose and inorganic phosphate, which would prevent the accumulation of G6P. While primarily thought of as an hepatic enzyme that plays a major role in glucose homeostasis, G6Pase is known to be dysregulated in an array of human tumor types (Abbadi et al. 2014, Guo et al. 2015) and is a target of p53 regulation (Kim et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2014).

G6PC activity is modulated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha (PGC-1alpha), an important regulator of energy expenditure. PGC-1alpha directs hepatic nuclear factor-4alpha (HNF-4alpha), a member of the steroid/thyroid hormone receptor superfamily, to a specific dodecanucleotide activating regulatory site in the G6PC promoter. PGC-1alpha is potently stimulated by DHEA (Yokokawa et al. 2015), and such stimulation would disable kill switch function by removing G6P substrate. In the mouse, the presence of intracellular DHEA induces PGC-1alpha, activating G6PC and preventing the accumulation of G6P. This explains why administration of DHEA to p53−/− mice is not toxic (Perkins et al. 1997, Wang et al. 1997).

However, something remarkable and telling has occurred in humans. Schilling and her colleagues identified a G6PC promoter sequence motif immediately downstream from the HNF-4alpha-binding site that appears to regulate PGC-1alpha control of G6PC activity (Schilling et al. 2008). Whereas in murine species this motif consists of ACAG and is permissive for PGC-1alpha-mediated activation of G6PC activity, in humans, it is GAAT which disables PGC-1alpha-mediated stimulation of G6PC activity. In contrast to rats and mice, then, in humans intracellular DHEA cannot induce PGC-1alpha-mediated activation of G6PC. In a species-specific manner, G6P can accumulate in human cells in the presence of intracellular DHEA, which it cannot do in mouse or rat cells. Species-specific response to intracellular DHEA has been noted before, with normal human and rat aortic vascular smooth muscles cells responding in opposite fashion to DHEA exposure (Yoneyama et al. 1997).

We discovered that the GAAT tetranucleotide (Tetrad) that disables PGC-1alpha-mediated activation of G6PC is specific to the Anthropoid primate lineage and does not occur in Strepsirrhine primates (e.g., lemurs) or non-primate species (Fig. 2). Critically, this means that the GAAT Tetrad that disables PGC-1alpha-mediated activation of G6PC is specific to lineages with high circulating DHEAS, as lemurs and other Strepsirrhine primates have circulating DHEAS levels that are more than 40-fold less than those observed in Anthropoid primates (Fig. 3). Anthropoid primates, particularly humans, are thus uniquely among
Figure 2

Anthropoid primate-specific modification of G6PC promoter to produce GAAT tetrad enables accumulation of G6P required for catastrophic uncompetitive G6PD inhibition. The highly conserved dodcanucleotide HNF-4α/PGC-1α binding site is highlighted in vertical blue box. The G6PC promoter tetra-nucleotide that disables (ACAG) or enables (GAAT) accumulation of G6P is labeled tetrad. Accession numbers for listed sequences can be found in Supplementary Section 2. Site-specific insertions are depicted as Δ followed by the inserted sequence. Tars, tarsiers; Strep, Strepsirrhine primates such as lemurs and lorises; Der, Dermoptera, the closest mammalian order relative to primates.

all species in the possession of high levels of circulating DHEAS and the G6PC promoter sequence motif that enables the accumulation of G6P in the presence of intracellular DHEA. This provides unambiguous evidence that the ability to induce irreversible uncompetitive inhibition of G6PD in somatic cells is an important aspect of the evolution of Anthropoid primates, culminating in Homo sapiens, the Anthropoid species with the highest peak levels of circulating DHEAS. The fact that DHEA and p53 (and PTEN) have co-evolved as natural inhibitors of G6PD further strengthens the connection between the primate adrenal androgen system and tumor suppression (Jiang et al. 2011, Hong et al. 2014). In a lineage-exclusive manner, then, these evolutionary innovations enabled the kill switch mechanism to be deployed in somatic cells of Anthropoid primates with great efficiency, preventing neoplasia from becoming a significant cause of death in this lineage during periods of their life span characterized by high levels of circulating DHEAS. The fine tuning of kill switch function, mediated by duration and peak levels of adrenal secretion of DHEAS, then evolved in a species-specific manner as Anthropoid primates deployed different strategies to exploit their different environmental niches. In humans, such strategies included the harnessing of fire, resulting in species-specific exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and other carcinogens produced by the incomplete combustion of organic materials. Such continuous high-level carcinogen exposure in unventilated primitive habitats may have exerted a selective pressure favoring humans with higher peak circulating levels of DHEAS, and therefore, an optimized kill switch tumor suppression mechanism.
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The human adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch remains set for prehistoric Homo species

As noted earlier, modern humans have one of the highest life-time risks of developing malignant cancer in the entire animal kingdom. At 38.4%, this risk is more than an order of magnitude higher than that of most other long-lived species, for example, the elephant. As is evident in Fig. 4, modern humans experience an age-associated exponential increase in cancer risk as their circulating levels of DHEAS decline. However, did this relationship hold for primitive Homo sapiens? Humans have experienced a recent extreme increase in longevity. For the vast majority of our species’ existence, life was short. Weiss and others have calculated a probable life expectancy at birth for primitive man of about 25 years; survivability to adulthood of about 50%; 88% mortality before the age of 30 years and generation times of about 20–25 years (Weis 1981, 1984, Kennedy 2003, Trinkaus 2011). World Health Organization data shows that as recently as 1900, global life expectancy at birth in the undeveloped world was just 26.5 years (Roser 2018), and even today ranges from 21 to 37 years for different extant hunter-gatherer tribes that have limited access to modern healthcare (Gurven & Kaplam 2007).

Only in the last 50–75 years have dramatic improvements in public health enabled the majority of humans, at least in industrialized countries, to live into old age (Olshansky et al. 1990, Smith 1993). The life-time risk of developing a malignant tumor during virtually all of our species’ prehistoric existence was thus almost certainly in the 4% range of other long-lived mammals. However, the adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch, which evolved to protect during a human life span that generally did not exceed 25 years, did not keep pace with the increasing longevity of modern humans (Fig. 4). The excursion into old age that is being made by modern humans is thus being conducted without the protection of the natural adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch, which is still set to protect only for the very short life span of our ancestors.

Species-specific tumor suppression in the African elephant and the naked mole rat

Other long-lived mammals, lacking the circulating DHEAS and the G6P accumulation-enabling G6PC promoter tetrad of the Anthropoid primates, have developed alternative species-specific tumor suppression systems. A recent study showed that the lifetime risk for an elephant dying of cancer is less than 5%, with no apparent increase...
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Figure 4

Species-specific kill switch tumor suppression systems targeting G6PD. In humans, circulating DHEAS (blue and grey lines), and therefore, kill switch function is maintained at optimal levels only up until about age 25 years – the life expectancy for humans for most of our existence as a species. The adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch evolved to provide protection for such short human life spans (blue rectangular prism), and declines sharply thereafter. Because modern humans live for much longer periods of time, the phenomenon of exponentially increasing cancer risk with increasing age is observed (red line). Species such as the elephant, moose and naked mole rat, which use tumor suppression systems that do not decline with age, experience little or no increased risk of cancer as they age (green line). Cancer risk redrawn after Cancer Incidence by Age (http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/incidence/age). Lifetime risk of 38.4% (https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/understanding/statistics). Four percent cancer risk of most long-lived mammals from Abegglen et al. (2015). Circulating DHEAS levels redrawn after (dePeretti & Forest 1976, Parker & Odell 1980, Vermuelen 1980, Ourentreich et al. 1984, Labrie et al. 1997).

in cancer risk with increasing age (Abegglen et al. 2015). The explanation for this low and constant cancer rate appears to be found in the elephant genome. In addition to the two alleles of the p53 tumor suppressor found in humans, the elephant genome has an additional nineteen p53 retro-pseudogenes (p53p), many of which appear to be translated into protein (Abegglen et al. 2015, Sulak et al. 2016). In a comparison of elephant cells (two active p53 alleles and 19 p53p), normal human cells (two active p53 alleles), and cells from patients with Li Fraumeni syndrome (one active p53 allele), Abegglen et al. (2015) observed that the induction of apoptosis in response to DNA damage in elephant cells (14.64%; 95% CI, 10.91–18.37%; P < 0.001) was twofold higher than in normal human cells (7.17%; 95% CI, 5.91–8.44%; P < 0.001) and five-fold higher than in cells from patients with Li Fraumeni syndrome (2.71%; 95% CI, 1.93–3.48%). In parallel studies in which siRNA were used to block translation of p53p, the increased apoptotic rate in elephant cells exposed to DNA damaging agents was significantly reduced (Sulak et al. 2016). These data demonstrate that p53p pseudogenes contribute to the sensitivity to induction of apoptosis, although the exact mechanism of action remains to be clarified.

The naked mole rat (NMR) is a mouse-sized creature that leads an entirely subterranean existence, has the longest life span of any rodent at 28–35 years, and is also unique in its virtually complete resistance to cancer in its natural habitat (Gorbunova et al. 2014, Lagunas-Rangel & Chavez-Valenica 2017). It has recently been discovered (Tang et al. 2016) that the NMR has 17 retropseudogenes corresponding to the phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) tumor suppressor. PTEN and p53 tumor suppressors are often given co-status as ‘the guardians of the genome’, because both are transcription factors that activate complex programs of apoptosis in cells that suffer potentially tumorigenic levels of DNA damage (Yin & Shen 2008, Ryan 2011). What has gone essentially unnoticed is that, like DHEA, both p53 and PTEN are direct inhibitors of G6PD (Jiang et al. 2011, Hong et al. 2014). Thus, the possibility exists that p53p in the elephant, and the PTEN retropseudogenes (PTENp) in the NMR, constitute effectors of species-specific kill switch mechanisms that parallel the adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch of primates by targeting G6PD for lethal inhibition. The naked mole rat has also been discovered to have a species-specific high molecular weight hyaluronic acid peptidoglycan network that is central to its resistance to cancer.
acid isoform (Tian et al. 2013) which, by virtue of its anti-
oxidant function, could be part of such a G6PD-targeting 
kill switch system (Supplementary Section 3).

**Primordial role of DHEAS in kill switch maintenance of germ line genomic integrity?**

Although circulating DHEAS and the autosomal kill switch mechanism that utilizes it are species-specific phenomena, the uncompetitive inhibition kinetics of DHEA with respect to G6PD are not; they exist in all animal species with endogenous DHEA. This suggests that such uncompetitive, potentially irreversible inhibition kinetics must have been selected for in all species with DHEA, not just those limited few with circulating DHEAS. What then, was the overall selective pressure for such an unusual form of enzyme inhibition, targeting such a critical enzyme as G6PD? The maintenance of germ cell genomic integrity is a critical task that must be conducted with extremely high fidelity, particularly with respect to oocytes of mammals, since female mammals make a comparatively enormous investment in their offspring. Considering the finite number of oocytes that female mammals carry, this large investment in their offspring is best initiated using the highest quality oocytes available. This is most efficiently accomplished by eliminating oocytes from the reproductive pool that have experienced decrement in their genomic integrity. Such genomic integrity must be maintained for very long periods of time – decades in some species, including humans – as oocytes are arrested in prophase of meiosis I between homologous 
chromosome recombination and ovulation. It has been elegantly demonstrated that NFKB in oocytes, which then simultaneously activates steroid sulfatase to produce DHEA from this large standing pool of oocyte DHEAS. In oocytes, NFKB will also inactivate G6PC to permit the accumulation of G6P (Grempler 2004). By satisfying the requirements for irreversible uncompetitive inhibition of G6PD, i.e., high concentrations of inhibitor and substrate, the kill switch mechanism targeting G6PD with irreversible 
uncompetitive inhibition can thus be unleashed as necessary in the germ cell compartment of all animals that can produce gonadal DHEAS. This appears to also be true for spermatogonia, where a protective role has already been observed for DHEAS (Papadopoulos et al. 2017) and for p63 (Beyer et al. 2011).

Also of interest is the observation that all placental mammals have a well-developed adrenal fetal zone producing extraordinarily high levels of DHEAS throughout gestation, with such levels sharply falling off to near zero at birth (Pashen et al. 1982, Conley et al. 1994, Raeside et al. 1997, Parker 1999, Rainey et al. 2004). The extraordinary rates of DNA replication occurring during fetal development should make the fetus extremely sensitive to neoplastic transformation; yet, such transformation is rare. The possibility therefore exists that, among species employing fetal adrenal synthesis of DHEAS, its uncompetitive inhibition kinetics with respect to G6PD may have been under selective pressure to maintain not only germ line integrity, but also fetal somatic cell integrity. Primates then duplicated elements of this system to protect their somatic cells into adulthood, evolving adrenal zona 
reticularis with the ability to synthesize and secrete DHEAS into the circulation following adrenarche,
and the G6PC promoter motif that enables accumulation of G6P substrate.

Is the human-specific, aging-associated exponential increase in cancer risk unalterable?

A perhaps surprising revelation supported by recent data is that the exponential increase in cancer risk with increasing age appears to be a human-specific phenomenon that does not occur in most other species, in which cancer risk with increasing age shows a relatively flat trajectory. The extremely low and flat cancer risk experienced by elephants and many other species throughout their entire lifetimes (Abegglen et al. 2015) thus appears to represent the evolutionary norm, making the exponential increase in cancer risk with increasing age observed in humans the outlier. Unlike elephants, or naked mole rats, which are long-lived species whose mechanisms of tumor suppression are genetic and effected by constitutive macromolecules, the adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch of humans is effected by a small molecule, DHEAS, and is therefore potentially subject to pharmacological manipulation. This raises the possibilities that (a) the failure of kill switch evolution to keep pace with modern human life expectancy might be overcome by pharmacologically maintaining circulating DHEAS at its peak level throughout the modern human life span; and (b) extrapolating from other long-lived animals who appear to maintain parallel kill switch mechanisms over their lifetimes, the human-specific phenomenon of exponentially increasing cancer risk with increasing age might be eliminated by such pharmacological maintenance of the adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch. It will therefore be important to determine if such pharmacological reconstitution of the kill switch mechanism throughout the lifespan can normalize the 38.4% lifetime cancer risk of modern humans to the low, flat cancer risk experienced by virtually all other long-lived mammals (Fig. 5).

Diet and the kill switch

Factors in addition to the adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch clearly also modulate cancer risk in aging primates. Thus, cercopithecidae primates such as Rhesus monkeys enjoy very low cancer risk throughout life (Lombard & Witte 1959), despite a gradual age-related decline in plasma DHEAS (Kemnitz et al. 2000, Sorwell & Urbanski 2013). Unlike modern humans, however, primates in captivity are subjected to rigorously controlled diets that maintain them at optimum weights and percentage body fat. Since caloric restriction is well known to inhibit carcinogenesis (Brandhorst & Longo 2016, Kopeina et al. 2017), and caloric excess to promote it (Allott & Hursting 2015, Hopkins et al. 2016), the controlled diets of primates in captivity may account for some portion of their reduced lifetime risk of cancer. However, Rhesus monkeys

Figure 5
Potential for pharmacologic extension of adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch. Humans are protected by their natural adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch only until about age 30 (blue rectangular prism). Circulating DHEAS levels decline dramatically thereafter in both men and women (solid blue and grey lines, respectively), resulting in a species-specific exponential increase in cancer risk with increasing age (solid red line). However, optimum DHEAS levels can be pharmacologically maintained (dashed blue and grey lines) throughout life into old age (green rectangular prism). If the analogy holds with other long-lived species such as chimpanzees and elephants, in which kill switch mechanisms targeting G6PD are maintained throughout life, pharmacological maintenance of peak DHEAS levels throughout the modern human life span may normalize the age-associated increase in human cancer risk to that of most other species (dashed red line).
also have only one-tenth the body mass of adult humans, so their smaller body size also contributes to species-specific tumor suppression, as we have discussed for the mouse. Body size can also modulate human cancer risk. Thus, the recent ‘million women study’, which followed 1,297,124 women for a median time of 9.4 years each, reported an overall 16% increase in cancer risk for every 10 cm (4 inches) in height above average (Green et al. 2011). This association of increased cancer risk with increased height has been confirmed by additional studies performed in 144,701 women (median follow-up, 12 years) (Kabat et al. 2013), and in 310,000 male and female UK Biobank participants (Ong et al. 2018). At the opposite end of the spectrum, studies of dwarf humans with Laron Syndrome – one of which studies lasted 57 years – demonstrated a near total absence of cancer in these long-lived, small bodied humans (Janecka et al. 2016, Laron & Kauli 2016). Extrapolating this finding, the evolutionary trajectory of humans through spacetime may have taken advantage of small body size during childhood as an inexpensive means of tumor suppression, such that combined with the canonical p53 repertoire, it proved sufficient to minimize cancer risk during this developmental phase. It is only in preparation for the increased stature occurring with puberty that the adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch tumor suppressor system becomes necessary, and the zona reticularis undergoes its extraordinary activation and release of DHEAS into the circulation. Increased adult body size would clearly have been adaptive for survival in the primitive landscape in which predation by large carnivores, and intertribal warfare, constituted major selective pressures. However, such increased stature would have come at the price of increased cancer risk if only the canonical p53 repertoire was operative. Thus, as primitive humans progressed into adulthood, high levels of circulating DHEAS required for kill switch tumor suppression may have enabled them to maintain the low cancer risk of their juvenile phase while accumulating the increased body mass that enhanced their probability of survival. This line of reasoning suggests that the loss of functional levels of circulating DHEAS as modern humans surpass the primitive life span may be responsible for the excess cancer risk associated with increased height and that pharmacological reconstitution of DHEAS levels might eliminate such excess risk.

As previously noted, humans have by far the highest peak levels of circulating DHEAS, followed by the chimpanzee (Blevins et al. 2013). Chimpanzees weigh 40–60 kg (88–130 pounds), about the same as primitive humans (McHenry 1976). With respect to diet, it is important to note that humans and chimpanzees are the only two primates that regularly eat meat and that the consumption of red meat is known to be carcinogenic (Johnson 2017). Chimpanzees consume only a fraction of the meat that humans do, and neither did they harness fire, as humans did. Their species-specific trajectory through spacetime thus did not involve exposure to the heterocyclic amines, N-nitrosamines and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons that are formed in the processing of meat by heat (Gu et al. 2011, Bellamri et al. 2018). Consumption of such heat-processed meat is well documented to be carcinogenic (Milton 1999, IARC 2015, Chiang & Quek 2017). The combination of less dependence upon dietary meat and a complete absence of exposure to the carcinogens in cooked meat clearly delineates the evolutionary trajectory of the chimpanzee as compared to humans. Chimpanzees therefore may have had a reduced requirement for the primate-specific adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch tumor suppression system, and this may account for the fact that their peak circulating levels of DHEAS are only about half what they are in humans (Blevins et al. 2013). In this regard, also consider other Anthropoid primates. Gorillas can weigh 140–180 kg (300–400 pounds) and orangutans 115 kg (250 pounds). However, both are vegetarian in their diets, and have little or no exposure to the carcinogens found in cooked meat. Their circulating DHEAS levels are, respectively, one-third and one-sixth that of chimpanzees, who do eat meat, albeit raw meat; and one-sixth and one-eleventh, respectively, that of humans who consume heat-processed meat (Bernstein et al. 2012). These facts suggest that the Anthropoid primate-specific adrenal androgen-mediated tumor suppressor system enabled the harnessing of fire by primitive humans, and the consequent exposure to the carcinogens produced in heat-processed meat selected for humans with the highest circulating levels of DHEAS, and hence, optimum function of the kill switch tumor suppressor system. Modern humans, however, consume far more heat-processed meat than our primitive ancestors had the opportunity to do, significantly increasing our exposure to the carcinogens created in such heat-processed meat – and also leading to the current epidemic of obesity. With respect to obesity, the U.S. Center for Disease Control (CDC) has recently reported that the average American woman today weighs as much as the average American man did in the 1960s, while the body mass of the average modern...
American male is now nearly double that estimated for primitive members of our species (McHenry 1976, Ogden & Carroll 2010). Such dramatic increases in body mass have put modern humans outside the limits under which the kill switch tumor suppression system evolved. Another negative consequence of obesity in modern humans is that fat expresses tissue-specific isoforms of steroid sulfatase, such that excessive accumulation of fat sequesters circulating DHEAS in this tissue (Dalla Valle et al. 2006), further degrading kill switch function in aging individuals who are overweight. Thus, the modern human diet focused as it is on the consumption of heat-processed meat, and the obesity commonplace in modern humans, place further significant constraints upon kill switch function in aging humans who have declining levels of circulating DHEAS.

Exogenous DHEA

The age-associated loss of circulating DHEAS has prompted many hypotheses regarding the biological role of DHEA in humans. However, none of these hypotheses have been informed by knowledge of the kill switch mechanism. In humans, circulating levels of DHEA are kept safely in the low nanomolar range, several orders of magnitude below its IC\(_{50}\) for G6PD inhibition, while DHEAS circulates at micromolar levels that are just slightly under the IC\(_{50}\) for DHEA inhibition of G6PD (Gordon et al. 1986, Labrie et al. 1997). These natural conditions clearly evolved to prevent destruction of normal cells and tissues by irreversible catastrophic uncompetitive inhibition of G6PD, such that DHEAS will only be converted to DHEA in cells in which p53 inactivation has occurred or that require intracrine steroid hormone synthesis (Labrie 2015). Systemically administered DHEA is therefore likely to produce toxicities in humans that would not be observed in murine species and render the kill switch tumor suppression system inoperable via the induction of tolerance. There is also the potential for serious drug interactions, e.g., with carboxylic NSAIDs such as ketoprofen, the CoA conjugates of which have been shown to bind irreversibly to and inhibit G6PD (Asensio et al. 2006). For safety reasons, therapeutic administration of DHEA should therefore be limited to local administration, as for example in a recent treatment for vaginal atrophy approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (Bouchard et al. 2016, Labrie et al. 2016). Most developed countries regulate DHEA as a food supplement, there is no adequate mechanism available for adverse event reporting as there would be for drugs administered under a physician’s supervision.

Conclusion: trouble in paradigm

The p53 gene was discovered almost 40 years ago (Kress et al. 1979, Lane & Crawford 1979, Linzer & Levine 1979), and its role as a major tumor suppressor was identified a decade later (Baker et al. 1989, Takahashi et al. 1989). The p53-knockout mouse model of human cancer has been a staple of cancer research for some 26 years (Donehower et al. 1992). The depth of infiltration of this model into the fabric of human cancer research is demonstrated by the fact that it has been accepted by the FDA as a preclinical model for human drug development for more than 20 years (FDA 1997). The use of this model system over this long period of time has created a paradigm in which mutations in p53 are considered to be linear initiators of carcinogenesis with virtually universal application independent of species, such that results in one species, e.g., the mouse, are thought to accurately translate to another, e.g., the human. Yet, new research in non-murine species (dog, elephant, naked mole rat, etc.) suggests that while p53 may be a universal sensor of mutagenic insult, many animals, including humans, adopt species-specific solutions to such insult and those species-specific solutions triggered by p53 inactivation appear frequently to converge mechanistically upon lethal inhibition of G6PD. These observations suggest that the focus of nature’s anti-cancer effort is the singularity. In this way, nature suppresses cancer at its most vulnerable point, at the level of the initial, potentially transformed cell, before it has initiated the explosion of diversification that has made clinical cancer incurable up to now. This appears to be how the elephant suppresses cancer throughout its long life, with its species-specific method to enhance its p53-mediated kill switch system. It also appears to be how chimpanzees and other great apes suppress cancer (McClure 1973, Hill et al. 2001, Brown et al. 2009), capitalizing upon primate-specific circulating DHEAS and G6PC promoter motifs, as well as the competitive G6PD inhibition kinetics of DHEA. We believe that the species-specific DHEAS-mediated kill switch is fundamental to cancer suppression in humans.

Cancer continues to be among the leading causes of death worldwide and is predicted to soon overtake cardiovascular disease as the number one cause of death in Western countries (Ma et al. 2015). This trend will accelerate as progress in the treatment of cardiovascular
disease, influenza and other major killers outstrip progress in the treatment of cancer, and more people who would have died of these other diseases survive into old age. In 2012, there were 14 million new cancer cases and 8.2 million cancer deaths worldwide (WHO 2018). The number of new cancer cases is expected to increase to 23.6 million annually by 2030 (https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/understanding/statistics; http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs297/en/), which will place an incredible burden on healthcare delivery. In China, cancer is already the number one cause of death, with 4.3 million newly diagnosed cases of invasive cancer annually and 2.3 million cancer deaths (Chen et al. 2015). Clearly, with the increases in longevity being experienced by our species, cancer has already reached epidemic proportions. As we have not yet reached what is believed to be the maximum human lifespan (Gavrilov et al. 2017), the current cancer epidemic will only become magnified in the future, far outstripping the ability of all currently applied strategies to stem it.

Critical review reveals that over the past 40 years, improvements in patient survival for most types of cancer have been nominal. While cancer death rates have declined each year since 2000 in developed countries, most of this reduction is thought to be due to the decreased use of tobacco (Malvezzi et al. 2017). Even the most highly touted new treatment modalities extend life only marginally and are rapidly overcome by the resistance made possible by tumor heterogeneity (Delyon et al. 2015, Iafolla & Juergens 2017). Exceptions to this rule exist, but they are rare (McDermott et al. 2014, Delyon et al. 2015, Callahan et al. 2017), and are unlikely to contribute in a significant way to overall survival. Data from the U.S. National Cancer Institute (https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/understanding/statistics) demonstrate that 2-year survival for invasive cancers has improved less than 7% over the past 27 years and appears to be at an asymptotic boundary beyond which further improvement may be negligible (Fig. 6).

Furthermore, a not insignificant fraction of the improvement in survival that has been achieved may be due to advances in the supportive care that cancer patients now receive, rather than on primary medical intervention itself (McCorkle et al. 2000, Irwin et al. 2013). In one study evaluating supportive care of cancer patients (nutrition, psychological intervention, etc.), 2-year survival among late-stage cancer patients receiving such care was 67%, compared to 40% among control cases receiving only medical intervention. When Cox’s proportional hazard model was used to adjust for baseline covariates, the relative hazard of death in the control group was 2.04 (CI: 1.33–3.12; P=0.001); i.e., patients with invasive cancers who received only medical intervention were twice as likely to die during this period of time (McCorkle et al. 2000).

Such data do not encourage a ‘stay the course’ approach to cancer research, but rather suggest that something is fundamentally wrong with the paradigms.
that have been guiding this endeavor for at least three decades. The unanticipated existence of an essentially human-specific adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch tumor suppression mechanism clearly undermines much of the research that has been performed ex vivo, in vivo and in vitro over this long period of time:

- **ex vivo** analysis of p53 mutations in human tumors may have done little more than reveal evidence of a kill switch tumor suppressor system malfunction caused by an artifact of hominid evolution – circulating DHEAS that declines sharply once the primitive human life span, for which it evolved, is exceeded;
- the fundamental differences in tumor suppression mechanisms between human and murine species appear to completely disqualify the latter as in vivo models of human cancer; and
- **in vitro** studies utilizing human cells in which culture conditions do not model in vivo circulating DHEAS have de-evolved human cells to the equivalent of non-informative murine cells.

To the extent that these criticisms are valid, fundamental flaws in our operating paradigms have been leading us far off course for decades. Without appropriate course corrections, we may continue to generate species-specific cancer data for species other than our own.

The slow, very meager progress in prolonging cancer survival, the fact that such survival appears to be at an asymptotic boundary beyond which any further progress may be impossible, and the extreme, accelerating and clearly unsustainable costs of new cancer drugs that only minimally extend life (Siddiqui & Rajkumar 2012, Cohen 2017, Davis et al. 2017, Jackson & Nahata 2017, Prasad & Mailankody 2017, Carrera et al. 2018, Dranitsaris et al. 2018), all indicate the necessity for reappraisal of the current paradigm in which developed tumors are the target for virtually all of our anti-cancer research efforts. It may be time to redirect our labors and research expenditures toward understanding the singularity, the apparent focus of nature’s major effort at tumor suppression. If tumor complexity has been the Gordian knot of the cancer problem, preventing real progress in cancer treatment, then reactivating a kill switch made latent by an age-related decline in DHEAS may represent Alexander’s blade. The adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch tumor suppression system has the singularity as its target, and its evolutionary programming for a prehistoric, not a modern life span, may be responsible for the anomaly of an exponentially increasing rate of cancer with increasing age in our species. Singularities occurring in aging modern humans experience a diminishing capacity to undergo irreversible G6PD inhibition because of the dramatically declining levels of circulating DHEAS and consequent inability to trigger the kill switch mechanism. While this was not problematic for our ancestors who rarely reached the age of 30 years, it is problematic for modern humans who regularly live into and beyond their ninth decade. The life-long low, flat cancer risk observed in other long-lived animals that employ parallel, but life-long species-specific tumor suppression strategies, suggests that a similarly life-long low, flat cancer risk may be achievable in humans; that is, there appears to be no a priori reason, such as accumulated genomic damage, that makes an age-related increase in human cancer unavoidable. Rather, an approximately 4% lifetime cancer risk may be the norm for all species, including Homo sapiens. The lesson from other long-lived species appears to be that kill switch mechanisms that function throughout life extinguish almost all potentially tumorigenic damage at the level of the singularity. If pharmacological maintenance of DHEAS at peak levels establishes life-long functionality of the adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch, humans might join the majority of the animal kingdom in which death from cancer is a rare event and has little to do with advancing age. Determining what the true background risk of cancer is in the presence of such a fully functional, life-long adrenal androgen-mediated kill switch tumor suppression system should therefore be a primary goal of our species.
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